

Battle for Parental Guardianship

[Home](#) › [Forums](#) › [The Carried Word](#) › [The Carried Word 2012](#) › Battle for Parental Guardianship

[Favorite](#) | [Subscribe](#)

This topic has 237 replies, 1 voice, and was last updated 4 hours, 41 minutes ago by  Alan.

Viewing 15 posts – 136 through 150 (of 238 total)

←

1

2

3

...

9

10

11

...

14

15

16

→

Author	Posts
October 23, 2025 at 9:43 am	EDIT MOVE SPLIT TRASH SPAM UNAPPROVE REPLY #1623
 Alan <i>Keymaster</i> (127.0.0.1)	And if you don't understand the past you won't understand what's happening today. You'll be caught up in the hullabaloo of the mainstream media, which is all owned by the richest guys on the planet, who also own the foundations, and who are going towards a world agenda. Because their job is to make you think that things are spontaneously happening and your governments must spontaneously just deal with it. Nothing is further from the truth. We've been in perpetual war since Gulf War 1; if you take in the wars all through Latin America and elsewhere, we've been at war forever. And this is the system and techniques of those ruling elite who are taking over all the resources of the planet. Because we're public/private now, you see, and the big idea from the private philanthropists, as they call themselves, who own the foundations, was to take over all the earth's resources and run the world in a new feudalistic system with corporations and CEO leaders being the new feudal overlords. We're already there. We are already there. And they have lists of countries they must take out and plunder before they're all finished. Once they've done that they'll simply pull the rug from under the feet of those in the US, because the US is the battering ram at the present time. Back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about this big plan we're all living through, and it's causing upheaval across the whole planet. Austerity is part of the plan too, mind you, but at the moment we're concentrating, at least your owners – I call them owners because we've never had democracy – they plan to take over the entire Middle East, and other countries besides that but mainly Moslem countries. Because they decided a long time ago that the Moslem countries would never adapt into this new world vision that they had, and they'd have to simply go, be bombed out of existence, exterminated, whatever it takes. Because it's a very old agenda, this, and they even had plans to do the same with different people in Britain and elsewhere, under eugenics a long time ago.

You find that John Stuart Mill had his drafted list up of people who wouldn't fit into this system: Aboriginal American Indians, and other peoples, a lot of the blacks in Africa. What they actually said was, those blacks who couldn't adapt into this economic system would have to be eliminated. Because they believe, you see, in the Great Leap Forward in evolution. And they do believe that those who are from a previous age, they can't evolve into the new age of evolution, it would bring down the ones at the top and therefore they'd have to become eliminated. This is still on the go today. The world is full of elitism, completely full of elitist ideas, and every country's got them, for those at the top, that own you.

But we know from the 90s, at least, they had a whole list of countries to take out under the Project for the New American Century and they listed the countries, beginning with Afghanistan, then Iraq, and they actually had Syria then after that but instead of that we did Libya, and Syria is undergoing it now, and then of course Iran is eventually to be taken out too; Egypt is another one as well. So it's the same list that Israel had of course, because they work hand-in-glove with the US. And people can't quite fathom out what this 'special relationship' is. Is the tail wagging the dog? Who is the dog that's being wagged? And so on and so on. That's never been explained to the people. But they're definitely working in tandem.

There are so many lies coming out now from all sides you don't know who to believe; that's standard obfuscation of the truth when you want to go to war. But in the meantime we're goading all the countries to go into Iran and it says...

US Gulf build-up 'signal to Iran'

bbc.co.uk / 19 July 2012/ By Jonathan Marcus

(Alan: You know, it's a signal to Iran they're sending. This is from the BBC.)

The USS Ponce transits the Gulf en route to Bahrain. The USS Ponce acts as a mother ship capable of housing Special Forces.

It is described by the US military as a "defensive exercise" to preserve freedom of navigation in the international waterways of the Middle East.

(A: It shows you minesweepers and all the rest of it...) The International Mine Counter Measures Exercise 2012, IMCMEX 12, is broad in scope.

The focus will be on countering efforts by a hypothetical extremist group to mine crucial waterways in the Gulf, the Red Sea, the Gulf of Aden, and the Gulf of Oman.

The exercise though will not extend into the Strait of Hormuz, presumably to avoid raising tensions with Iran. (A: Well, they're doing all they can to raise tensions with Iran. They've been doing that for years now and embargoing them too.)

US spokesmen insist that the multinational manoeuvres are not intended to send a signal to Tehran. But it is hard to see any other fundamental purpose behind them. (A: Well, of course it is. It's all to intimidate Iran.)

And this is the sort of news they give you today. Most folk, as I say, don't really care. That's the truth of things. We've lived through the so-called color revolutions. We've lived through our own countries training terrorists and sending them over to undermine countries and get the wars going. And no one really cares in the Western world at all. Life goes on as usual for them so they don't really care about it. And then of course we send in NATO to bomb them, but no one really cares. Today, see, the news is all so far away for most people, and the further away it gets the more unrealistic it becomes to you. Especially if you're surfing all the different sites, or you're watching television all the time, things become unrealistic or surreal to you. It's all one big movie that's blurred, in your mind, and nothing is real. You've watched so much violence you can't tell the difference between someone really being blown up or shot from helicopters, real people, or something from a movie that you're watching. You just can't tell anymore.

This other article too, people who think that Canada and the US are still separate nations, of course the amalgamation of the Americas is still going on step-by-step and this is from last month. It says...

Beyond The Border Steering Committee

canada.usembassy.gov / 21 June 2012

Today, the U.S.-Canada Beyond the Border (BTB) Executive Steering Committee met for the first time in Ottawa, Canada. President Obama and Prime Minister Harper directed the establishment of the BTB Executive Steering Committee to oversee progress on the implementation of the BTB Action Plan. To ensure continued transparency and accountability, the BTB Executive Steering Committee will generate a joint public report to be published in December 2012. Additional information, including the full BTB Action Plan, is available at DHS.gov.

I'll put these links up, for all these stories, tonight at CuttingThroughTheMatrix.com to show you that things are still steamrolling ahead. Remember, Europe was to be amalgamated first, then the Americas, and at the same time the Pacific Rim Region as well – and that was the three main trading blocs that they wanted. A very old plan, a hundred years old.

This article too, is about austerity. You see, austerity is what your masters deemed appropriate for you. You've had it too good, you see, when you had factories and things, and they've sent them all off to China. And you still had it too good because they kept our prices artificially down in some countries, especially America because they needed lots of troops and so on for the big wars that they've got to fight. But that time is to go, since Britain is doing less of it. Because they handed the torch over to the US a long time ago – you have to read Rudyard Kipling about that, because he actually did hand a torch over in a sense, and he says, it's time that you took this over and brought in the world government. Read about that; it's quite an interesting story.

Austerity May Last Beyond 2020, Says Cameron

news.sky.com / 19 July 2012

David Cameron has admitted he cannot envisage a time when the austerity programme will end (A: So it's perpetual.) and failed to rule out spending cuts lasting until at least 2020. (A: That means forever, because you see, austerity is what they planned. You're going to use less of the world's resources, you'll live in poverty, you'll be crammed into these high-rise buildings in the cities, until you die off. Because this present generation will not have children, or very few at the most, and they know that too. Plus infertility is rampaging through people, through their inoculations and the food that they eat as well. It's war. This is what's called TOTAL war. You never tell your target they're under total war because obviously they'd be rather annoyed about it. So you have to do it covertly and always feign ignorance when reports come out about, you know, failing fertility levels and so on. So anyway, here's perpetual austerity because all of your money has to go to pay fines, fees, licensing, energy products, programs, and also carbon taxes,

things like that. That's where it's all to go. And that goes straight to the big boys via the Rothschild's bank in Switzerland, because Rothschild brought that one forward, remember, carbon credits.)

The Prime Minister welcomed falling inflation as a good sign but admitted the economic crisis had been far worse than expected and could blight Britain for years. (A: Well, Britain's used to being blighted because they've never really got out of debt since the Rothschilds took over the Bank of England. They had war after war after war because governments then could borrow from them and keep the wars going and then have long depressions to pay them back.)

Mr Cameron, in an interview with The Daily Telegraph, said: "This is a period for all countries, not just in Europe but I think you will see it in America too, where we have to deal with our deficits and we have to have sustainable debts.

"I can't see any time soon when the pressure will be off." (A: He says. So very difficult times ahead and of course that's the agenda and that's how it's going to be.)

Now, a few days ago Netanyahu and Hillary Clinton met and stepped up the next step towards really putting the pressure on getting a war going with Iran, of course. And four days later, as I say, you get this happening...

Bulgaria Suicide Terrorist Carried Phony American Documents

israelnationalnews.com / David Lev / 7/19/2012

(A: Or ID card. This happened in Bulgaria when some Israeli students came over on a bus run going to the Black Sea – it's very popular for Israelis – and a bus blew up. Just like that. And of course immediately before the explosion died away Netanyahu was yelling that it was Iran responsible for it. Just like when the towers went down, remember, and Rumsfeld and Brzezinski were saying, that had to be Osama bin Laden, you know. Same thing, before the dust even cleared they were telling you who it was. So that tells you that IS their target, regardless. And it's not unknown – this is a hard thing to say too because it's not unknown for them to sacrifice their own to get sympathy and to get things going. The few must perish for the sake of the many, is a well understood statement or saying in the Talmud. And it does work very, very well. But it says...)

Bulgaria Suicide Terrorist Carried Phony American Documents

The terror attack in Bulgaria was carried out by a suicide bomber, (A: Now, they're not even sure if it was a suicide bomber yet.) Bulgarian Prime Minister Boyko Borisov said Thursday morning, as authorities develop a picture of exactly how six Israelis were killed when a bus blew up at Sofia's Sarafovo Airport.

An initial investigation, based on footage captured by surveillance cameras, indicated that the bomber was a Caucasian. (A: That makes it even more suspicious, and apparently he had a Michigan ID.)

Earlier reports said that the bus had been blown up by an explosive device set off remotely. (A: So it's all up in the air right now and we'll never probably get the truth out of it. But it's interesting, as I say, it happened four days after they stepped up the pressure and made the deal between Netanyahu and Hillary Clinton to put more pressure on Iran, which they're going to attack regardless – it's on the cards.)

I'll put up tonight too a little video on Iran, some of the most beautiful cities over there, very first-world cities, so that we can remember them before they turn them into rubble like they've done elsewhere across the Middle East.

The beautiful city Tehran – youtube.com

Fukushima, well the government there is doing their PR stunts and so on, and they're telling the people that the water is not radioactive now. They've got families flocking to Fukushima beach because they've opened it and declared it safe to swim in the sea... and they're having a good time. And I hope they don't come down with any ill effects. I'll put that up tonight too. Back with more after these messages.

Come on in, the water's not radioactive: Families flock to Fukushima beach after officials declare it safe to swim in sea 16 months after nuclear disaster

dailymail.co.uk / Graham Smith / 18 July 2012

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through The Matrix. Over the years as this war system goes in through every country and we're all really under martial law whether we like it or not, because that's really what it is. We find that with the rise of the internal police forces, which are really just military forces today – they're trained by the military, most of them are staffed now by the military, guys that come from the military and they have all the equipment of the military. But they have all these different operations on the go all the time, different agencies, government agencies raiding homes and things, and I've lost count of all the wrong homes they've gone into and killed people and done stuff like that. And there's another article today. Get used to it, because see, we're living in the sci-fi now. The sci-fi movies are here; these are the sci-fi movies we're living in, that they showed us 20, 30 years ago, the future. It says...

Family suing after the dog was shot (A: They always shoot the dog first.) during bungled raid

Ninemsn.com.au / Emily O'Keefe / July 19, 2012

A US family is suing the local police force after officers allegedly handcuffed three children and forced them to sit next to their dead dog for an hour in a bungled raid on the wrong home. (A: Standard stuff.)

The Minneapolis family claim police “terrorised” them at gunpoint during the raid after shooting dead their pet, the Courthouse News reports.

All nine occupants of the home, including the three children, have launched a Federal Court law suit against the Dakota County Drug Task Force, the St. Paul Police force and a Drug Enforcement Administration agent.

One of the family members, Roberto Franco, told the court that the name on the police search warrant was not his but Rafael Ybarra, a man who lived next door when the raid took place in July 2010.

The family says during the raid police officers forced each of them to the floor at gun point and handcuffed them behind their backs.

“Defendants shot and killed the family dog and forced the handcuffed children to sit next to the carcass of their dead pet and bloody pet (A: It was pouring blood of course...) for more than an hour while defendants continued to search the plaintiffs’ home.”

One of the children was kicked in the side (A: That's standard too; they like getting you. Once they've got you tied up they like to kick you, you know, especially the weaker you are the better.) and another endured a diabetic episode because she was not allowed to take her medication while she was handcuffed, the family said.

Even after police discovered that they were at the wrong home they kept searching the property (A: Now, this is standard procedure; they've got to keep searching to find something to get you on, to try and justify the damage they've done to you, and it happens in Canada too. And what they did was...) and found a gun in the basement room (A: ...who knows; they could've placed it there for all you know.) of another member of the household, Gilbert Castillo.

Police charged Mr Franco for the illegal possession of the firearm who claims he was then wrongly convicted of the offence.

The parents say the three children were physically and emotionally traumatised by the raid and now needed therapy.

(A: So they're suing anyway and I hope they get somewhere with it. They probably won't but I would like to see that happen.) The family is demanding US\$10 million for civil rights violations and US\$20 million in punitive damages.

It happened in Canada too. We had a raid here, in Ontario it was. It was after a killing in a restaurant where a black guy was the suspect. Meanwhile as they were arresting, the RCMP were arresting him in another country, the local SWAT forces had got a tip-off of a black guy sleeping with a white girl – ooooooh.....oooooh, in Ontario. So they raided this other guy's home, nothing to do with it at all, terrorized them all, tied them all up, threw them against the walls, including the little baby as well – tied him up, no

kidding. And to justify what they did when they realized their screw-up, they tried to charge them for having an air rifle, you know the ones you buy off-the-shelf without licenses, and even that fell through eventually because it was still under 460 feet per second for the firing of the pellet. But they always try and get you for something once they've royally screwed up; that's standard procedure. The dangerous part is if they end up killing you, you see, because then you can't testify against them. And they can say, well you had a gun there and they fired... They can do all this nasty stuff, and that's real life, folks. Stop living in fantasyland and movies where detectives are, oh, worrying and tearing their hair out about some case or other and they can't sleep until it's solved, to help the poor people. Stop believing in this fantasy you've been brainwashed with... and get into some real life here. I hope you do.

Some people have heard of this organization and others haven't. It's a very old organization. It was another branch of the Royal Institute of International Affairs. And it's for the World Parliament Assembly, also called the World Federalists. You can go back into Shelley's time, the poet Shelley who wrote about it too, and when they'd have a Parliament of the World, after they furlled all the flags, etc. and the guns were silent and all that kind of stuff. And President Truman liked that poem so much he used to read it at every major speech he gave. But it's to do with a World Parliament and it's pushed by all the big boys and the United Nations. And it says...

Study presents models for a World Parliamentary Assembly

en.unpacampaign.org / 13 July 2012

Book presented at Congress in Winnipeg

Models and principles for the establishment and further evolution of a world parliament are the subject of a new study that was presented on Tuesday to an audience of international specialists participating in the world congress of the World Federalist Movement-Institute for Global Policy (A: Some of your top – and especially in the States – top TV announcers in the past have been, and Cronkite was a member of it

too, and other ones, this world movement for world federalism.), an international network dedicated to the strengthening of the rule of law, human rights, federalism, and democracy.

The book titled "Creating a World Parliamentary Assembly: An Evolutionary Journey" is authored by Joseph Schwartzberg from Minnesota, an expert on the subject who taught geography at the University of Minnesota which bestowed on him in 2009 the honorary title "Distinguished International Emeritus Professor."

The book published by the Committee for a Democratic U.N. in Berlin with a foreword by Daniele Archibugi, a well-known specialist on cosmopolitan democracy and a director at the National Italian Research Council, explores how the democratic deficit of the United Nations can be progressively minimized (A: They can't speak. This is all socialese, you know, social-bureaucratese; they can't speak straightforward. But at the end of it they want a Global Parliament.) by the development of a global parliamentary body. (A: Back with more after this.)

Hi folks, I'm back, talking about the World Congress and World Federalism, the World Parliamentarians; it's all the same thing. And again, it appears to be awfully left-wing but they're funded by the big foundations, again, the big usual suspects that own international business corporations. Anyway it says...

World Congress of Federalists boosts support for global democratization

unpacampaign.org / 13 July 2012

The 26th World Congress of the World Federalist Movement-Institute for Global Policy (WFM – IGP) that assembled this week in Winnipeg, Canada, has reaffirmed the international movement's support of global democratization. (A: We don't have it here in Canada but they're pushing it over somewhere else.)

A resolution that was passed unanimously by delegates from over 20 countries stressed that “the Arab Spring, the Occupy movements, indignados, and other forms of social protests have shown an increasing discontent with the existing global political order and raised the question of democratizing globalization through globalizing democracy.” WFM – IGP runs major international programs on the International Criminal Court and the Responsibility to Protect. The organization determined that it should be a “key pillar” of its strategy to facilitate “channeling the social energy into the concrete development of a world parliament and a democratic global order.”

Development of a UN Parliamentary Assembly

And it goes on and on and on about it. This is its 65th anniversary apparently, so you can read all about that and see how a whole bunch of other people that you seldom hear of have been working very, very hard to bring in a world parliament. Again, Marx had the same idea, three trading blocs with a central parliament like Europe’s got for the whole lot in Brussels and one will be for the Americas too. And then you’ll be under a super-parliament of the world. So this is a very old agenda you’re living through. And these characters that I’m mentioning here are only one of MANY organizations funded by the big foundations with trillions of dollars. They’ve got trillions of dollars to spend across the world, in every country, EVERY country to make it all happen, and think tanks working full time, 24/7 – full paychecks, retirement schemes, the whole lot; very well paid in fact. That’s a good job actually; if you’re a good psychopath you can join these and live quite a good life, as you wreck the world.

Another article tonight too, is the Australian Taxpayers Alliance that’s really trying to fight back all of these new taxations in Australia, and overregulation of government and government waste – there’s always lots of government waste, mind you. I’ll put this link up as well.

taxpayers.org.au

They're really getting ticked off in Australia about it. Because they're the kind of test bed for a lot of stuff we've still to get here with personal carbon taxes, remember. That's coming down the pike, personal ones. I said that years ago that's how they'll do it. And you'll get a bill for everything you purchase that week, and you'll pay energy taxes on everything that went into making that whatever it is that you bought, that bunch of stuff, even your groceries.

Now, in London they had a meeting with their opposites, supposedly, under one of these 'hands across the world' deals, another international organization. But it's run by the Common Purpose foundation. Common Purpose came out of nowhere, highly funded. They broke a lot of rules in Britain by bringing on politicians on board, even members of the armed forces that are not supposed to join NGO groups. But they're also for completely cementing Europe together – that's really their main purpose – and bringing almost a communistic system into the whole of Europe. But all the big boys are on board with it, again, because you see, at the very top of the tree, above all socialism, you've got the ultra-capitalists, the guys who believe they are eugenically superior and they've got the right to rule the world, and they need socialism to keep everybody down below them in check with lots of government agencies and spying on you and all that kind of stuff. See, they both work together. But it says...

London and Shanghai Social Challenges to be Tackled With Support of Mayors Boris Johnson and Han Zheng

prnewswire.co.uk / June 27, 2012

The inaugural Dao Xiang Venture, run by international leadership development organisation Common Purpose (A: And that's what they do, these big NGOs. They train YOUR future leaders; they pick them at about 13 or 14 years of age and train them to be leaders of this VAST socialist system for the future. You see.), will take place at Devonport House and City Hall from 2-5 July 2012.

During the four day Venture, participants will be set the challenge of developing collaborative practical methods to tackle social challenges caused by ever increasing urbanisation in the two cities.

The group will also meet Mayor of London Boris Johnson at City Hall where he will host a reception for the participants.

“Dao Xiang is a great project. Bringing together the brightest and best young leaders from Shanghai and London (A: Well see, they already know how to run the communist system over there, you see, in Shanghai, and bring it into the British system.) is a fantastic way to learn from each other (A: It really is, learning how to be communistic and get down on your knees and bow to all the overseers in communist China; that’s what you have to do there.) and strengthen the developing bonds between our two great cities,” said Mr Johnson, who is also a member of the Dao Xiang Advisory Group. (A: Now, what’s he doing as a member of a Chinese advisory group?) “I know previous Common Purpose Ventures have delivered some creative, innovative solutions to different problems and I can’t wait to see the ideas that are produced this time.” (A: You understand, we’re run by private organizations. And they have political clout because they’re already involved in politics, with their members, and they don’t have to present any of this to the general public for your approval. That’s how the world is really run, has been for a long time, an awful long time.)

Now:

Monsanto Canada unveils DEKALB canola seed processing plant

in Lethbridge, Alberta

melodika.net. 12 July 2012

Monsanto Canada today hosted farmers, retail customers and other key industry stakeholders at the official Grand Opening of its expanded DEKALB canola seed processing plant – home to Monsanto's North American hybrid canola seed production and manufacturing business.

And they've invested \$14.7 million into this new expansion and upgrade of this particular plant that's been here for quite a few years. Canada runs the world with the GM because you see, Canadians only found out from Britain during Blair's reign when people were protesting about him trying to get the GM food, veggies into Britain, that Canadians had been eating this stuff for 10 years without being told by their government. We were the guinea pigs. Of course they were testing everybody's medical histories and following it all to see what it caused, what diseases and that it caused. They weren't going to tell the Canadians at all, until it broke out from Britain, and then they went into action and brought out David Suzuki, of course, who's all on board for this stuff – because he'll get funding from them, no doubt, for his big NGO group, himself, his own foundation. And he tried to say, oh, it's okay to eat all that stuff, but I bet you HE is not eating it himself. Remember, the guys at Monsanto won't eat this stuff themselves. That's all you need to know, that's all I need to know. They won't eat it... but you have to eat it.

Now:

May: Olympics security 'meticulously planned'

bbc.co.uk / 12 July 2012

(A: May is the woman who is in charge of it all, Theresa May... she MAY do this, and she MAY do that.)

Home Secretary Theresa May has told MPs that the security operation for the 2012 Olympic Games had been "meticulously planned" and would not be compromised, following the revelation that that 3,500 extra troops would be needed.

Mrs May was responding to an urgent question on Olympic Games security on 12 July 2012, tabled by the Labour MP and chairman of the Home Affairs Committee, Keith Vaz.

The government is drafting 3,500 extra troops in for Olympics security, in addition to 13,500 already agreed, amid fears contractor G4S (A: ...the private ones...) may not have enough trained staff.

The home secretary said the security operation for the games was the largest and most complex (A: ...listen to this...) since World War II (A: ...this is for the games. GAMES.) and that contingency plans were a central part.

Can you believe this? They've got missiles on rooftops and all the rest of it. You're living in the sci-fi. All the sci-fi is here. You're living through it all. And once you get austerity really, really rolling and the massive taxation so there's no more spending money, all of your money will go on essentials only and back to government through taxations and so on, you'll really be living in hovels and falling-apart buildings with the black-clad troops keeping you all in line, just like you saw in all the sci-fi movies. That's what trained you; it's called predictive programming. That's why they show you all of that stuff YEARS in advance, it makes it familiar, the idea familiar to you. Now:

Neustar is spying on Americans for the government?

youtube.com / Jul 16, 2012

One Delaware-based tech company is quietly leading the way in surveillance infrastructure and serving as the middle man between law enforcement officials and your most personal information. RT correspondent Liz Wahl takes a look at what's really going on there. (A: It's quite a good interesting article. I'll put that up tonight too and you can go into it to see how all these private companies – it's big, big business for them – and they're quite happy to go and help work for the government, really, take payments from them.)

I mentioned too, before, this old idea, it was an idea that came, oh it's at least 80 years old probably, this idea of firing energy towards something to charge something from a distance without wires or cables. And...

New ground-based laser can extend drone flight time indefinitely – endthelie.com / Madison Ruppert

They're really going ahead with all these extended drone flight time flying capabilities and so on. So they've got to charge them en route, and they've got a laser now; you'll soon see laser beams going over the sky as they recharge these things in flight from quite vast distances. I'll put that up tonight too.

Now, in Australia there is a new plastic tax coming in, along with your carbon taxes. Again, that's all to come across the world as well. It's to be tested in Australia and they'll get better public relations to sell it to all of us, and they'll iron out all the problems, you see. It says...

New 'plastic tax' may add over \$300 to household grocery bills

au.finance.yahoo.com / Jul 14, 2012

As Australian families brace for the impact of carbon tax on their household bills, a new “plastic tax” is set to deliver another shock.

A controversial new scheme that proposes to levy a tax on glass and plastic containers could see a family's average grocery bills go up by more than \$300, the Herald Sun reports.

The plan would see a 10c deposit fee imposed on each plastic or glass drinks container, to be refunded if the shopper returns the empty bottle.

The Australian Food and Grocery Council has warned extra transport and set-up costs would drive prices up at the worst possible time.

...the scheme could cost some families up to \$470 a year more as the new charge pushes up prices on drinks containers by 20c. (A: Again, more and more ways to get rid of your disposable income, on essentials; that's what's coming in across the world.)

In Britain...

Postmen 'spy' for address database:

They will record position of every home and business in the country

dailymail.co.uk / Sean Poulter / 17 July 2012

(A: And it's from the...)

Royal Mail (A: ...as they call it. It's still Royal... everything belongs to the Queen, you see. And they...) could sell information to firms like Google. (A: This is all on your letter mail, your paper mail.)

Postmen are being turned into hi-tech spies by the Royal Mail under a scheme that will see them record the position of every address in the country.

They will patrol streets using a satellite location device to capture the precise longitude, latitude and altitude of each home and business.

In future, the Royal Mail could sell the information to firms such as Google to improve mapping services.

In theory, car satnavs and smart phones would be able to guide users to the precise doorstep they are looking for and the police and other emergency services would be able to get to an address more quickly.

The plans will conjure concerns first triggered by Google with its controversial Street View service.

Cameras on Google cars captured sometimes intrusive images of homes and computers installed in the vehicles tapped into home and business wi-fi systems to collect personal information stored on

computers. (A: They're still doing it, by the way.)

Google is under investigation by the Information Commissioner over allegations that it covered up details of the collection of personal information.

By contrast, RoyalMail's system does not capture images or personal information.

A trial in East Anglia was launched this week, with postmen being sent out with the hi-tech mapping devices which look like cameras or binoculars.

Royal Mail said the new technology offers an advance on the traditional system of postcodes, street names and house numbers.

The organisation sees the vast new database that will be created as a potential money-maker for them.

We're all for sale, really, eh? Remember too, to get into these new high-rises and so on, go into the old, old science fictions to do with the world to come, written 50, 60, sometimes 70 years ago. One of the first ones was written in the 1920s, and remade over and over, and you'll see it in different novels, to do with you all crammed into these massive high-rises, a million people, and that would be your home for life. You would never see outside. In fact, with high-tech windows you'd have all these pictures on the windows but you wouldn't see what was beyond the window. You'd live, get born, move up into the next level and be trained through kindergarten etc. and then eventually trained for your job, then moved to a work area, a different level again, and that would be your life forever... as that generation dies off and

doesn't have children. But part of it too, was the ultra-promotion of sex because crammed together you could expect no privacy, so first they'd have to train the population to expect no privacy. Does it sort of tie together, folks, a very old plan? Does it kind of? Is it starting to click somewhere in the old brain box? Hmm? No privacy... and you get used to it. Youngsters don't really care about it now, they're quite happy to put all their data up on Facebook and so on and all the other ones out there that the government uses.

UK admits to cyber attack on Iran

presstv.com / Jul 19, 2012

In less than a week, British officials admit to cyber attacks and covert operations against Iran's nuclear program.

UK Parliament's Intelligence Security Committee (ISC) has admitted that Britain has launched a cyber attack against Iran shortly after the UK spy chief admitted Britain conducted covert operations against Iran.

In its annual report for 2011-2012 to the British parliament, the ISC claimed that Britain's spies have caused disruption to Iran's nuclear capabilities saying UK spying agents could access "networks or systems of others to hamper their activities or capabilities without detection."

Now, a year ago or two years ago the whole cry was, oh we've got to get cyber-security in the West because we're going to get all these cyber-attacks. We'll guess who's doing all the cyber-attacks? The US, Britain, Israel... they're doing it all. I don't think Iran is cyber-attacking anybody else. Of course they'll say it's not exactly illegal, since no one's wrote the books on it yet, you see.

Now, to do with the resilient Earth...

Ravaged Reefs Bounce Back

theresilientearth.com

(A: You always hear “oh the sky is falling, the sky is falling.”)

In a new study of coral reefs off the Pacific coast of Panama, a team of scientists has discovered something shocking: those seemingly thriving, permanent reefs have undergone widespread devastation in the past. (A: They’re talking about long ago.) Even more shocking was the realization that, despite this natural destruction (A: ...NATURAL destruction, folks...) of coral reefs, the reefs bounced back—after laying dormant for 2,500 years. We have been told that the oh so sensitive coral reefs of the world are all going to die if the world’s temperature rises due to that horrible man-made scourge, global warming. Yet it seems that nature has been happily wiping out and re-establishing reefs across all the oceans of the world since before the rise of human civilization. Once again the warmists’ scare tactics founder on the reefs of actual science. (A: It’s quite a good article actually, because they’re using the same scam in the Great Barrier Reef in Australia right now. It goes through the natural cycles, and they are thousands of years cycles. Sometimes they lie dormant for thousands of years and then just jump back again, because of different things including underwater volcanoes, etc., etc.)

Another site I’ll put up tonight too is ‘Stop Big Brother’ from Australia...

Do you trust the Gillard Government to know all your internet passwords? – stopbigbrother.com.au

...because they're really forging ahead there. Back with more after this.

Hi folks, I'm back and I'll put up a video tonight too, where a Congressman tells conservative activists that fighting for the U.S. Constitution is a losing battle; he's talking about politically. He says, there's no point in mentioning it. He says, half the folk don't know what it means or what's even in it. He's got a point, they don't. But of course the other ones come out and say, no you can't do away with it without having a set of laws. If you don't have a set of laws you're lawless, your government is lawless... and that's the bottom line. I'll put this up and you can listen to this video tonight as well.

Congressman tells Conservative Activists that fighting for the Constitution is a losing battle –
[youtube.com](https://www.youtube.com)

And also, in Jerusalem it says...

We will pressure Iran – Clinton

iol.co.za / July 17 2012 / Bradley Klapper Associated Press

Jerusalem – The United States will use its power to keep Iran from acquiring nuclear weapons, Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton declared on Monday after meeting top Israeli officials, where they discussed the issue.

She said the US would keep raising the pressure on Iran as long as it fails to come clean on its disputed uranium enrichment programme. (A: Well, they have come clean umpteen times but they refuse to accept even the Atomic Energy Commission's findings. Mind you, they had the same thing too, with Iraq, remember, 'weapons of mass destruction'. And even the UN kept sending guys in and they couldn't find anything. But it didn't matter, you see, an agenda's an agenda and these countries have all to be demolished and only one superpower is to be left in the region to run all of those countries that they've now demolished. That's the plan.)

"Iran is under greater pressure now than ever before," she said. "We will use all elements of American power to prevent Iran from obtaining a nuclear weapon."

The veiled threat came as the US and Israel debate how best to deal with Iran's nuclear programme. The US is seeking more time for negotiations and sanctions, while Israel has repeatedly hinted at military action. (A: ...but they want the US to do it, of course, you see.)

Both suspect Iran may be aiming toward producing nuclear weapons, a claim Iran denies. (A: And they've been denying the claim, and they were even cleared, as I say, by the Atomic Energy Commission umpteen times but it's not good enough. It's amazing how they allow some countries to have it and other countries can't have it, eh. Do you wonder why? Well you see, they'll never tell you why. That's why; you've got to think for yourselves.)

After her declaration about possible use of US power, Clinton said everyone prefers a diplomatic resolution, and that Israel and the US were "on the same page" regarding Iran.

"Iran's leaders still have the opportunity to make the right decision. The choice is ultimately Iran's," she said, adding that so far Iran's proposals have been "non-starters". (A: Well, what they want is the

complete demolition of all nuclear facilities there all together. Maybe they'll start selling them solar panels or something and turn them back into a third world status. That's what they really want, rubble and a third world status like they've done across the whole Middle East. You see.)

Throughout her day of meetings, Clinton also spoke about the need to halt Syria's violence and underscored America's support for Israel – an ally in a difficult neighbourhood. (A: ...hmm...)

Clinton met President Shimon Peres for about an hour as part of what is perhaps her final visit to Israel as secretary of state, bringing a message of solidarity to the Jewish state after three and a half years of only stunted progress toward a Palestinian peace deal. (A: ...which they'll never give them either, because that's never going to be on the cards.)

We go through this farce every year, every so often. And we all know it's a farce, don't we? I mean, let's be honest out there, we all know this is a farce; they're never going to give the Palestinians anything. And there'll never be equality or democracy in any of those countries. We don't have democracy. If you want to understand democracy look at the French version that originally came out with the term democracy, where government was supposed to be a servant to the people. Have you ever seen that happen anywhere? Have you?

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

If you don't understand history you won't understand the geopolitics. Geopolitics for an awful long time has been your history, complete history, because we're dealing as I say with a long-term script. The situations for war are always dreamed up long in advance, and all the strategies too, which they will use when they bring it on in actuality. We're living through some of that right now with all the various bombings across the world, just in time for a war of course to be waged upon Iran – that's the whole objective of this. The same in Bulgaria for instance, when three buses went on fire and we had all the nonsense about the bomber, etc. And it's all nonsense; as far as I can find out they haven't found anybody at all that actually put bombs on the buses. But if the three of them went up you'd have 80-odd people dead, and that would be a great thing for Israel to start banging away for the war drums, which they already are, and shouting that Iran is behind it. The first casualty of war is truth, and you must always do it by deception, you wage war by deception. You always blame your target and that gives you the excuse to go to war and you've got public opinion behind you. It's so odd, the first person to point the finger, whatever country he cries at is the one that gets invaded. Just like the towers going down, and Brzezinski and others said oh it must be Osama bin Laden, before the dust had even hit the ground that's what they were yelling, because they already had troops ready to go into Afghanistan to begin with. Back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through The Matrix. We live through scams all the time. The world is composed of one scam after another. We've seen all the scams to do with the banking for Europe for instance, as we start bailing out one country after another, a merry-go-round of musical chairs, trying to plug some black hole, that no one can ever see, with dollars and euros. And it never happens to work. Then you find out the whole intention of it was to further consolidate Europe together, completely, politically and every other way, economically, into a complete Soviet system basically. That's what it is; it's the new Soviet. A very old idea, of course, as I say, that goes back, to even Karl Marx talked about trading blocs. And the North American bunch are up to it too with NAFTA, just further integration going on even now as we speak, to do with more binding treaties with the US, Canada, Mexico and other countries in Latin America, as we get bound together through laws, economic laws, etc, exactly the same way that they did it with Europe, over many, many years.

So there's very little that you can take at face value. And the media, remember, being part of this big establishment, owned by the guys who run the big foundations who belong to the Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations, the media is totally owned and it's very seldom that you get any truth at all from them and when you do it's always spun with something else in it to lead you

off with your conclusion. They always end up giving you your conclusion, at least that's what they're supposed to do, and most folk are quite content with that.

Now, with all the hullabaloo about invading Iran, over and over and over again, even though the Atomic Energy Commission has gone in umpteen times and said they're not building a bomb, it doesn't matter. It doesn't matter what they say. It wouldn't matter if they brought the whole world, or did bus tours from all over and gave us cheap holidays over there to inspect their facilities, once they've stamped you for war they're going to have it. Remember that the whole list for the Project for the New American Century, drawn up by guys who it's debatable if they're American at all, had all the countries listed that they were going to attack, for the future. That was in the 1990s and we've gone through almost all of them. We've still got a couple to finish off and Iran is also on the list. So that's all you're living through, is a script. We live through scripts and it's all done by deception, all through deception. By way of deception we shall wage war, it's called.

Now, Zbigniew Brzezinski who was a big player of course, as an advisor in all of these geopolitical games and strategies in fact, the guy who takes the acclaim for setting up the so-called Al Qaeda bunch back in the Soviet days, to fight the Soviets – in fact I've put the video up before when in the 1970s, when he's talking to a lot of these tribal leaders, and he says, this will be a holy war, a jihad, and they all start cheering. Now of course you've got to bring them all down. So they create the problem and then later on, like a chessboard, once they've used that country they go in and take it over. That's how the whole strategy is run. But here he is, he's a high member of the Trilateral Commission and the Council on Foreign Relations, and here he is on about Iran. They always give you a legalism, so that you have to know how dumb you are if you go into wars. It says...

Iran war will devastate US economy: Brzezinski

presstv.ir / Fri Jul 20, 2012

Former US National Security Advisor Zbigniew Brzezinski has warned that a US military confrontation with Iran could be devastating for the American economy. (Alan: Well, no kidding. All the other wars have been too, not for the big corporations though.)

"A war in the Middle East, in the present context, may last for years," Brzezinski said in an interview with Newsmax.TV published on Wednesday.

"And the economic consequences of it (the war) are going to be devastating for the average American; High inflation, instability, insecurity," he added. (A: Well, they're all ready for that; you've been building up for 30 years with an internal army, if you haven't noticed, to deal with what they KNEW was going to come down the pike during this particular period of time.)

He warned the US administration not to rush into a war with Iran and said, the consequences of yet another military strike in the Middle East "will be certainly very costly for the United States."

The four-decade politician said that a possible closure of the Strait of Hormuz by Iran even not for a very long time would prompt the costs of oil to skyrocket as the vital oil-shipping route would be a dangerous passage as a result of the military conflict.

"In effect, the American taxpayer should be ready to pay \$5 to \$10 a gallon for the pleasure of having a war in the Strait of Hormuz," Brzezinski explained.

He described democracy as the “best weapon of choice” (A: Well, we’ve never had democracy; remember these guys at the top USE it all the time. Remember, they are geo-strategists and they’re also really into neuroscience as well. Neuroscience is to deal with how they control the minds of the masses; that’s what it’s really all for.) in the present circumstances, but warned that negotiations over Tehran’s nuclear energy program would fail to yield result if they are meant to corner Iran.

“If the negotiations are designed to humiliate Iran and to put it in some sort of separate box, confining it to a status totally different from all the other signatories of the Non-Proliferation Treaty, then we probably will not get an agreement.”

The United States and Israel have repeatedly threatened that all ‘options’, including the military action, are on the table against Iran to force the Islamic Republic to halt its nuclear energy program, which Washington, Tel Aviv and some of their allies claim includes a military aspect. (A: Well they’re the only ones who say so. Remember, it’s the same cons that went all through the Middle East. Osama bin Laden was supposedly hiding out in Afghanistan. The next thing you knew the media suddenly switched its guns on Iraq, and the American public went with them thinking, oh they were behind the attack on the towers, when they had nothing to do with it, nothing at all to do with it. And it came out during the inquiry that George Bush said himself, well I never said that Saddam Hussein had anything to do with that. He says, he was just a bad man; I think the world’s better off without him. Well, quite an attitude to have – you don’t like someone, you just bump them off and take over their country? THAT’S the kind of democracy they’re talking about here? But of course this is the same list that Israel had, remember, of countries to take out. And you really have to ask, who is wagging the dog?)

Iran dismisses the allegations, arguing that as a committed signatory to the nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty and a member of the International Atomic Energy Agency, it has the right to use the nuclear technology for peaceful purposes. (A: Well they have... I mean, doesn’t everybody have the same right? Who doesn’t have nuclear power? Britain’s got lots, are you attacking Britain? How about some other countries as well, right across Europe? How about the US and Canada? Who decides who gets it and who doesn’t? Anyway, that’s from Brzezinski himself. You’re all going to pay for it through the nose, on top of all the other bills you’re accumulating as well.)

This article too, is to do with, of course, upcoming laws and treaties, or really 'agreements', they call them now, from the United Nations. The United Nations, remember, was set up to bring in world government. I talked about that yesterday with the World Federalists and the World Federalist meeting; you find all your top anchormen in the newscasts, the ones that you've grown up with, are all members of it for world government via the United Nations. And the United Nations simply morphed out of the League of Nations, set up, again, by the Royal Institute of International Affairs. It's a front group. And they decided that big governments with lots of government agencies were the way to control the public way down into the future. That's what we have today. But the real fascists would be on top; it becomes meaningless when you call it fascist and socialist because it's all one and the same thing. It's legalists – this is an ancient thing going back into ancient history, even ancient Chinese history, the war between the philosophers basically, and the legalists. Legalists want to strap you in cotton wool and monitor you 24 hours per day so that the big boys at the top can live and jet about the globe in their private jets and have a great old time, but you at the bottom, who produce everything and pay all the taxes to enable these guys to fly around the planet, you have to be kept under 24-hour supervision. That's what it's all about.

And when they want these agreements to come out at the United Nations, that you all sign onto, and I've mentioned before, and months ago I put up an old document from the 60s to do with Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World, everybody signed on to that. In fact, it came out from the United States to the United Nations for all the rest of the countries to sign. And they're coming out with a Part 2 to it as well now, and suddenly you get all these shootings. There's been a whole host of them around Toronto, in the usual areas, and out comes, again, this gunman at the Batman premier in Colorado, which everybody I'm sure has heard about. Right on cue, right on cue. You'll find it's like Columbine too, that there'll be associations with psychologists, psychiatrists, etc., treating this guy, just like you found in the Columbine shootings as well. You always get this stuff emerging when something has to be signed. So I'll put some links up.

You've all read the stories, of course, all that we're given so far, on this particular character who went into the film premier and started shooting off his different firearms. They're talking about someone else of course going through an exit door to the outside, an emergency exit, who may have left it open. So they're wondering if there's more than one guy involved. No doubt there will be others involved but they'll never get caught, because it's a setup to happen. But it comes right on cue with something that they want. When Bill Clinton was in office, we forget too, that he wanted to bring in a whole bunch of laws to

do with an antiterrorist bill and he couldn't get it through; it was in all the papers at the time. And bingo, a week later at the weekend you had the big Oklahoma City bombing. Just happened out of the blue, just like that. And that weekend they all signed those laws into force. When they can't get what they want – the end justifies the means – whatever it takes they will have it done, to get things through. That's how easy it is to control the minds of people in the greater society. We are led to our conclusions, all the time. And something has to be done, they always have the cry going out, something must be done, and they go ahead and do it. They blow off the dust out of laws they've already drafted up and away it goes.

Interesting too, this guy who did the shooting, there's two conflicting stories. One said he was studying medicine, another one said he was a student from neuroscience. Now, neuroscience is an incredible science that's being used today in a big way across the world with the whole intention of mind control. Let's get into that when I come back from this break.

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about neuroscience. You have to go into the history of it, and behaviorism as well, and go through all the various psychological movements that are designed to control society. That's really the purpose of them. Not just to help people when they're sick, but REALLY, it's like weaponizing anything, the first thing is always for a military aspect or power control. That's why they have neuroscience.

Neuroscientists talk about their ambitions for world control, and world peace and all the rest of it. In Canada you have a guy called Persinger who used to work with the US military, for the Pentagon, a neuroscientist, who's got YouTube videos up there. He talks about that at the present time we're all living inside this wonderful, he said wonderful, Wi-Fi field, we're all communicating together, connected. Well, that's Technotronics, you see. Since the human brain basically fluctuates at a speed about or pulses of 7.4 hertz per second. He talks about this too, that eventually worldwide we could all be plugged in to each other, and feel each other's thoughts, etc., etc. Well when that happens you're no longer an individual and believe you me, someone will be at the top giving you your thoughts. It's a horrific vision, but he had tears in his eyes at the end of this talk up on YouTube, where he says that you'll feel hunger across the world, you'll feel their hunger, you'll feel this, you'll feel that and all the rest of it. No, these guys are megalomaniacs, very well paid megalomaniacs to do what they're doing. Because as I say, they want to bring in this world where an elite, you know, an intelligentsia will run the world properly, where all

the rest of the workers, all the masses of people down below will just be working buffoons, who won't need so much entertainment, mind you, it'll be quite cheap to feed them, and maybe give you a drip to feed you like Seven-of-Nine – you go into a cubicle at night and that's you fed, and you work for 14 or 16 hours the next day, nonstop, without a murmur or a reward. That's what they're after.

So here's this guy who goes into the cinema and starts shooting at people too. He's been in neuroscience; that's what he was studying supposedly. Believe you me, if you're a student with them, under these guys like Persinger, they really do a lot of mind tricks on your head as well. That will come out later on, I'm sure of it. We'll find out about this because there's so much to do with experimentation on their own students all the time, even to do with the Koren helmet, remember; that's well known in Canada; they have that here. They can actually give you... put that helmet on and stimulate your thoughts, and even give you trips like you were on a hallucinogenic drug, all through electronics and pulsing certain frequencies at your brain. Old stuff, by the way, very old stuff here in Canada. So we won't find out; but, as I say, he comes out on cue and does his shooting act. And there'll be no reason given, he probably won't know it himself, why he's done it all, even though supposedly his whole apartment was rigged to go up in flames, just like the movie Conspiracy Theory. You wait and see. That's how it's done.

Batman US cinema shooting: 12 dead in Colorado – bbc.co.uk / 20 July 2012

12 dead, at least 50 injured in shooting at Colorado movie theater – latimes.com / July 20, 2012

Another article too, to do with how crazy it's getting in the military. We've all heard about the murders of people or the wives of a lot of these guys coming back from abroad. They're in constant warfare all the time you see, and they get addicted to it, and they're on all these different drugs now, about 7 to 9 drugs each, per person, in the military – that's standard today – so as that they don't feel the pain when they slaughter folk; that's part of it, you see. It dulls the emotive pathways, so they're all into the death cult, but when they come back home they're still into it as well. There's one article that came out to do with a sex dungeon...

Sex dungeon details emerge in California death of deployed Marine's wife – Reuters.com / Jul 20, 2012

Apparently his wife was splitting up with him, he was off abroad and she went out with somebody else, another guy in the military, who had a sex dungeon. And they basically chopped her up, not just one person but a few of them, military people. This is going to become more and more common as time goes on. And for all the stuff that you watch, like all the Batman series and everything else, what else is it doing to you when you're watching them? You've got to really understand, you've got to analyze the scripts. You got to analyze everything that you see. And feel nothing when you watch these things, otherwise you're being downloaded. It's called predictive programming. We've seen how they've used it. Hollywood admits they used it, to bring people out of the closets and things like that over many, many years, and to basically go along with the old communist idea of destruction of the family unit, promoting sex to the ultimate until people are obsessed with it and they would never mate for life. Then you don't mate for life, you don't have any children. The family is an enemy to the world state, you understand. HG Wells himself said that and he was a propagandist for the Royal Institute of International Affairs too.

But years after the facts of course, when it's all been done, they can come out with some of the truth...

Teenagers 'can be corrupted' by Hollywood sex scenes

(A: Oh, no kidding. Who'd have thought of that, eh?)

Watching sex scenes in Hollywood films can make children more promiscuous and sexually active from a younger age, a new study has suggested.

telegraph.co.uk / Andrew Hough / 18 Jul 2012

(A: Well, just a few months ago I read an article to do with schools now; they're using pornographic movies to teach children about sex. Is there a paradox here somewhere, some sort of conflict? No. So they know this, so they're teaching them pornography at school, to make sure it works. Remember, Julian Huxley from UNESCO, the first CEO, who got all the awards from all the big abortion groups at the time, because he was all for that too, depopulation, he called it freeing women up, by slaughtering the babies. He said too, we shall promote promiscuity through the children so they'll never bond when they get older, just multiple partners. So this article goes on to say that...)

Psychologists concluded that teenagers exposed to more sex on screen in popular films (A: ...never mind the internet...) are likely to have sexual relations with more people and without using condoms. (A: So sure, they'll have sexual relations with more people. Wow, they're geniuses at the top aren't they? Back with more after this.)

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about the big agendas, lots of big agendas on the go, have been for a long, long time. You'll find even you've adopted a lot of your downloads yourself and you've acted them out through life too. Because after all when you're born, you're young and things are pushed your way, you take it all for granted, you think it must be normal simply because it exists, especially when it's got the approval of those at the top. Obviously; like sexual promiscuity, obviously – that was a big, big promo. HG Wells wrote the fact that they'd push this, in the 1890s, one of his first books. He said that would be the way that they'd push it, and destroy the family unit. And then government, big government would come down to you and talk to you directly; you'd have no family to stand up for you or help you; government would be in charge of everything. And eventually they'd have even old folks care homes and so on, because there'd be no family. Well, we're here folks. And pushing promiscuity was to be the in-thing. So once they got the Pill out they really went ahead with it. They tried it, remember, in the Roaring 20s. The same thing, the miniskirt came out, the Charleston dance and so on, and drugs came out along with the booze in the booze cans. But they had so much fallout from venereal disease, no penicillin you see, and they also had fallout from unwanted children; they didn't have the Pill. So they put it away. There was a massive outcry about it all, and they brought it back in the 60s, ALL the same techniques, drugs, miniskirts, promiscuity, and the music to match it too. So you're living through a script as I say, through many different parts of a script too, specialized areas, that makes you you. And it says here...

The study, based on nearly 700 popular films, found that watching love scenes could “fundamentally influence” a teenager’s personality. (A: These guys are geniuses, right. Psychologists were all employed to bring this system in, and now psychologists are the guys who do studies on themselves, and say “my goodness, guess what it causes.”)

The researchers, from Dartmouth College in New Hampshire, concluded youngsters were more prone to take risks in their future relationships. (A: Well, no kidding.)

They also concluded that for every hour of exposure to sexual content on-screen, participants were more than five times more likely to lose their virginity within six years. (A: I think that’s even stretching it there.)

“Adolescents who are exposed to more sexual content in movies start having sex at younger ages (A: Where have these guys been living, in caves, for the last 60 years or something?), have more sexual partners, and are less likely to use condoms with casual sexual partners,” said Dr Ross O’Hara, who led the study. (A: And so on. I wonder how much they got paid for this study, this mind-blowing study here? Hmm? All devised that way a long time ago; everything that you do, right down to the hobbies that are promoted to you. It’s all given to you.)

Now, texting... ha. They’ve found through, again, many, many studies, that children have a hard time now communicating directly to other people, with real people that is, in front of them. They can’t even look each other in the eyes.

Texting overtakes talking in UK, says Ofcom study

bbc.co.uk / 17 July 2012

(A: They're always studying us, minutely, eh.)

People in the UK are now more likely to text than to make a phone call, according to new research from Ofcom.

While 58% of people communicated via texts on a daily basis in 2011, only 47% made a daily mobile call, said the country's communications industry regulator.

It said the shift away from traditional ways of keeping in touch was being led by young people aged 16-24. (A: Well, they've been taught that in school too.)

The new trends were revealed in its annual communications market report. (A: Our whole behavior is always being altered by our masters, you understand. But you think you are doing it, because after all you buy the product and 'it's mine.' That's why they gave the name 'personal' computer to it – it's my PERSONAL computer, it's my PERSONAL phone... to con you, fool you.)

The average UK consumer now sends 50 texts per week while fewer calls are being made on both fixed and mobile phones.

For the first time, there was a fall in the volume of mobile calls – by just over 1% – in 2011, while landline calls were down by 10%.

Overall time spent on the phone fell by 5% in 2011.

James Thickett, Ofcom's director of research, said: "Talking face to face or on the phone are no longer the most common ways for us to interact with each other." (A: Marshall McLuhan talked about this back in the 60s, this would come, and he was contracted down to the States too. And believe you me, the CIA, FBI, all these boys were really interested in his theories to do with this particular time we're in now, the time that they knew they'd bring in, and how to control the populations by using these techniques, where you wouldn't interact face-to-face anymore, but you'd have all these voices in the ether. And even those voices, you don't know if they're computer generated or not; you've got sock-puppets all over the place. They gave you forums and now they admit it, they GAVE you forums and promoted forums so they could study you all, and to see why you would go into certain forums and what relationships you'd have with people in the forums, psychologically and other ways as well. Constantly, constantly analyzing you... for control purposes. The biggest client they have happens to be the government, every government, for all these studies.)

I'll put up tonight too, it's a video, it's quite a cute little video, but it's a five-year-old Palestinian girl who faces up to some of the armed soldiers, the Israeli soldiers, the occupying forces in her area. And it's quite amazing. Adults of course, if it was an adult saying these kind of things they'd have been shot. But it was a little girl at five years old saying what has to be said. And maybe that's the only way you can do it now, start using children to say things, otherwise they'll kill you.

Five Year Old Shuts Down Armed Soldiers – youtube.com

Syria...

Syria: Beyond the UN Veto

Author: Richard N. Haass, President, Council on Foreign Relations

(A: Now, this is by Richard Haass. I mentioned the Council on Foreign Relations at the beginning of this broadcast and the CFR is simply an American branch of the Royal Institute of International Affairs. He is the president of this organization, Richard N Haass. He says...)

The Russian and Chinese veto of the UN Security Council draft resolution that would have declared the situation in Syria a threat to international peace and security, extended the UN diplomatic mission headed by Kofi Annan, and set the stage for new sanctions and possibly UN-authorized military action was hardly surprising.

(A: In other words, they were trying to get China and Russia on board and be part, do a deal with the devil and then they'd all attack. You see, we're all in it together; it's an old idea with criminals. You can go back to ancient Rome where, you know, they would go to kill one of the Caesars and they'd all have to put the knife in him, each one would have to put a knife in the guy and be complicit in the murder. It's the same thing today. They get them on board in a resolution so we can go off and kill a whole bunch of people in Syria. Now we know for a fact, again, Syria is another country on the list that was to be taken out by the New American Century group that George Bush belonged to, and they published their list of countries back in the 90s. See, we're going through the same list still, you see. And it wouldn't matter if it was a tyrant or not a tyrant; it doesn't matter to these guys. If they can use a tyrant they'll let him be. In fact, they've put plenty of tyrants in in the past, so they could loot the countries, as long as he was friendly to them. But of course, Syria has to go, because that whole region has to be basically de-Muslimized, you might say. They want them to bring in secular governments that can be easily managed by the same people who manage all of us. And they call it democracy then. And they bring in a central bank, because everybody must have a central bank to get into debt, and these countries generally don't have central banks and they don't use usury so they can't get into debt. So here's the top guy of the Council on Foreign Relations... These guys have so many organizations, massive think tanks worldwide working on all of these things, in geopolitics, all the time. They give us all of our information too, from the media, because most of the top reporters are members of the Council on Foreign Relations. He says...)

What explains the veto is not just Russia's and China's opposition to the use of military force to unseat the Assad regime, something which would have required another Security Council resolution in any event. They also are uneasy with anything that legitimizes international involvement in what they see as the domestic affairs of countries. (A: Can you believe this rubbish? coming out of this guy's mouth? When have they ever been uneasy with going into any other country to deal with its domestic affairs? Any excuse will do. They're doing it with Iran right now.) Both the Russian and Chinese governments fear precedents that could be turned against them. . . . If nothing else, it is time for a moratorium on the use of the phrase "international community" in situations such as this one where no such consensus exists. (A: What he's getting to here is that the US and Britain and others should go it alone and forget the United Nations, because they're not going to go along with it, well they can't get China and Russia to go along with it. So unilaterally just go in and get the job done, like they did with Libya basically, take NATO in there.)

The vote in New York will not materially affect the situation on the ground. The Syrian government has lost control over important parts of the country, and the opposition has demonstrated an ability to strike successfully in Damascus. Fighting is likely to intensify; the opposition will want to build on the momentum of this week's successful bombing; the Assad regime will want to demonstrate it is still able to defeat any and all challenges.

(A: Now, it's well known too, even from reporters who were almost killed themselves over there by the rebels, the rebels were going to kill them and then blame the Assad regime for doing it, but luckily they escaped, and that broke out into the media. It's all deception; you don't know who to believe, you see. And of course, the camera never lies, does it? So they want the US to pick up the tab again and go there as well. And it's a tossup, because Israel is wanting them both out of the way, you know, this country plus Iran as well.)

The failure to renew the diplomatic mission being led by Kofi Annan (with its associated group of observers) is no great loss. The peace plan under which Annan was operating had and has no chance of

being accepted. It would be far better to terminate this effort and establish a new one with the mission of bringing about the exit of the current Syrian regime.

Last, the United States and other like-minded governments should not equate the United Nations with multilateralism, nor should they see the UN as having a monopoly on legitimacy. To the contrary, they should form a coalition of the willing and able, (A: That means what I just said, go it alone...) composed of NATO countries, selected Arab governments, and others that are committed to increasing sanctions against not just Syria but those countries supporting it, building up the strength and political appeal of the Syrian opposition, pressing for war crimes indictments against Bashar al-Assad and his inner circle (A: So they don't want to just take Syria out, it's anybody who's been helping Syria as well. But they want, since the United Nations can't get consensus from China and Russia, they want the US and all the other countries to go in and do it all for them instead. And pay for it all too.) . . .

Now, people keep talking about democracy, democracy and when is it all going to come down? I hear this from so many people. When is hell going to break loose? I've heard this for YEARS. And I always tell them, you're living through it. You're LIVING through it; it's happening all the time. You've been under basically martial law since 9/11. And now you've accepted and adapted. And this is the beautiful thing about humanity, according to those at the top, we always adapt, at the bottom we always adapt. We take the easier way out of something. You either go through a full-body scanner and get irradiated, or you go and you get groped instead by the security guys – or you turn around and try to walk out and go home. People will always take the easier thing to do out of the two. And if it means compliance they will comply, they will comply to the bitter end on anything.

They have obedience, and have had trained obedience to authority. You've all had it. Remember all those movies that you're watching, are all programming you to obey authority. Even when you're seeing brutal authority on the screens, even in fiction, it's all propaganda. You've got a generation now grown up watching and playing video games, very realistic, where all they do is slaughter folk and generally have on all the flak gear, that this guy who went and shot them all at the Batman movie had. Where do you think he got all that idea from too? All those ideas? And the winners, it's always the guy with the big, big guns and all the black gear on and the full flak jackets on and all the rest of it. That's the winners,

that's how they see winners. There's only winners and losers in this generation that's growing up. And that's what they want to be.

And so when's it all coming down? You're living through it. In countries across Europe you've got to carry ID cards with you everywhere you go; some people have it around their neck, in Holland for instance. And you must have it even to get a taxi or a bus; you must have your ID card with you. Why? Why has the whole world been turned upside down because of 9/11? And why was everything planned, everything that came in subsequently, all the laws, why were those laws on the books for years and years and years, working on it, for this very, very moment? Why did the New American Century say, we'll need something on the scale of a Pearl Harbor to get the public's support behind us? And boom, they got it. These guys should go to casinos, they'd win every time; they know what's going to happen.

So yeah, it's happening all the time. You're living through it, as governments and agencies of government become authorities. They start off as services and become authorities, just like Lenin said they would do in the West. You've got so many government agencies, and he said this too, so many government agencies that would start off as services, they'd become authorities, and eventually there would be so many authorities, all covering the same areas, they'd be competing with each other and even fighting each other for territory, these agencies.

Full-Body Scan Technology Deployed In Street-Roving Vans

forbes.com / 8/24/2010

(A: This one is from 2010; I've got another one from 2012 I'll put up tonight as well.)

As the privacy controversy (A: I don't know what controversy there is about privacy; we've been told we can't have any.) around full-body security scans begins to simmer, it's worth noting that courthouses and airport security checkpoints aren't the only places where backscatter x-ray vision is being deployed. The same technology, capable of seeing through clothes and walls, has also been rolling out on U.S. streets.

American Science & Engineering, a company based in Billerica, Massachusetts, has sold U.S. and foreign government (A: ...as well...) agencies more than 500 backscatter x-ray scanners mounted in vans that can be driven past neighboring vehicles to see their contents, Joe Reiss, a vice president of marketing at the company told me in an interview. While the biggest buyer of AS&E's machines over the last seven years has been the Department of Defense operations in Afghanistan and Iraq, Reiss says law enforcement agencies have also deployed the vans to search for vehicle-based bombs in the U.S.

"This product is now the largest selling cargo and vehicle inspection system ever," says Reiss. (A: And it shows you what you can see through. You can see through pretty well metal as well. That's powerful x-ray, by the way, and these guys are driving them around and blasting you with it. And you don't even know it's happening to you, until you come down with cancers.)

Another one too, with another video I'll put up tonight, is a later one; it's the present one, the current one. You'll see the latest vans that they have there. I think there are even Mercedes vans that they're using; they are very expensive. But that's okay, you see, it's a bottomless pit; the taxpayer will always pay for all this stuff. That's your purpose, you understand. We can't afford to treat you if you're ill but we can afford to buy the biggest, best, newest weaponry ever, ever heard of.

Full Body Scanners Now Used in Vans to Monitor Streets – softwaredev.itbusinessnet.com

Tonight I'll put up one for Britain as well. Bankrupt Britain has got a missile now that can stay in the air for six hours, for URBAN warfare, and it can be piloted like a drone right to its target. That's fantastic, that coming down in the middle of London somewhere. Huh? And they used to talk about the IRA was the worst thing ever. Look what's flying above your head. Back with more after this.

British Army's Fire Shadow Missile Can Stay Aloft For Six Hours Before Hitting Moving Target –
huffingtonpost.co.uk / Michael Rundle / 20/07/2012

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about how we're all controlled and you'd be surprised how much data is collected all the time on you. Mind you, you help the big boys because you put all your data up there every day for them to upgrade your system. See, they have a virtual you in the Pentagon, literally, every one of us. I've read these articles from the Pentagon on the air before, a virtual you, all based on your behavior and they get your behavior from all your likes and dislikes, and you put it all up, and you go into Facebook and all the other ones, and they're constantly monitoring, monitoring, monitoring, and you update it yourself. And they actually play games on it to see how you'd react in situations in the virtual-you world and they're pretty well confident, almost 100% confident that's how you'll behave in real life. Do you understand how you can get set up for anything that way?

We're so important at the bottom. These guys at the top are utterly paranoid, but they're also control freaks. And they believe they're doing the right thing to bring in this world with a passive, dumbed-down population, that just plays, in negative freedom; that's what they call it. In negative freedom you're kept dumb and stupid. And we were told too, that when they would start to take information for government agencies, oh they'd all keep themselves separate. They always fool you and lie to you, constantly; they all know where they're going. And you adapt to that and adapt to that, and then they bring in the Single View of the Citizen. This article here is called...

Achieving A Single View Of The Citizen

Citizens rely on numerous critical services from the public sector. (A: See, it's all to do with services, you understand, that's why they monitor you.) Delivering these services in a cohesive way involves uniting a diverse base of people, processes, systems and agencies.

The first step to bridging this "information divide" (A: See, that's what it's called, having all the separation of powers.) involves creating and maintaining a single view of each citizen, which allows all information systems and business processes to share accurate and consistent data. Such projects have a direct impact on the quality of services that citizens receive (A: What services do you receive from them?), from social security to building permits to child welfare programs. (A: Well, we've all got that going, right? That's what it's all for. Multi-billion programs just for that, sure it is.)

While IT professionals refer to these initiatives as Master Data Management (MDM) projects, public servants describe them in more practical terms: achieving a unified or 360-degree view of the taxpayer or citizen. (A: It's really the livestock; I call them the livestock watchers. We are the livestock, you see.) Regardless of which nomenclature is used, these projects all have the same primary objectives: connecting independent data sources, identifying common relationships, and presenting accurate information to serve an intended business purpose. (A: You know darn well it's for control purposes. Understand too, LOTS of these big organizations out there, including Google, might be licensed under a corporation, private, but they're part of the NSA. The NSA has got lots of private departments, as does the CIA, and MI6, and all the other ones out there.)


Establishing Master Data Records

Single View projects are particularly valuable for agencies that provide many types of social service programs, each with unique needs, eligibility requirements, and funding sources. (A: Then they give you different examples.) For example, Louisiana Department of Children and Family Services has embarked on a software integration project called Common Access Front End (CAFÉ) (A: Well see, they've got the same systems going on in Scotland, and they monitor you, and they've said it, from birth to death. But it's so as they can serve you better, you understand. But they've got to know everything about you first.)

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

October 23, 2025 at 9:44 am

EDIT | MOVE | SPLIT | TRASH | SPAM | UNAPPROVE | REPLY [#1625](#)



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

Now, what I do is go through the history of the big system that has become very, very evident in your lifetime right now as you're going through the changes; this is the time for change. This is the century of change. People have been working for well over a hundred years, you can actually go back a few hundred years but they came out openly a hundred years ago and decided that they, themselves, the elite of the world, had the right to organize the world the way that they said it should be run, basically. They had no problems with eugenics; they believed they were the top of species and they'd proven it by getting to the very top and holding on to positions of power for generations. They have no problem too bringing in slavery. In fact, Charles Galton Darwin said there's always been slavery in one form or another and in his book *The Next Million Years* he says we're now in the process of creating a more efficient form of slavery. And they've done it: you're all in it whether you know it or not. And the purpose for you is to pay taxes and to serve the greater good of society. And the greater good, of course, is decided by those at the top who run the world like a private club. And basically, they are comprised of private clubs, all working together— an umbrella organization you might say. They have their own Foundations which finance thousands of nongovernmental-organizations and thousands of even TV faces that give you all your nice panda shows on television and wildlife shows. They finance so much of what you see on television, it's just incredible. But they also put their own members into politics, into the Council on Foreign Relations, and they also put a big amount of your bureaucrats in your central governments into their jobs as well.

So, this has been going on for an awful long time and right now you're going through transformation; this is the time for change as I say, but they also call it "the great transformation" as we go into this new kind of socialist system of tracking, tracing, government agencies on your back for everything. And this is just the start of it— it's nowhere to where they want to go, believe you me. They're going to go all the way with this, from birth to death. And some countries have trials of this right now; even little countries like Scotland they're using birth to death tracking: all information on you (health-wise, mental-wise, and literally mental testing) from babies right on through your entire lives, to make sure, you see, that they start breeding the right kind of slaves for the next society, a society that most of you won't...You'll see a glimpse of it here and there as it comes in but most of you will be dead by the time it's really finished around the year 2050 or so. And they publish this kind of stuff in their own textbooks. Academia is a big, big part of it of course, as is the complete media out there, to give you a fake reality and academia has been on board with this because they all think, of course, being specialists and experts and scientists, that they also know better on how the world should be run.

Back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I'm back; we're Cutting Through the Matrix. And we always get spells cast upon the world once in a while, big spells (nine-eleven was a big spell). And of course the world goes into shock, as desired. And we also had repetitions, psychic driving they call it, of the same shots for days on end of the towers getting hit and eventually them going down, and that's called psychic driving, to get a point across; it gets embedded in your head. And the idea of that psychic-driving type of visualization was taken from racing cars years ago, and they did studies on it too; that people who saw them over and over and over again would remember them for the rest of their lives, that particular accident, and they'd even have dreams or nightmares about it. So they use the techniques now in the world when they want a big point made, a big point with an agenda behind it. And we know that the United Nations organization back in the 60's had a treaty designed to register all small firearms across the whole planet. Eventually the U.N. is to be in charge of everything across the planet, of course, they pretty well are at the moment, but they're a front-group, again, for the Royal Institute of International Affairs (the big group that set up this world system with the Council on Foreign Relations as basically their American compatriot). And right now they've got another big gun bill going through too, waiting to be signed too so that everything will be registered, and registration of course means that you no longer own that thing (even your car is the same thing). You register something, it's not yours anymore; it's a legality and it can be taken from you anytime they want.

We get spells cast, as I say, and they're more bizarre nowadays, you see. It has to be a really bizarre spell today to get through to the general public, and so Disneyland becomes reality. And Disneyland certainly has been a big part of your programming all your lives, for those who've watched it, right down to the loving, they're like cuddly little animals etc., to do with nature so that they can stop you getting into nature eventually and that's the only place you will ever see it, is on television, because they're taking over massive parklands as they bring in Agenda 21 and start concentrating the people from the rural areas into the cities.

Now this later so-called "joker" attack is so bizarre of course and nothing makes any sense to it at all that it has to be contrived in a very clever way and I'm not talking about the joker himself because he's got all the traits of a guy who is mind-bombed, obviously mind-bombed. And not only that, there's not enough information on his background to even give you a thread of having these kind of mental problems. But it will have the desired effect of course, because now everyone will be terrified to go into theatres, and here's an article here for instance. You know, where they always know what's going to happen, but the Department of Homeland Security and FBI gave a warning out on May 17th, 2012 about movie theatre attacks. And it says...

Obama and friends warn law enforcement nationwide of a theater attack two months before it happened. Barry even told us it would be a deranged lone wolf shooter, while running practice drills nationwide for it.

(Alan: And they were running some practice drills nearby actually on this very thing, when it happened.)

Obama left his fingerprints all over the crime. It appears the FBI attempted to remove from the Internet a warning from 2 months ago that US theaters would be attacked. FBI document showing a terrorist

warning issued on May 17, 2012 to watch out for possible attacks at American theaters disappears from the internet after a gunman

(A: This is the joker...)

opened fire early on Friday at a suburban Denver movie theater, leaving 12 people dead and scores others injured. A new video posted on the YouTube claims that a PDF document warning FBI on possible attacks on US theaters has been removed from the internet minutes after it was put on Facebook.

However, the cache is there and I'll put a link to that tonight.

They always know what's going to happen of course; and even that's part of the spell as well: the warning first. Like nine-eleven, nobody knew it was going to happen except Israel. Israel knew; they warned the U.S. The head of MI-6 in Britain warned the U.S.; that was on the BBC the day that it happened. Everybody knew it was going to happen except, apparently, the U.S. Well, it had to happen, you see, or you wouldn't have got this whole war scenario going on, this constant warfare, constant conflict we're going through today. So anyway, I'll put this link up tonight and a whole bunch of other ones. And, it says...

Former Homeland Security head: Heated political rhetoric threatens public safety

Former Secretary of Homeland Security Michael Chertoff said Sunday the rise of incendiary political rhetoric in recent years threatens public safety.

(A: I mean, they brought this guy in from outside from another country, as you all know, to run the U.S. and he also pushed all the scanners and then he pushed the company that sells them and has partnerships with them too. I mean, good business plan. These guys use your countries like private businesses. Anyway, he says...)

"No one can say that any particular comment leads a madman to decide to do this but I do believe that the general coarsening and aggravation of the dialogue, the fact that disagreement is often characterized as a matter of people having enemies or wanting to commit acts of violence does affect some minority of individuals and that raises the danger to everybody," he said on NBC's "Meet the Press".

Chertoff made his comment in response to a 1995 quote from former President Bill Clinton after the Oklahoma City bombing: "We hear so many loud and angry voices in America today whose sole goal seems to be to try to keep some people as paranoid as possible and the rest of us all torn up and upset with each other. They spread hate, they leave the impression that, by their very words, that violence is acceptable."

(A: And, so anyway...)

Rep. Carolyn McCarthy (D-N.Y.), a leading gun control advocate whose husband was killed in the 1993 Long Island Railroad shooting, endorsed Chertoff's comments and admonished some of her colleagues.

"Since I've been in Congress I've seen over the last several years the deterioration of working with each other," McCarthy said. "When you listen to the words of some of my colleagues, are inflammatory Just

in the last past week a few of my colleagues came out with statements on other people, which are absolutely not true.”

So they’re all fighting themselves to do over Bachmann and so on as they all have their in-house fighting going on. But they also obviously want to start checking everybody’s speech now, of course. It’s all part of the speech thing; it gives more power for the internet guys to oversee you and take notes of who is saying what, thinking what, etcetera, until you will, you are going to be taught to think about every word that comes out of your mouth or anything that you type up or tweet, or anything like that because that’s the system that’s planned; it was planned a long time ago and it’s actually called in neuroscience “self-policing.” They teach you to police yourself, rather than just teach basic political correctness by force or threat they simply teach you to be a policeman over your own mind. And of course the policeman that you’ll have is the one they design for you, you’ll adopt that one; it’s safe you see— very simple. You’re living through incredible mind-manipulation, not just on individuals here and there, but on the whole planet, right now.

Now, James Holmes, this guy who became the Joker—it says...

Cops Investigating Sex Site Profile

(A: Because he did this a few days ago, apparently, before all of this happened. It says...)

“Holmes” created the profile on AdultFriendFinder.com July 5 — and included a picture of himself with reddish, orange hair ... just as officials in Aurora, CO said he looked when he was apprehended Friday morning.

(A: It says he...)

...used the screen name classicjimbo — included a cryptic message on the top of the profile which reads, "Will you visit me in prison?"

In his profile, "Holmes" says he's 6'0" and single ... with an athletic body.

Etcetera, etcetera and he likes group-sex and all that kind of stuff. However, you'll find, as I say, is this all real? Is it not? Is it put up after the fact? We don't really know. But this will add to the spell too, the different things that he's into sexually, obviously, and that will add to the profile that's either created by him or created for him.

Also, it's interesting too, you often find this is the case; the same with Columbine, the Columbine shooters' parents were all very rich and worked in military-industrial complex jobs. Nobody seemed to notice them for years practicing on machine guns and so on in the forest, blasting away. It was just like they were all invisible, even though it was all up on youtube at the time. Anyway, this one here....

Colorado shooting: Suspect's small hometown shaken after rampage

Word spread quickly in Rancho Peñasquitos in San Diego County,

(A: San Diego, remember, is a big place for the big guys up in the Pentagon, in CIA, and so on and so on, and all the black-ops that they do. They call it "the city of the grey-men." The grey-men, the go-betweens that do all the stuff in-between, do the magic stuff. Anyway, it says...)

where residents continued to grapple with the news that a suspected mass murderer hailed from their suburban community.

James Holmes, 24, who graduated from Westview High School in San Diego in 2006, was arrested after allegedly opening fire in an Aurora, Colo., theater early Friday...

It was the second violent incident in the last year to shake the small town that its residents call "PQ." Last summer a veteran San Diego child abuse detective and her 18-year-old daughter were stabbed to death at their home. Authorities charged the detective's son with the slayings.

(A: There's a lot of mind-control experimentation that goes on with their own children too, believe you me. And if you had a parent like this, mind you too, you'd be like robots, really. You really would be brought up like robots. But it says...)

Though Holmes long moved out from his parents' home in San Diego

(A: So obviously they were still there.)

to pursue neuroscience degrees at UC Riverside and then in Colorado, former high school classmates and community members Friday said they were shocked that so much violence could be tied to their hometown – a place where families pay a premium for good schools and a safe neighborhood.

But in this article here, you don't actually get any articles on anybody that knew him. You know, there's just nothing there. Did anyone know this guy? That's normally what they do, is find out who knew him and they always give you this, "oh, quiet fellow," you know, all that. There's nothing here at all. Is he a made-up... what is he? You know. And as I say, I'll put up some links on this tonight. This article here...

Real life shooting imitates training exercise at Parker medical school

The tragedy that played out in an Aurora movie theater Friday was ironically paralleled as a classroom learning experience in a medical school in Parker the same day.

That's interesting too because, remember, two years before the Columbine shooting they actually did a video in the school with the actual shooters, two years earlier, going around pretending to shoot all their classmates. Interesting. And the guy's father, of the cameraman, became the chief investigator into the shooting two years later.

Back with more after this....

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the parallel, really, operation that was going on when the shooter was at work that same day. It says...

The tragedy that played out in an Aurora movie theater Friday was ironically paralleled as a classroom learning experience in a medical school in Parker the same day.

Rocky Vista University College of Osteopathic Medicine is in the middle of holding specialized classes in disaster life support for 150 second-year medical students. Along with response to natural disasters like hurricanes and floods and terrorist attacks, one of the scenarios being used to train the students is how to respond if a shooter fires at people in a movie theater and also uses a bomb in the attack.

"The irony is amazing, just amazing," said Rocky Vista Dean Dr. Bruce Dubin.

So, isn't it rather amazing indeed, isn't it? It's a bit too amazing, but then, you always find the same scenarios and that puts people in a kind of schismatic way of thinking, a mode where part of their logic is trying to grasp it and say "no, that can't possibly be true without coordination for all this to happen" and the other part wants to believe the news and say "well we're just living in crazy times and we've got to give up all our rights because there's just too many madmen out there," and so they go with the latter, you see, and that's what the big boys want. But it's a form of casting a spell— very common actually, if you read your histories.

And remember too that the end justifies the means. When you want something through, you make it happen; it doesn't matter what it takes or the cost in human lives or anything else— you make it happen. It's the same thing when they want to take someone out that's going to be a problem. I can remember the woman who was going to bring-up...she was a main witness for the nine-eleven inquiry and she was flying to New York and the whole plane went down the day before the inquiry, with the whole lot, so 50 people died to get one person. It sounds better that way because you can't believe they'd do that and that's exactly what they... These are guys, remember, who plan wars, right down to the casualties they'll

probably have in them and they can plan the wars 20 years beforehand or more— quite calmly, coolly, you know.

This article here is a bit off topic but this has been an incredibly, incredibly heavy year for mosquitoes in Ontario and other parts of Canada. I've noticed a lot of ads out too for different things to do with mosquitoes, and yet it's one of the driest summers we've had. It doesn't make sense that they're just coming out like crazy; they need moisture to breed, and yet here they are. It makes you wonder where they're all coming from, and that itself could be a whole conspiracy theory, believe you me. But here they come again with these articles...

Mosquito pool in Newmarket tests positive for West Nile Virus

Silence

Hi folks, I'm back on I think, but the phone-line just dropped and I think we're getting interference from third-parties, probably. I'm talking about mosquitoes as well being too profuse in Ontario for the climate we've got right now. And there's been more and more mosquitoes as we go along, even though it gets drier and drier, and out comes— guess what? The West Nile Virus apparently is back again. Now I've got photographs here of mosquitoes I've caught in this area with these little jelly balls on them, red jelly balls, it's quite interesting. They're not mites by the way; there's no legs. And this is not the first year I've seen them here and I'm wondering if they're just spreading these things or dropping them or what they're doing. But they're carrying something and I have seen stuff from the bacterial warfare departments from years ago when they experimented with these little polymer jelly-stuffs to carry viruses and bacterium. It makes you wonder what's really going on. I'll put this link up to the West Nile Virus outbreak once more tonight at cuttingthroughthematrix.com.

Again, getting back to the spell, of the poster, the batman poster character. A poster was found in his apartment of Batman and one Batman mug. Now, fanatics, people who are into comics have their whole place littered with paraphernalia to do with their favorite addiction, basically. And this guy has one poster and one mug and that's that. That's not symptomatic of someone who is really into that kind of thing whatsoever, not at all. So, it's really a conjured story for this guy, obviously. But we'll never get to the bottom of it and the big boys will make sure of that. Mind you, they can give you so much information to really bend your mind that they know most people eventually switch off; they can't handle any more, and that's what they do.

Now, in Britain... Britain was taken over a long time ago. Remember, it was the first flagship to try all this on the world, creating a kind of socialist system with the fascists on top running all the money; and that's your function: is to simply produce and create taxes for the big boys to use in their big building projects and to buy their private jets and make them awfully important and get big, big bureaucracies; the big communist dream was massive bureaucracies and specialists running your lives. Well, here's how bad it got in Britain. Nick Clegg, this guy...what a name, Clegg – that's another bloodsucker by the way in Britain, you get a clegg, it lands on you and that will really suck your blood. Anyway....

Nick Clegg scheme will pay firm to wake jobless teens

(A: So the government is going to pay a company to give them wakeup calls, you see. And it says here...)

Nick Clegg has urged firms to be "creative" to get young people back into work

The government is paying a company to wake teenagers up in an effort to get them back to work, under a new scheme.

The firm, in the north-east of England, said it was “just one small part of the mentoring approach we have got”.

(A: See, now that they’ve taken all incentive away from the mind until it’s no longer hereditary, it seems, they have to start programming you on what to do. It says...)

And young people were also driven (A: They’re giving out a scheme to drive them) to interviews and helped to get into the habit of “turning up on time” to jobs.

There’s socialism for you.

Back with more after this break...

Hi, folks, I’m back. And we know that government is getting bigger and bigger all the time; that’s all it does, is expand, like a cancer. And tumors come off of it, secondaries come off of it, with every new law that comes out: a new department for bureaucrats, you see. And bureaucrats all get their families in on the deal too. Most of your central governments, all they have are hereditary bureaucrats; it’s all in the families. Anyway, it says here...

The Cost Of “Intervention”

The Competitive Enterprise Institute (CEI) is a small “think tank” in Washington DC which puts out an annual report called: “Ten Thousand Commandments”. The report deals with the regulatory agencies of the US federal government and the cost of the regulations they continually introduce – and enforce. This report would be typical of the regulatory function of pretty well every government in the world.

In all interventionist economies, regulations are not set by the “lawmakers”. The “lawmakers” merely pass the laws, their enforcement is left to the various bureaucratic departments of government. And in order to “enforce” the laws, the bureaucrats see it as their function to impose regulations – countless thousands of them. The cost of complying with these regulations is met by those being regulated. It does NOT show up in the annual budgets (funded or unfunded) of the government.

In their Ten Thousand Commandments 2012 report which was released in June, the CEI estimates the cost of US government regulation (A: at present) at \$US 1.75 TRILLION. That is just under half (48 percent) of the budget of the federal government. It is almost ten times the total of all corporate taxes collected and almost double the total collected from individual income taxes. It is also one-third higher than the total of all pre-tax corporate profits. It is the hidden cost of doing business in an interventionist economy. The fact that the cost of complying with these regulations is substantially higher than the total of corporate profits is a stark illustration of the end result of economic intervention. That end result is capital consumption.

In the US, the federal government lists its regulations in what is called the Code of Federal Regulations. These rules of the economic “game” cover 169,000 pages (A: 169,000 pages...) and more than ten new ones are added every day, seven days a week and 365 days a year. In 2011, the US Congress passed a total of 81 new “laws” while government agencies issued 3,807 new regulations. As the CEI points out, if there ever was an example of government without the consent of ANYONE – this is it.

And it gives you some more information, but it's true enough that we're just so overregulated, especially small businesses; that's why they can hardly function anymore. But mind you, even Thatcher said that, that the days of small business is over. She made sure of that too because you had so much paperwork for the government and you filled it in all day, you couldn't work at the job that you were doing, and she closed thousands of them down — same in the States, it's happening too.

And, this is quite a funny one here because the World Wildlife Fund was set up, again, with the same boys that set up the Royal Institute of International Affairs that was to take over the world, these are the boys to do it, with the Council on Foreign Relations; they also got the Trilateral Commission. But they also set up big charitable foundations as a massive group to cover wildlife in the land, to take over the land— the big long-term agenda. And they don't give a damn about wildlife but they make a lot of nonsense and noise about that they do. This one says...

Spanish WWF sacks King Juan Carlos over elephant hunt

(A: He's the head of it, this guy. And it says...)

The vote to abolish the position of honorary president was carried by a 94% majority

The conservation group WWF in Spain has removed King Juan Carlos as its honorary president for going on an elephant hunting trip in Botswana.

The WWF's Spanish chapter voted overwhelmingly to abolish the post, a statement said, adding that the safari did not sit well with WWF goals.

The king was widely criticised after news of the trip emerged in April, in the middle of a severe economic crisis.

Spain's royal family has faced a series of embarrassments this year.

King Juan Carlos apologised to the Spanish people for the hunting trip, which only came to light when he was flown home from Africa after breaking a hip.

An online petition calling for his resignation from the WWF post accumulated almost 85,000 signatures by the time he made his public apology.

So they're just sort of really, really upset that one of their own boys was caught hunting, but a lot of them actually at the top do, believe you me they really, really do in all these big organizations because nothing out there is really there for what you think it's for; you're living in the twilight zone— you really are. And before World War II they said they'd need another war to bring the people to their knees to bring in the United Nations and make people give up all their personal rights. And then they'd amalgamate all the countries into big blocs, which they went ahead to do, actually— we're still going through it today. But they also said they'd have to get the people off the rural areas and into the big cities and it will take a while for them to come down through sterilization techniques and most of them wouldn't want children now anyway. It's all been very successful, but they also decided to give nothing but lots and lots of programs about the wonderful wildlife and how lovely everything was. And these guys at the top are members of the elite who go on regular hunting trips and shootings, but they don't want you to do it, of course. Everything has got an ulterior purpose.

£13 trillion hoard hidden from taxman by global elite

(A: In Britain. The Cayman Islands is one of the ones that they use. It says...)

A global super-rich elite has exploited gaps in cross-border tax rules to hide an extraordinary £13 trillion (\$21tn) of wealth offshore – as much as the American and Japanese GDPs put together – according to research commissioned by the campaign group Tax Justice Network.

(A: Well, each time they make new tax laws they always make loopholes for themselves at the top; that's been admitted over many years.)

James Henry, former chief economist at consultancy McKinsey and an expert on tax havens, has compiled the most detailed estimates yet of the size of the offshore economy in a new report,

(A: And I'll put the link up tonight. It's called...)

The Price of Offshore Revisited, released exclusively to the Observer (A: Newspaper).

He shows that at least £13tn – perhaps up to £20tn – has leaked out of scores of countries into secretive jurisdictions such as Switzerland and the Cayman Islands with the help of private banks, which vie to attract the assets of so-called high net-worth individuals. Their wealth is, as Henry puts it, “protected by

a highly paid, industrious bevy of professional enablers in the private banking, legal, accounting and investment industries taking advantage of the increasingly borderless, frictionless global economy". According to Henry's research, the top 10 private banks, which include UBS and Credit Suisse in Switzerland, as well as the US investment bank Goldman Sachs, (A: Well, of course...)

managed more than £4tn in 2010, a sharp rise from £1.5tn five years earlier.

The detailed analysis in the report, compiled using data from a range of sources, including the Bank of International Settlements and the International Monetary Fund, suggests that for many developing countries the cumulative value of the capital that has flowed out of their economies since the 1970s would be more than enough to pay off their debts to the rest of the world.

Oil-rich states with an internationally mobile elite have been especially prone to watching their wealth disappear into offshore bank accounts instead of being invested at home, the research suggests. Once the returns on investing the hidden assets is included, almost £500bn has left Russia since the early 1990s when its economy was opened up.

(A: A lot of folk actually fled out with the money too that were the top guys that ran Russia under communism.)

Saudi Arabia has seen £197bn flood out since the mid-1970s, and Nigeria £196bn.

"The problem here is that the assets of these countries are held by a small number of wealthy individuals while the debts are shouldered by the ordinary people of these countries through their governments,"...

Well that's the same everywhere, isn't it? That's the same everywhere. See, there's no system that has ever been democratic; you'll never get it, by the way either— it's not intended ever to happen. You'll get the illusions of it once in a while, little whiffs of democracy. But the system was set up by very rich people a long time ago even before democracy came in; but they said they'd use the term "democracy" to take over other countries. See, a person who thinks they're free is a really good slave; they will work harder than ones that know that they are a slave, you know. It's an old idea; it works very well.

Australia, that's really getting hammered now because they've got the Fabian Socialists in to do the final part of restructuring— it says...

AUSTRALIA'S building industry is in survival mode, with official statistics revealing that at least two companies a day are going to the wall as labour costs continue to rise, profit margins flatline and banks play hardball on funding.

The latest statistics on liquidations and voluntary administrations show that since January 1 more than 363 companies in the building industry, excluding mining, have collapsed, more than 200 of them from New South Wales and 95 from Victoria.

What is even more alarming is the trend seems to be getting worse, with 30 building companies failing in March, 33 in April, 51 in May, 63 in June and a whopping 40 collapsing in the first 10 days of July.

But that's progress, you see, because Australia is being restructured to blend in and eventually get merged in with China.

Air Force to begin testing drone-fired lasers over North Dakota

(A: Isn't that nice? You'll see nice flashing lights in the sky.)

On July 26, the U.S. Air National Guard will get the green light to begin firing lasers from unmanned attack drones in a vast swath of skies over North Dakota, despite the concerns of local commercial pilots.

(A: Well, what about the people? What about the people? Supposing these drones start, you know, getting a little tip here and there and they end up hitting the ground? I mean, but that doesn't really matter, eh? I guess we're self-replenishing, aren't we? We kind of breed, once in a while; or we can always bring in immigration if your own are not breeding.)

At the Devils Lake home of the North Dakota Army National Guard, pilots train on MQ-1 Predator drones — the most prevalent unmanned attack vehicle in the military arsenal. In late June the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) published an updated set of rules and regulations covering Devils Lake, creating several large restricted airspaces over the Camp Gilbert C. Grafton military base.

The reason: the Air Force plans to begin tests of potentially dangerous lasers shot remotely from the drone.

"Sorties will be limited to the minimum necessary for training, be confined to restricted airspace,

(A: Well how far can a laser go, eh?)

and be executed against ground targets for laser designation, completely within an existing Army small arms weapons training range," Billie Jo Lorus, a public information officer

(A: P.R. manager, that's what that means...)

with the North Dakota National Guard, told FoxNews.com.

(A: Now, P.R. is propaganda, remember. So, everything that you hear from any spokesman for any organization is always propaganda, when it says P.R. And...)

The lasers aren't intended as weapons, as were those built on the jumbo jet operated by the Air Force in the Airborne Laser Test Bed program, which was officially mothballed in February. Rather they are targeting lasers fixed on a spot on the ground, which can be used to steer other explosives to a target.

The Air Force is not testing them yet but expects to begin soon, Lorus said.

Well I bet they're doing all of that, including using them for bringing down other craft and so on; no doubt about it. Remember: they never tell you the truth. They never do tell you the truth; that's why they have the P.R. specialists to talk to the media.

The Marine Corps... this is obviously happening in a big way; I know it's happening with the "hands across the sea" idea that Canada and other countries have had for years where you bring in Russians and even Chinese Military and you help train with them on your local civic laws— that's what they train them in. It makes you wonder why they're bringing in military guys from other countries to train them in your local civic laws. Well, and eventually...it's much easier in the U.S. because the people really don't mind so much in the U.S. now; they've got so much entertainment. And it says here....

Marine Corps creates law-enforcement battalions

The Marine Corps has created its first law-enforcement battalions — consolidated units of military police officers trained to investigate a variety of crimes. Combat in Iraq and Afghanistan has underscored the relevance of such a force, as Marines have increasingly found themselves playing street cop in addition to combat duties.

Now, this is a very dangerous thing because military are meant to go in and kill folk and that's been a longstanding divide between that and the police because police are not supposed to, although they are doing it now, killing folk as well. I guess it's all getting kind of blurred and they can all really just swap uniforms or maybe just use the same uniform eventually. It's all kind of black today, isn't it? And they've got their camo as well for urban warfare and all these toys and things which they all seem to carry. In fact, the shooter (again, getting back to the shooter at the theatre), when the cops caught the guy, actually they didn't really catch him: they thought he was one of theirs; he had all the gear on— maybe even better stuff than they had, I don't know. Anyway, this is a bad move when you find battalions being created to do policing work from the military. I don't care what "corps" it is, but it's definitely a military corps, and these guys are meant for hard, hard stuff, believe you me. There's an article in the paper today about a judge who was off duty and he was walking down a street; the cops had a man on the

ground and the judge actually thought they needed some help so he phoned for backup and as he was standing there one of the cops went over to him and gave him a karate chop in the throat, the kind that you're taught to do in the military. See, they're actually hiring lots of military guys now; they have been for years and that's why they're really heavy-handed when they deal with civilians. They don't see you as any different than a person in any other country that they're taught and trained to despise— they don't, you know. Anyway, the judge was put down by this punch to the throat and had to go to the hospital.

Proponents of Canada's Online Spying Bill Still Trying to Justify Excessive Powers

Canada's online surveillance bill may be on hold for now, but a recent news article confirms that a rather formidable figure has been angling for its return: Richard Fadden, head of the Canadian equivalent of the FBI.

(A: That's CSIS, or "Big SIS" we call it here.)

Fadden, director of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS), wrote in a letter that the highly contentious Bill C-30 was "vital" to protecting national security.

(A: I'd love to see who "National Security" is; it's not us. It's obviously like a special group.)

The letter was sent to Public Safety Minister Vic Toews, the driver behind Bill C-30, in late February. It was released to the Canadian Press in response to a request filed under the Access to Information Act.

As EFF has noted before, Bill C-30 would introduce new police powers allowing Canadian authorities easy access to individuals' online activities, including the power to force Internet companies to hand over private customer data without a warrant. It would also pave the way for gag orders preventing online service providers from notifying subscribers that their private data has been disclosed — a move that would make it impossible for users to seek legal recourse for privacy violations.

(A: Well, in other words, you have no rights.)

Similar gag orders are frequently imposed in the United States, when the FBI issues national security letters (NSLs) seeking customer information. In a case EFF has taken on to challenge an NSL statute on behalf of a telecommunications company that received one of these secret letters in 2011, fundamental due process and First Amendment issues arising from these gag order provisions are a central concern.

So, this will get pushed; if CSIS wants it they'll get what they want because they serve the elite.

Back with more after this break...

Hi folks, I'm back and we're Cutting Through the Matrix. A Canadian company, mind you, is doing pretty good; it's moving to the States in fact, pretty well wholesale. And it's into shoes, shoes for I.D. No kidding—yeah...

New lab working on security shoe sole to ID people, experts say it raises privacy questions

(A: No kidding, eh?)

A new lab is working to perfect special shoe insoles that can help monitor access to high-security areas, like nuclear power plants or special military bases.

The concept is based on research that shows each person has unique feet,

(A: No kidding. Have you seen some of them out there?)

and ways of walking. Sensors in the bio-soles check the pressure of feet, monitor gait, and use a microcomputer to compare the patterns to a master file for that person. If the patterns match the bio-soles go to sleep. If they don't, a wireless alarm message can go out.

"It's part of a shoe that you don't have to think about," said Marios Savvides, head of Carnegie Mellon University's new Podo-Biometrics Lab, in Pittsburgh.'

(A: They're getting into the act on everything; maybe even walking canes next—I mean, who knows...)

The lab, which has \$1.5 million in startup funding,

(A: Probably part of the government, you know...)

is a partnership with Autonomous ID, a Canadian company that is relocating to several U.S. cities. Todd Gray, the company president, said he saw the potential when his daughter was in a maternity ward decorated with representations of different baby feet all along a wall.

Autonomous ID has been working on prototypes since 2009, with the goal of making a relatively low cost ID system.

So, they can put it into soldiers' boots, policemen's boots, everybody's boots, basically, and eventually it will go into probably just the shoe itself; you'll buy them like that through Wal-Mart because it'll be the only place you can buy them eventually, and wherever you go they'll know where you are and what you're doing and if that's really you. It's all thought out way ahead in advance.

The shooter by the way, getting back to the shooter again, it says here that...

Shooter Took Prescription Drug Before Attack

(A: He certainly looks like it on the photographs that are up there when he was in court; his eyes are popping out.)

First responders to the Aurora theater shooting at first thought the shooter was a fellow officer, and the shooter had taken 100mg of Vicodin about two and half hours before the shooting...

(A: It says the...)

24-year-old former grad student who police identified as the shooter, told police he was acting alone and tipped them to the booby trapped explosives in his Aurora apartment.

(A: Why would he go to all that trouble and then just blab-off and tell them what he'd done? That makes no sense either.)

But he then asked for a lawyer and stopped cooperating.

According to sources, he had nine clips in an ammo carrier and police found at least three .40-caliber magazines, a shotgun and a large drum magazine on the floor when they arrived.

One source believed the ammo drum for the AR-15 had jammed sometime during the shooting.

Sources describe an eerie scene with dying and wounded people on the floor, strobe lights flashing through the smoke, screaming sirens and the Batman movie playing in the background.

(A: That would be very surrealistic, but mind you, a lot of folk are kind of brought up in surrealism, aren't they really? They're kind of in it already.)

The incident started with Holmes in the theater watching a movie for a few minutes. Witnesses said he left and returned dressed in the body armor and tactical gear, according to sources.

(A: He had...)

...tear gas grenades

(A: Which he threw around...)

and began firing three weapons — a 12-gauge shotgun, a .40 caliber Glock pistol and an AR-15 assault rifle, according to sources.

(A: And then he...)

...exited the theater where he was confronted by at least two officers.

The source familiar with the investigation indicated that police noted in reports that Holmes told them he took 100 mg of Vicodin.

Awfully cooperative guy, after just shooting them all up, very calm and the whole bit, but completely spaced out as well. It ain't normal, folks. It ain't normal.

Neuroscience is a funny thing because they do experiments, amazing ones, on their own students.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario Canada, it's goodnight and may your God, or your Gods, go with you.

October 23, 2025 at 9:44 am

EDIT | MOVE | SPLIT | TRASH | SPAM | UNAPPROVE | REPLY [#1626](#)

We live in an amazing time, an amazing time to be alive. You understand, your ancestors, basically, previous generations had to speculate about this particular time. They used religion, Revelation and a whole bunch of other things to try and understand what was happening, because these guys at the top do certainly follow the book of Revelation, very, very well, to the letter in fact. And they got together a long



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

time ago, centuries ago, and in the early 20th century they came out with their big books written by themselves outlining this plan for the future, the scientific society they'd bring in with themselves in control at the top. Academia would be on board to brainwash the public and select those of the managerial class who would manage the public for them. That's why all academia is on board, for something called progress, which is never defined to those below. And of course, progress means the eugenical society, transhumanism, the creation of new kinds of slaves for the future that will be very efficient, very cost-effective too – that's very important to those at the top. Because see, the big banking boys that already owned a good chunk of the world in the late 1800s, were the guys who set up the big foundations that now run the world, the parallel government. They tell government what to do. They put their own boys into government from the Council on Foreign Relations; every President and Prime Minister across the planet is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations. And of course, you don't vote for them so why go through with the farce of democracy? You are voting in ONE agenda worldwide. It doesn't matter who you vote for because the leaders are always picked and selected by the Council on Foreign Relations as members, and they have already given their oaths to this organization to continue and fulfill their obligations, no matter what it takes. So politics, really, is just for the lesser ones at the bottom. They're allowed a certain amount of competition, according to Carroll Quigley, but the ones at the top always belong, and have for a hundred years, to the Council on Foreign Relations/Royal Institute of International Affairs. Back with more after this.

Hi folks, I'm back and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. Those at the top understand control, and the control using power and how power should be used to control the public, and the easiest way to do it is to terrify the public. Terror always works. Generally in the past it's been those boys over there – wars, in other words – those bad guys over there. But we're going into a global society and eventually they'll run out of those boys over there, because they do plan to take down all the Muslim countries across the planet as well. And what's left after that? you see. So it's very difficult to get those boys over there, especially China, when you're a trading partner with China and they've got special trading status with you; they're really up there. China now is also grabbing, making power grabs for islands that are sort of under dispute, right now in fact, at this moment.

What I mean to get at is the fact that power is used all the time, and FEAR is used all the time on the populations, of all countries; that's what they do. Whoever rules the country uses power and fear, and, we're here to protect you and you've got to quiver and allow us to get on with it, to keep you safe. And by the time you're finished of course, you have no rights whatsoever, you're in a totalitarian system; they'll never call it that at home, of course, naturally – even though if you just believe your eyes once in a while

you can see what it really is. And that's the way they want it to be because they're at a very teetering point right now, a teetering point of going into the new system of authoritarianism.

Remember, the Club of Rome is the big think tank for the United Nations; the UN itself is a front for the Royal Institute of International Affairs and the bankers who set it up. And they basically are turning their guns on all the different countries right now they can still turn them on, but they want to standardize the world very, very quickly, very, very quickly and they want to use terror at home to do it all as well. And it's an authoritarian society; the Club of Rome says it's the only way it will work because democracy will never work. But meanwhile, the UN and all the other countries, in their usual hypocrisy, use the term democracy to cover the evil doings that they're up to. You see. They don't intend anyone to have democracy in this brave new world at all, but they will certainly use it, the term that is, like a shield, to get the moral high ground.

Tonight I'll put up an interview, it's a video, with Roger Hayes that was kidnapped from his home by the police, given a behind-the-doors trial, in secrecy, and slammed off to jail... but he's managed to get out. So this is the first interview, apparently, that's been released since he got out. He's the British Constitution group chairman so it will be interesting to see what he has to say. I'll put that up, and all these articles I mention tonight I'll put up at CuttingThroughTheMatrix.com after the end of the broadcast.

UK Column Live – 23rd July 2012 – Interview With Roger Hayes – youtube.com

Now, we've seen this happening in the States – it's amazing how it's happening across the world at the same time. People have been told, by their governments, you're eating too much, food is getting expensive, plant gardens and all this kind of stuff. And then when you do it, in come the authorities and they rip it up and fine you or charge you or whatever. This is happening now in Canada and there's a couple it says in Québec...

Couple refuse to rip up ILLEGAL vegetable garden

after demands from draconian council

dailymail.co.uk / By Daily Mail Reporter / 23 July 2012

(Alan: See, we're run by all these petty bureaucracies now that have been given TOO much authority, because you've allowed them to get so much authority, basically. And all they do, once they get into power, is sit and make rules; that's all they do is make rules all the time. And most folk are oblivious of the rules until they come knocking at your door, or barging through it. This says...)

As the world battles an obesity epidemic, one Canadian council is going around ripping up thriving vegetable gardens.

This spring, Michel Beauchamp and wife Josee Landry planted an elaborate vegetable garden on the front lawn at their Drummondville, Quebec, home and the cucumbers, tomatoes, beets and Brussels sprouts they grow have helped him shed 75lb and her 25lb.

But town code states such a garden can only take up 30 per cent of front yards in the St-Charles-de-Drummond neighbourhood where they live – the rest must be grass.

The deadline was yesterday, but Mr Beauchamp and Ms Landry refused to comply.

They are now petitioning for the council to relax its draconian rules, which will see them facing fines of up to \$300 a day from tomorrow. (A: They charge interest on these things too, you know.)

'It must be a right to be able to grow our vegetables on our land. It is nonsense to ban it,' Mr Beauchamp told CBC.

The gardeners have garnered more than 6,000 signatures promoting their cause.

Authorities told the TV station that community members had complained about the blossoming garden but Mr Beauchamp said no one had complained to him and that they share much of what they grow.

It's just these petty, little – what can you call it – these little snoops that are hired. And it's jobs for their families too; bureaucrats always hire their families into these things and they create work for them, make-work projects, and they go around snooping on people and looking in their windows and all the rest of it. It's time, you understand, if the people don't cut back on this massive bureaucracy locally and in the federal level too, we're all done for. We're all done for, absolutely. They'll have you in straitjackets before you know it, and that's what they're trying to do.

And there's a time when you've got to say, enough, that's it, no more... no more. Because it's just like that Roger Hayes in Britain; he refused to pay taxes to the town councils, because they're giving cash abroad to kill folk and all this kind of stuff, illegal wars, and where do you take your stand? When you take a stand you will become a martyr, there's no doubt about it. But when do you take your stand? And who's going to take the stand? Do enough people really care? Is it worth taking a stand for humanity in the first place? I mean, look around you. And these are all the things that will go through your head as you perhaps take your stand. Maybe you can only do it for yourself, for what's right, but if you let them walk

over you they just continue to get more powerful and madness creeps in, absolute madness, when they stop you growing food.

And apart from that the food you're buying, in Canada especially, is all GM anyway; it's poisonous. It is poisonous and they know it's poisonous, those who forced it on the Canadian public. And it's way too expensive as well, ridiculously expensive as the big corporate farmers take over with the help of government. So if you try to be healthy at all, yeah they'll stop it, they'll stop it if they can, but it's up to you to keep going. That's all you can do. So those who want to can also write to these two people in Québec and give them some support, and maybe tell the Council where to go.

Now, this article is from the Government Security News. There's a lot to do with this particular shooting; it cast a spell. As I say, that's what they do with these guys, they cast a spell over the world, always at the right time when the government's trying to get something through. And Obama and other countries are going to sign, of course, this treaty with the United Nations, or agreement. They've done it before, actually, back in the 60s – I've put that up before – where they wanted to basically, eventually ban all small arms from the general public across the planet. Now they also want to register those who have weapons and firearms across the whole planet. Right at the right time you get the thing happening; it never fails, never fails. And remember, the end justifies the means, as I say; that's what they truly believe at the top, and that was said by Cecil Rhodes when he had the Rhodes Foundation set up with Lord Rothschild, they would use some of the Jesuit tactics, he said, and they would form it in a Masonic type system of secrecy, and oaths, which they did. And they joined with the Milner banking group, international money lenders, and formed the Royal Institute of International Affairs with other branches called the Council on Foreign Relations. Anyway, it says...

Theater shooting highlights difficulties with lone offenders and 'soft targets,' said NJ fusion center

gsnmagazine.com / 2012-07-24 / Mark Rockwell

(A: These fusion centers they've got across the whole world now basically, not just in the States, and they're all interactive with each other. So this is from the government...)

An incident assessment issued by the New Jersey intelligence fusion center in the hours after the mass shooting in Colorado showed that although law enforcement didn't believe terrorism was involved, it illustrated the pernicious security difficulties with lone shooters and soft targets. (A: What they're getting at is, everyone has to have no privacy for the world to be safe, you see. This is where it's going, absolutely is where it's going.)

An incident assessment posted by the New Jersey Regional Operations Intelligence Center (ROIC) on July 20 following the attack, said the "greatest threat facing security officials today is that of the Lone Offender or Homegrown Violent Extremist," because of their focus on simple plots with short operational cycles that give law enforcement little time to detect and disrupt them. (A: Again, it's the... obviously it's a straight white male in this case. Before that it was going to be all Muslims, apparently. And then they didn't find enough of the Muslims so they started to go into, oh you're all guilty, you're all potential terrorists, can you remember that? Anyone can be a terrorist; you might not be today but you might be tomorrow.)

New Jersey's ROIC the state's hub for intelligence and receives information and personnel from the FBI, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, (A: ...and all the other alphabet soup agencies...) regional partners like the NYPD and neighboring state police; state agencies; county, municipal, and non-governmental partners. It is the command center for all state-led emergency response operations, including natural disasters, chemical or nuclear emergencies, and terror alerts.

The deadly Colorado attack, said the assessment, which was made available on the Public Intelligence Web site, "also shows the inherent vulnerability of traditionally 'soft targets' in the commercial sector which includes casinos/hotels, malls, shopping centers, sports and entertainment venues, schools

(including Faith Based), and hospitals.” (A: It means streets and everything; in other words, EVERYWHERE you go... outside your home.)

“The potential terrorist threat to the commercial sector in New Jersey is high and an incident such as this illustrates the inherent vulnerability of soft targets throughout the state,” it said. It warned law enforcement for possible copycat attacks.

So in other words, we’re going to see a whole bunch of slews of laws coming down the pike because as I say, it literally means... They’re trying to validate all their snooping on all your mails and what you look at and all your data; they’re trying to validate that, you see, and they’re trying to validate the fact that they’ve been personality profiling you for years on the computer. This is what they’re doing with it. And eventually they’ll, now, put it into writing and say, yeah, okay, now it’s official; we’ve been doing it unofficially, now it’s official... for safety, you understand. Back with more after this.

Hi folks, I’m back and we’re Cutting Through The Matrix. When they do big, big scenarios like 9/11 or whatever they’re doing, we always have the same kind of... there’s just too many unanswered questions, of course, which they never will answer because they’d have to admit there’s some involvement with your own security agencies perhaps, things like that. There’s been so many over the last few years that you can’t really keep count on them across the world.

But they do love the occult, of course, and the occult is a way to smack you in the mouth and show how silly you are. But also, it’s part of the spell that they cast on you as well; it gets everyone chatting about it, all the strange things that are happening here. A guy who dresses like the Joker, just because he has red hair, it’s maybe even a wig for all we know. But the fact is, it’s so bizarre, it captivates the minds of the people. And he might not have even been in the darned theater for all we know; they certainly got him outside of it. And even already you’re getting conflicting stories from the police that got him. On the one hand they tell you he was there with all his gear on; they thought he was one of them, he was at his car at that time. Today they show you where he was walking from the theater, supposedly, cast, and where he dumped all the body armor and different things near a dumpster before he got to his car. So which

one's true? You see, you get these conflicting stories, because the boys at the top haven't got everybody in on the right story yet, and there will eventually be the right story when they're finished with it all.

And you find you have odd things too, like the number plate of his car, is 119, which is 9/11 backwards obviously, and you have roc, R O C, and those that have read the Arabian Nights of course know that Roc was the big flying monster that brought destruction upon the world. But roc is also the division of guess what? – I mean we're talking about Batman here – Penguin books. You know, the Penguin, the other archenemy, for science fiction. It's just strange how it all ties together. And then you find this one here too, to do with Detective Comics. They used to call them DC Comics because it was Detective Comics that brought in the superheroes after they went through the old type detectives, but they still called it DC Comics. Anyway it says...

Detective Comics #572

everything2.com / Mar 27 2004

Pop-culture historians regard Detective Comics as the first comic devoted exclusively to one theme. While it began with a variety of detective-related stories, from hard-boiled Slam Bradley to Sax Rohmer adaptations, fans remember the comic for one principal reason: it introduced the Batman.

In March, 1987, DC decided to celebrate 50 years of its landmark periodical with a special story, "The Doomsday Book." As Mike W. Barr explains on the inside cover, they knew it would be a Batman story—he's dominated the title since 1939— but they wanted to include other aspects of Detective's history. The resulting story proves amusing, and it teams Batman and Robin with the aged Slam Bradley, Detective alumnus Elongated Man, and the celebrated Sherlock Holmes. (A: HOLMES... Does that ring a bell? Holmes.... meeting Batman?) Sir Arthur Conan Doyle's great detective had, after all, shaped the genre in which this comic was rooted, and 1987 marked his centennial.

The cover features Batman and Holmes examining Detective #27, the cover of which also hangs on the wall. That issue, of course, marked Batman's first appearance. This is a comic, so Holmes appears at his most iconic: deerstalker cap, inverness coat, and curved pipe. Within, the long and tangled tale begins in a dive bar on Christmas, with a private eye borrowed from another subgenre.

So it's amazing how you've even got Batman meeting Holmes, and here we have the Holmes guy who thinks he's the Joker, supposedly; it's what we're told. And it makes no sense at all. The guy was definitely drugged; easy enough to drug someone, easy enough to work on them for a short period of time actually, especially if you're a student in neuroscience. Because in neuroscience – and a lot of these different areas in university today – they will experiment on their own students, first and foremost. And they do simple ones too to find out who's the most easily suggestible, hypnotic subjects, that type of thing and they can work on them quite, quite simply. It's very easy to do.

So we don't even know who really did this to be honest with you. But too much of it stinks... when you get stuff like this turning up. And as I say, the classic thing, his number plate for his car, is 119, 9/11, and ROC of course a division of Penguin books for science fiction. You can't make this stuff up. And this is what happens over and over again with all of these kinds of staged scenarios, with the patsies there at the end. But this guy's out of it obviously. A guy who's out of it cannot wire his home to go up in flames. He wouldn't have the concentration to do it, never mind the learning on how to do it in the first place, and plan way ahead to get all that ammunition and so on and so on and so on... and all the right kind of stuff. And also too, you get the pictures with the gas masks; the gas masks are coming out everywhere right now. They even have a guy with a gas mask on in the Denver airport, of course, you know the place where they have strange things with all the children, there's children from all over the world, one lies in a coffin, but you see the people around the figures and there's a guy with a gas mask on there too. Big thing, gas masks right now. Interesting.

Symbology, and it's occult language in symbology of course. Because it affects the psyche of the hearer, the listeners, it casts a spell on them. And it does, it really does. Even if they don't understand it, it casts a kind of spell on them, puts them in a limbo state where they're easily suggestible themselves and then

they have to go along with the mainstream after all... Nobody's safe, it's scary out there, all these dark forces working on us. Back with more after this.

Detective Comics – wikipedia.com

Gunman who massacred 12 at movie premiere used same drugs that killed Batman star Heath Ledger and messaged web lovers to ask... Will you visit me in prison? – dailymail.co.uk / 20 July 2012

Holmes's House "Like a Bomb" – Colorado shooting victim's wife has baby; 20 still hospitalized – reuters.com

Colorado Shooter James Holmes's Family History Goes Back to the Mayflower – thedailybeast.com / Jul 22, 2012

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix. The big boys at the top, of course, are bringing it all out today. Biodefense...

New U.S. biodefense R&D network launched

Homelandsecuritynews.com / July 2012

On Monday, Texas A&M System dedicated a new research center which is part of a national network of centers aiming to develop strategies and products to counter bioterrorism, chemical and radiological attacks on the United States, and better strategies to deal with pandemics; the network will have facilities in Texas, Maryland, and North Carolina; the Texas dedication is the culmination of a Manhattan Project-like program for biological countermeasures, launched in 2004 by the Department of Health and Human Services; the research network aims to develop “rapid, nimble and flexible approaches” to vaccine and therapy development, and train the next generation of professionals to sustain U.S. capabilities in these areas.

On Monday, Texas A&M System dedicated a new research center which is part of a national network of centers aiming to develop strategies and products to counter bioterrorism, chemical and radiological attacks on the United States, and better strategies to deal with pandemics.

In June, the Texas A&M System, a system which includes eleven universities and 120,000 students, won a large federal contract (A: See, all academia is on board with all of this, always has been.) to become a major national hub of vaccine production and bioterror preparedness. (A: Which means too, if they ever get vaccines for anything made at these universities the patent goes to the big boys, the usual suspects at the top that own the vaccine industry. They get it all for nothing; you pay for it all. Apart from that too, do you really want all these students going into this area, dealing with deadly, deadly, often man-made diseases? Do you remember the 12 Monkeys movie? That's your real danger, is one of those guys in a lab coming out with this stuff. He was really pissed off with mankind in general and lets the whole lot loose; that's where the danger lays. Anyway it says...) The contract, announced by U.S. Department of Health and Human Services secretary Kathleen Sebelius, calls for the creation of a Center for Innovation in Advanced Development and Manufacturing. The center is expected to last twenty-five years and likely is worth \$1.5 billion to \$2 billion. (A: Of course it will be a lot more than that, believe you me.) The centers – the Texas A&M center is part of 5-center network, which will also have facilities in Maryland and North Carolina – are part of a federal plan to improve preparedness that is being led by the U.S. Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority. (A: Everything's authorities now, aren't they? Everything's authority... Mind you, it's an authoritarian system as the Club of Rome said, because democracy just won't do. And it trains us each time we read it too, doesn't it?)

I'll put up tonight too, I've put it up before, but it's about Australia. They're really getting kicked in many nasty areas right now by their Fabian-Socialist Prime Minister, who's really tried to shut down all the, and really brought in censoring on all the media over there; still trying to do it as well. In fact I pulled up a link today, and I cannot bring up the links from Australia to do with her censoring the media. I get all the proper links but they just cut me right off. This says...

stopbigbrother.com.au

Do you trust the Gillard Government to know all your internet passwords? (A: ...and basically all about you.) Do you want them to read every email you send, ever post you make on facebook, every private message you send, every tweet you make?

I'll put this link up for you tonight and for those who want to join it there's a little video on it; you can help the guy who's trying to fight it. There's lots of them trying to stop it. And they have to stop it because they're really going full-steam ahead into it, which will be really the poster child – Gillard is the poster... Actually, Gillard is the poster child, I guess, for Stalin I suppose, you know. He didn't have very good eyesight either, but mind you that's what she really sees herself as being.

Another one too, is to do with Agenda 21. And this guy became the mayor – I remember reading it at the time, he became the mayor. I wonder if he's related to the famous one.

Richard Rothschild Battles Local Agenda 21

Morphcity.com / Cassandra Anderson / July 23, 2012

(A: This is a turn up for the books, if you can grow turnips; I don't know anymore.)

Richard Rothschild was the first county commissioner to officially oppose the United Nations' International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI), also known as Local Governments for Sustainability. (A: Now, this ICLEI has basically grafted themselves onto almost every local council across the US and in Canada as well. Just quietly doing it, you see, like sudden experts that just grafted themselves on, and there was no opposition of course, and they start eventually ruling the councils, telling them what they can do and cannot do for sustainability. Anyway Mr. Rothschild is fighting this and it says...) In fact, Mr. Rothschild won the November 2010 election in Carroll County, Maryland based on his opposition to ICLEI, which is the local UN Agenda 21 Sustainable Development action plan that attacks property and Constitutional rights.

Find out why Rothschild is opposed to Agenda 21 and ICLEI.

Commissioner Rothschild's most profound observations since taking office have been that the federal and state governments use coercive grant money (A: They always do that.) to expand their power beyond Constitutional limits and that all of the processes of government are geared toward spending money, which leads to budgets that spin out of control.

Carroll County is a rural area that is under threat of urbanization, mixed-use buildings (compact apartment-like housing also called "stack 'em and pack 'em" units) and social engineering.

Mr. Rothschild is devoted to protecting Carroll County's citizens' Constitutional rights. He has encountered forceful opposition in his pursuit of upholding the Constitution (A: It's amazing, you're trying

to uphold it, but it's all changed you see, because your government isn't going by the Constitution at all.) and respect for property rights from the phony environmentalist policies of the US government and the State of Maryland.

However, he has been able to make some significant changes in Carroll County's Municipal Master Plan, the foundation for development, that include steering his county away from mixed use development and abolishing certain socialist language like "social equity".

While Carroll County planning and zoning officials have been cooperative with Commissioner Rothschild, he has been restrained in fully protecting citizens' rights because of federal laws, mostly handed down by the EPA, and state laws that attack property rights. The State of Maryland encroaches on local government jurisdiction through covert zoning and building regulations.

So anyway, he's battling that away. Most folk, again, go back to sleep after the last Rio meeting, thinking, oh they didn't get anything. Don't you believe it. They got everything they wanted rammed through. They had another bunch of hundreds and hundreds of scrolls to be signed by all the top dignitaries, which were signed. And they got what they wanted. And it's ongoing. And these guys are the guys too, that will prohibit you from growing your own vegetables eventually and everything else, because it's a totalitarian regime which they're after, an authoritarian new world order, across the whole planet. That's why they make sure it's international, at these big meetings like Rio.

Another link I'll put up tonight too, is to do with this riot – you don't know who's rioting – is it the cops or the people? But it happened in Anaheim when the cops opened fire on the mums and children.

Anaheim cops open fire on moms + kids – USA out of control – July 22, 2012 – [youtube.com](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=...)

And it started with a cop shooting a guy; the people were objecting about it, they had a protest I think the next day or something. You'll see the cops here, at point-blank range with rifles firing rubber bullets right into people, on the spot, and setting the dogs on the people, including children; there were mums there holding their children. This is the new America. This is the new America, and the cops primarily today have all... They've all grown up too with the video games, kill, kill, kill, I want to be a guy wearing black and powerful, because these are the only ones who will have authority in the 21st century, and here we are. They've got what they wanted. And most of them, again, are straight out of the military. Back in the 90s I remember reading an article, on the air, saying that 90-odd percent of the US police across the country are recruited directly out of the military today. And that's how they see you, like a bunch of... whoever it happens to be there, you know, they have all these different names for their enemies, but definitely lesser people than themselves. And they're a brotherhood, don't forget that. When you get guys together, it's the oldest trick in the book, give them a feather in their cap, a white one, and they'll fight the guys over there with a black feather in their cap. And that's how they used to do it with armies. Now they give them complete uniforms. Think of the word uniform... uni-form... one form, and you become a brotherhood, you see. And you'll lie and everything else for each other; that's what they always do. It's an old, old trick. It's already indoctrinated into them via the Army and it happens again once they're in the police forces.

The BBC in Britain is trying to air a riot docudrama and it's been banned by the government. Which is kind of weird because the government owns the BBC and uses it as a propaganda arm. But it says...

Banned in the UK! BBC fights for right to air riot docudrama

rt.com / 18 July, 2012

British riot police arrive in front of a burning building in Croydon, South London on August 8, 2011. Now in its third night of unrest, London has seen sporadic outbreaks of looting and clashes both north and south of the river Thames. Numerous buildings were set on fire in Croydon including a 140 year old furniture

store as hundreds of looters plundered high street shops of their goods. (A: And the riot police arrived and so on and so on, because we saw what really happened.)

The BBC is considering making an appeal against a court order which stopped it from broadcasting a dramatized film on last year's riots in London.

The film, which features actors portraying anonymous rioters sharing their experience of the events, was due to be broadcast on Monday evening, but was banned by a court order hours before hitting the airwaves.

Its script was written by award-winning playwright Alecky Blythe and is based on interviews from some 270 people conducted by the Guardian and London School of Economics as part of a study into the massive public disorder.

The first installment of *The Riots: In their own Words* focuses on rioters, while the second film of the two-part series shares the impressions of police officers on duty at the time.

Both were banned from being broadcast by a court ruling, which BBC lawyers now plan to appeal against, reports the Guardian. The newspaper says for legal reasons it cannot report the name of the judge who made the controversial ruling, the court in which it was done or the case he was presiding over. (A: See, you live in a free society, so you're told you can't say anything about it.)

The ruling also ordered the BBC to remove a clip promoting the film from its website, which the broadcaster did. The clip, previously available on a blog posted last Friday, featured a BBC producer saying that the “important and illuminating” interviews in the drama would provide insight into “why and how the riots had happened”. (A: Now, these riots are flashmobs, as they call them, were predicted, remember, years ago. And I put it up on the website in 2006, the Department of Defence’s own think tank had predicted all these riots would happen, across the world, flashmobs, with the use of cell phones and instant communication. They even had some testing of it put out by the big boys themselves, when you saw those children ending up in shopping malls doing all this silly dancing to each other, with their earphones on, all playing different tunes. And it was all very innocent in the beginning. These were tests put out by the big boys themselves to see how effective it would be and how they’d get the youngster to simply comply; it’s very easy to get them to comply. Then they had the real thing when of course the riots started.)

After the court ruling arrived the BBC said it would put the program out at a later date. (A: Well, we’ll see what happens there.)

But everybody at the top is very, very frightened right now because they know they’re pushing us all to the limits, with austerity coming in you see, which means poverty for those who don’t quite get it, and massive taxation. Your energy has to go up eightfold, tenfold, – we’ve been told that in Canada – and all your spending cash, extra spending cash is to go in fees and costs for energy and carbon taxes and all this wonderful stuff, to bring you into poverty. Like a feudal system used to be, the serfs at the bottom and the overlords – like Carroll Quigley said, who worked for the Council on Foreign Relations – he said, we’re bringing in a new feudal system where CEOs will be the new world overlords. And we already are there.

How bad can it get? Well, Argentina is finding this out now too. Of course Spain is finding it out and all the other countries that’ve been hammered are finding it out.

Cash-strapped Argentine town holds raffle

to see who will be paid (A: ...Yep.)

A raffle will determine which civil servants in a small Argentine town will receive their pay first, due to insufficient funds, its mayor has announced.

telegraph.co.uk / 23 Jul 2012

Argentine President Cristina Fernandez de Kirchner gestures after giving new patrol cars and equipment to the federal police at the government house in Buenos Aires. (A: That's the first thing you do is make sure the cops are well paid, therefore they're well paid. They don't have to get little tickets and little Lottos to see if they're going to get paid or not, eh. [Alan chuckles.] ... Beautiful.)

"We will draw lots to decide the [order] of payment," said mayor of Biale Masse, Gustavo Pueyo, in a broadcast from Buenos Aires private radio station Radio Mitre. (A: ...a very interesting name.)

Pueyo said the raffle was approved by national mayoral authorities and the first draw took place on Friday, with 23 of the town's 92 employees receiving their pay. A second raffle is slated for Monday, AFP reported.

Home to 5,000 inhabitants, Biale Masse is a tourist destination in Cordoba Province, 750 kilometres (466 miles) northwest of Buenos Aires. (A: So there's one way of doing it.)

Remember what they said too, eventually, you see, it will be a privilege, a privilege to work, eventually. Years ago they said that; there'll be so few jobs that the people will be walking about with nothing but leisure and time – this is what they did in the 70s. They put out big, big, wonderful scenarios of the future,

to con the public, you know; they knew what they were going to really do with the public. But all the papers carried these articles from futurists that worked for the government, and we'd all be walking about in Roman togas. And the biggest thing would be leisure and leisure would be very, very important, big business in leisure industries, and here we all are... you know, going around thrift stores and looking for real food to eat. Hard to get real food at the bottom level isn't it? The big boys don't have that problem. Look at the food they eat, and they've got 24 courses at their G20 meetings and things like that. Yep. Feudal times, you're back in them folks. You were never really away from them.

Now...

Armed Clash in the South China Sea

cfr.org / Release Date April 2012

The risk of conflict in the South China Sea is significant. China, Taiwan, Vietnam, Malaysia, Brunei, (A: Some of these, by the way, are Muslim areas now.) and the Philippines have competing territorial and jurisdictional claims, particularly over rights to exploit the region's possibly extensive reserves of oil and gas. (A: So there's going to be conflicts there. There already is; there are clashes, armed clashes already.) Freedom of navigation in the region is also a contentious issue, especially between the United States and China over the right of U.S. military vessels to operate in China's two-hundred-mile exclusive economic zone (EEZ). These tensions are shaping—and being shaped by—rising apprehensions about the growth of China's military power and its regional intentions.

The best enemies money can buy; we make them what they are. And then they say, oh, we have an enemy, and once again the big boys can rule you for years, living under fear, we have to protect you from China, our best trading partner. [Alan chuckles.] And who gave them all the trade? Who gave them the factories? Who built their hospitals? Who trained all their engineers, for 30 years before they even had the factories? We all did! Who paid for the factories to move? We did. The best enemies money can buy. I'll put that up tonight as well.

And then...

China Sends Troops to Disputed Islands

nytimes.com / JANE PERLEZ / July 23, 2012

BEIJING — The Central Military Commission, China's most powerful military body, has approved the deployment of a garrison of soldiers from the People's Liberation Army to guard disputed islands claimed by China and Vietnam in the South China Sea, the state-run Xinhua news agency said Sunday.

On Monday, there was a first meeting of the 45 legislators elected over the weekend to govern the 1,100 people who live on the island groups of the Spratlys, the Paracels and the Macclesfield Bank, Chinese authorities told state media. The meeting was the latest escalation of the territorial dispute between China and its neighbors over the island groups, known in Chinese as the Xisha, Zhongsha and Nansha Islands.

The new legislators will not only govern the island groups, many of which consist of rocks and atolls, but also about 772,000 square miles of the South China Sea over which China claims jurisdiction, state media said.

So that will be interesting. Mind you, you can't do anything about it now, even if it was real, you know, because they're our best trading partners. If China says well that's it, no more cheap junk for you – but it's not actually cheap anymore; it's expensive junk, but we can't get that there – well that's it folks. No more computers, batteries, nothing. It's all gone. Back with more after this.

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix and it's amazing too how it all ties in together, when you have shooters that all burst out all over the place, around the same time. I've noticed that for years. It has to be coordinated of course. And what happened in Toronto, because we had a spate of shootings in Toronto recently, just to coincide with this one of course, and this whole UN registration deal that they're trying to get through. It says here...

Toronto (A: You can tell it's new-speak, with the youngsters, eh.) disinvites (A: They don't revoke anything anymore, they disinvite them.)

elite youth target shooters from city sports event

nationalpost.com / Matt Gurney | Jul 23, 2012

(A: And now the city is backing down apparently, and the athletes may be back in.)

Next month, Toronto will be host to the 2012 Ontario Summer Games. The Summer Games tout themselves as Ontario's largest amateur sport competition, dating back to 1970, that draws thousands of athletes from across Ontario to compete in various team and individual sporting events. (A: So they're very proud of it, yada yada ya.)

But apparently not all amateur sports. An email sent out by a city official last night has revoked the invitation of two of the athletes, teenagers both, to participate in public events promoting the Games. The two athletes, who had been selected as ambassadors for the event, have been told they are no longer welcome on stage with the other ambassadors. (A: If that isn't the cold shoulder, what is? You're no longer welcome.) The reason given: They are competitive rifle shooters, and given the recent spate of gun violence in Toronto, "We can no longer have the Sporting Rifle Athlete Ambassadors present on

stage.” The official who sent the email apologizes for the decision, noting that he does not agree with it. But, he says, the directive has come down, and he must follow it. (A: Mind you, Canada’s got no problem sending troops across the planet to actually kill people; they’re not shooting at rubber ducks or anything like that.)

The teenagers were specifically supposed to be involved in an event known World Record Camp Games. To build buzz ahead of August’s Summer Games, the organizers at the city of Toronto are planning to hold a day of athletic competition at the dozens of summer day camps scattered across the city. By getting all of the [children] enrolled at these camps playing sports together at the same time under the banner of a single event, the organizers hope to set a new world record for “the most athletes competing in a multi-sport games event.”

So as I say, there’s some updates to it and maybe they’ve revoked that again. Who knows. Dis-invoked, revoked and all the invoking stuff that they kind of do with the new-speak. Anyway they might get back in, we’ll see what happens there. But it’s amazing when they... See, certain areas of Toronto – and they know this too, they set them up deliberately – they can guarantee there will be gun violence when the cops stop the drugs from going in; they start killing each other, to get the guys who’ve got the drugs; they know each other, all the big dealers, and who’s still got drugs. This has happened each time they tried to get gun laws passed in Canada. Once they pass the law it all quiets down because the drugs start flowing in again. It’s guaranteed. This is how they do it in Canada. This is exactly how they do it. It’s happened about five times in the past, each time they were trying to get laws passed. Stop the drugs flowing, they get desperate, they start raiding each other to see who’s got drugs left, and they shoot each other. And everybody who lives in the city knows it too. But that’s how you get things done, the big guys at the top, in the big top – that’s what it is, a big top; we’re in a circus.

And a very good article...


Geoengineering projects around the world – map – guardian.co.uk

I'll put that up tonight as well. It shows you where they're already geoengineering; of course they've been doing it for about 12 years now, consistently, altering the weather. It's quite a good article on them actually doing that, altering the weather; it's the first time I've seen anything like that break out. And they're doing it all from the sky. But they also use HAARP along with it; we all know that too, because it was designed to work together. Teller, who invented the H-bomb, was the guy who was given a directive to go ahead and try that technique: spray first with metallic particles and then use ELF and HAARP to alter the weather, and basically the minds of the public, by the way.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

October 23, 2025 at 9:44 am

EDIT | MOVE | SPLIT | TRASH | SPAM | UNAPPROVE | REPLY [#1627](#)



Alan

Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

What I do, as I say, is I link the past to the present, I give you the big foundations, the organizations that are continuously working, have for a hundred years. They have shifts in fact, people working night and day on specialized areas of society, on all kinds of psychology. For all age groups by the way, specializing from 0 to 5, and then 5 to 10, to do with how they'll market ideas into your head, how they'll indoctrinate children in school, even kindergarten in fact. It's so incredibly minute.

And what they do is indoctrinate you for the kind of society that you will grow up in, which will be vastly different from even where we are now, which is vastly different from where we were twenty, thirty years ago. That's how it's done. You create the society that you want if you're in power. You create the future that you want if you're in power, for yourselves of course. And the general public are left in the dark. They're utterly ignorant of the manipulations on their own minds. In fact they're ignorant of where their opinions come from and how they're put into their head for them by experts. And they wrote about this fifty, sixty years ago, how they'd do this. Back with more after this break.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix, and everything that happens on a large scale in this world, especially the spells that are cast. Wars are spells for instance, they get us all in a grip and we're forced to go along with watching in fascination and horror at the same time as countries are plundered, slaughtered, etcetera, under the name of commerce and saving the people, by killing them. Things like that, it happens your whole life in fact because everything today works through a system, a very incredibly accurate and intensive system of propaganda. Very, very good propaganda. It's been perfected long since Bernays started it off and whole schools and universities are devoted to the art of propaganda. How to get ideas across to the general public and lie to them in such a way you put them back to sleep. Or such a way which they'll actually believe, whatever it happens to be, they'll believe what you tell them, even though the shots on the newscast will show you otherwise, you'll basically throw out that idea of your own perceptions and you'll take the media's spin on it. Because the media remember is owned by the same parallel government that runs the world, the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the Council on Foreign Relations, all members of it, all the large media happens to be, T.V. and the paper media as well.

So, you're living through constant propaganda in everything, and right down to your local police station, they have a public relations or propaganda expert, so if there's a complaint put in there, say a shooting of a civilian, then they bring out the PR person to spin you around in circles. And the first trick of course is never to answer the question; you think you're getting it answered, but they go on a spin on a different topic. Politicians now take courses in it too. All the British politicians admitted they take courses in propaganda. And so they never answer the question that's actually asked of them; what they do is answer a question that they wished had been asked of them. Big difference there too. And you will see that in some of the top politicians. There was a series on CBC in fact, I might put the links up eventually once I've got all the series, and you can listen to see how propaganda is taught and how it works on the general public. Because really we're not supposed to ever know what the truth is on anything. That's the art of governance you see.

Now getting back to all the shootings we've had recently too, which again is a spell on the general public, and that are never answered. There's always too many loose ends lying around to make any sense to the general public and no one investigates them generally. And if you do too it doesn't really matter because the public will always take eventually the mainstream's answer. I've said for many, many years, you suspend your disbelief, and that's a term that's been used now by other people that are catching on to it, because you suspend your disbelief. You're supposed to say I won't believe this media, this is terrible, there are too many loose ends here. But eventually it becomes so incredible you suspend your disbelief and go along with the mainstream because it's too hard for your brain to grasp that you've been conned and lied to in such an incredible way by people with straight faces who seem to be,

actually you're trained to listen to them like big fathers on television, and you've watched them your whole life long. And surely these people couldn't all be lying to you like this, but actually they are. They are you see. There is nothing truthful on television, nothing.

Now:

"Norway's mass killer testified on Thursday that he played video games as a way to train for a shooting spree that killed 77 people last summer. In particular, Anders Behring Breivik said at his trial that he played "Call of Duty: Modern Warfare 2" as a means of shooting practice, according to CNN's report. The confessed shooter also said he once played the online game "World of Warcraft," a role-playing adventure with multiple players from around the world, for as many as 16 hours a day."

Alan: And so that's what he says, you see it's "role-playing" adventures, you get into a role, you are the character and you carry it out. And young children, very young children have a hard time, a very difficult time because they live in a semi-hypnotic state, young children, of imagination and reality all the time. So they get a difficult time with role-playing, they can really get into the character until they don't want to let the character go when it's time to eat, that kind of thing. But some people too, it will last longer into even adult life. So, these role-playing games remember for "World of Warcraft" and especially all the ones that came out by the military-industrial complex that were used for the army training are used now in what we call average normal video games. They are very addictive, very addictive. But they were made by the military, still are made by the military, the Pentagon puts money into them and the idea is that you're desensitized to killing. That's what most of them are for, to desensitize you from actual killing. You're not killing real people, the people aren't real anyway, they become confused with real people and the targets. And all you do remember is to go through as many as you can and kill as many as you can. That's ultimately it and you win at the end when you've killed them all. So it definitely affects the psyche, there's no doubt about it at all.

So it says here that:

"For people who have long suspected that there is some link between violent video games and real-world violence, the statement offered frightening new evidence for why the video-game industry should be more strictly regulated."

Alan: And then of course once you give something out there, most people who don't go nuts with it will obviously stand up for having these things.

"Many gamers and columnists, however, rolled their eyes and collectively muttered "here we go again.""

Alan: Remember too it's big, big business. So you're going to get a lot of the professionals doing this.

""How many times are we going to do this?" Paul Tassi wrote in a Forbes story, "The idiocy of blaming video games for the Norway massacre." "Really now, it's getting absurd.""

""Norway Killer Played World of Warcraft, Which Probably Means Nothing At All," declared a headline on Time.com, which shares a parent company with CNN. Whether shoot-'em-up video games can incite violence has been a long-running debate among the public as well as in clinical psychology. This type of discussion tends to come up every time it's revealed that a high-profile killer also played video games. Perhaps the most memorable case study was the Columbine High School shooting in Colorado in 1999, during which experts speculated about the influence of the game "Doom" on the teenagers who carried out that crime. And for years, the controversial "Grand Theft Auto" series, in which players can kill police officers, was targeted by critics who said it glamorizes criminals and promotes violence. The makers of the game were even sued by the attorney for a convicted cop killer in Alabama, who argued the game inspired his client. Ultimately, it seems like science should judge whether playing violent video games can lead to a propensity for violence in the real world. A number of recent studies have cast doubts about the link between video games and violence, but there's no definitive answer."

Alan: Well of course there's a definitive answer because you see it isn't just video games; television itself has utterly completely turned all that was, all the system that was, upside down to what it is today. It was all predictive programming through television; right down to: why do you think there are no families anymore? That was one of the main debates that the big CFR groups talked about back in the 40's, destruction of the family unit, along the same track really as the communists were doing. And it's all happened, and promote promiscuity. Monkey see, monkey do, you see. It worked very, very well. So they know we can be highly influenced indeed by what we see and also what you're playing at. And if you're playing at kill-kill-kill, and if you're playing 12 hours a day or more, and some of them are really addicted to these games, there is something wrong here, there is something wrong. But they are meant to be addictive, as I say the Pentagon puts a lot of money into these games that children buy, or their parents buy for the children and we see the outcomes of it today when nothing is real anymore. People aren't real, they're just characters in a video game as you mow them down, obviously. They're even recruiting guys straight out, that haven't even gone into the military in a sense, they're brought into the military but they don't even go through basic training because the military is now watching to see who are the best players on games and they recruit them right in to fly these drones. You see these potbellied guys who are addicted to it, getting uniforms thrown upon them and then they're sitting in a chair, you know, doing their snacks as usual, just like they were at home, but they're flying drones now. That's the reality of it.

Now:

"The judge handling the Aurora theater shooting..."

Alan: It's interesting, Aurora, you know the whole thing, you had the precursor of the dawn Aurora and all the rest of it, and the color red, Colorado, with the shooting; and it says,

"The judge handling the Aurora theater shooting case will not allow cameras in the courtroom when suspect James Eagan Holmes learns the formal charges against him next week. Eighteenth Judicial District Chief Judge William Sylvester on Tuesday denied a request for video and still cameras to document the hearing this coming Monday at the Arapahoe County courthouse. Sylvester's order says he weighed whether allowing cameras in the courtroom would interfere with Holmes' right to a fair trial or would be too disruptive."

Alan: I don't think they want the public either to see the condition that this guy's actually in.

"The hearing, "is open to the media and to the general public, so the media and public will have ready access to the proceeding,""

Alan: He said. But no cameras.

"One video and one still camera were permitted in the courtroom Monday when Holmes made his first appearance before a judge. Images of Holmes with a blank expression on his face, occasionally spreading his eyes wide or appearing to dose off, led to widespread debate about whether Holmes is mentally unwell, drugged or faking."

Alan: Oh I don't think the guy is faking, I think he's totally confused as to what happened. And he probably doesn't remember an awful lot about it. And what's interesting, so much of this case is interesting. There is so much you can't really grasp or even, there are too many coincidences to things as I say that people would never believe you anyway. But it's interesting when you go into it and you find out the history of even the Batman thing. Why the Batman thing to start with? Why that one? Why not some other one? There are lots of them coming out all the time. And it coincides too with some really terrible ones that were put out as comedies, where an old guy catches on with a young schoolgirl and goes out blasting people all over the place for fun. It's supposed to be a comedy you know and that just got released too a couple of weeks ago, why not that one? Well it takes time to set these things up you see, that's why. Not just the person who is going to be picked to do it but it takes time for those who are manipulating it all behind the scenes. This article here is about the Batman series, and it says:

"Pop-culture historians regard Detective Comics... "

Alan: That's D.C. Comics. You never really thought they were Detective Comics, but Batman is a detective, right. It says:

"...as the first comic devoted exclusively to one theme. While it began with a variety of detective-related stories, from hard-boiled Slam Bradley to Sax Rohmer..."

Alan: And then they go into Batman, they introduced Batman. Back with more on this after this break.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through the Matrix and talking about the Batman series and why people are so into Batman and these comics. They are very addictive to children and they really stick in their heads, all the scenes, the plots and everything else. And some of them really become fanatics on it too. But this one series here was called the Doomsday Book, a special story on it, where Batman is introduced; Batman meets Sherlock Holmes, you know the name Holmes, this is the shooter's name in this particular theater here. And it's quite fascinating to see how they bring the story together and even they find that the anti-hero type thing is Moriarty, he actually is the same devilish character that you see down through the ages under different names. Moriarty is also the Joker you see in this particular series.

But then you go into this one here to do with Dick Grayson, right.

"Dick Grayson is a fictional character, a superhero that appears in comic books published by DC Comics. Created by Bob Kane and Bill Finger and illustrator Jerry Robinson,"

Alan: Remember they have Robin in Batman and Robin, remember. So these are the guys who created parts of them too.

"...he first appeared in Detective Comics #38 in April 1940."

Alan: Okay, so remember that, Robinson. And Dick Grayson is the fictional character, that's supposed to be the fictional name of Robin, the character in the movies you see when he's not wearing his outfit, his little pair of tights and all that, he is named Dick Grayson.

So we go back again. Who is the main investigator for this showdown in Aurora, right? It's Mr. J. Grayson Robinson here.

"Grayson Robinson is Sheriff of the Arapahoe County (CO) Sheriff's Office, a position he has held since 2002. He also serves as the Vice President/Vice Chairperson for the Commission. Sheriff Robinson..."

Alan: Sheriff Robinson.

"...is actively involved with the National Sheriffs' Association..."

Alan: So he's got both names in there, Robin and Grayson, the two names that Robin used, his persona character and his so-called civvy street character. It's all in one name here. This is the investigator for Mr. Holmes here. Do you get what I'm saying here? {Laughs}

It says:

"...is actively involved with the National Sheriffs' Association and currently serves on the Legislative Affairs, Counter Terrorism and Weapons of Mass Destruction, and Accreditations, Detention and Corrections committees. He is also a member of the International Association of Chiefs of Police..."

Alan: That means he works for the United Nations as well.

"...and the Police Executive Research Forum. He has a Bachelor's degree in business administration and a Master's degree in public administration from the University of Colorado. Sheriff Robinson also is a graduate of the FBI National Academy, the FBI Law Enforcement Executive Development Seminar, and the State and Local Executives Program at Harvard University's Kennedy School of Government. The Arapahoe County Sheriff's Office has been CALEA Law Enforcement Accredited since 1988..."

Alan: Etcetera, etcetera. So, you can't make this stuff up, all these coincidences. Who is playing with our heads here? Do you ever wonder about that, who is playing with our heads? When the characters from the Batman series are the main characters that are doing the investigations of the Batman murder basically in the Aurora Theater. You can't make this stuff up. You can't do it. You just can't do it.

"JERRY ROBINSON, RIP: Pioneering Batman artist and comics ambassador dies at 89"

Alan: I'll put this link up tonight as well. He was J. Robinson.

"...a renaissance comics great whose career was launched at the dawn of superhero comic books beginning with "the Batman," died in his sleep Wednesday in New York..."

Alan: Blah, Blah, Blah, Blah, Blah.

"He was 89 years old."

Alan: And remember Robin was also Grayson, right? It's quite something this, it's just amazing. It really is absolutely amazing, quite fascinating in itself isn't it? Now they're also saying that the:

"Movie massacre suspect sent chilling notebook to psychiatrist before attack"

"James Holmes, the accused gunman in last Friday's midnight movie massacre..."

Alan: Remember Holmes again, Batman, the series they did together, and their arch-enemy was the Joker, I just mentioned there. I'll put all these links up tonight for those that want to go into it.

So:

"...the accused gunman in last Friday's midnight movie massacre in Colorado, mailed a notebook "full of details about how he was going to kill people" to a University of Colorado psychiatrist before the attack, but the parcel sat unopened in a mailroom for as long as a week before its discovery Monday, a law enforcement source told FoxNews.com."

Alan: Is it true, is it not true? We'll never really know.

"Police and FBI agents were called to the University of Colorado Anschutz medical campus in Aurora on Monday morning after the psychiatrist, who is also a professor at the school, reported receiving a package believed to be from the suspect."

Alan: What do you mean "believed to be"? It either is or it isn't. How would they believe it unless he's written on it, right?

"Although that package turned out to be from someone else and harmless..."

Alan: Now how could you get them confused? I mean if you believed it to be from that suspect, it must have had something written on it to make you believe it was from that suspect, like his name and

address. But it was from someone else, so that means, well, you would not have been confused in the first place. You understand what I'm saying here?

"A second law enforcement source said authorities got a warrant from a county judge and took the package away Monday night."

Alan: They found another package there apparently when they were looking for the first one.

"When it was opened, its chilling contents were revealed."

""Inside the package was a notebook full of details about how he was going to kill people,""

Alan: Isn't that awfully convenient?

"...the source told FoxNews.com. "There were drawings of what he was going to do in it — drawings and illustrations of the massacre." "

Alan: All stick figures.

"Among the images shown in the spiral-bound notebook's pages were gun-wielding stick figures blowing away other stick figures."

Alan: Why would he do that? Send it to a psychiatrist and then turn up there? Because he'd have been blown to pieces if that was the case, giving the hand away. Why would he go to that trouble? It doesn't make sense. Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵 – You're listening to the Republic Broadcasting Network because you can handle the truth – 🎵}

Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix, and we do live in a kaleidoscope of a world though, don't we, because everything is censored, it goes through so many filters to take truth out of things until you're left with all this junk at the bottom we're supposed to parrot off and repeat to each other. That's how our reality is given to us, because you see the little people down below are not supposed to know ever really what's going on. Even though you can see it for yourself if you've got a bit of smart left in you, it hasn't

been drugged out of you, or knocked out of you through your injections and the rotten food that you're getting or the poisons in your water or the stuff that's sprayed from the air everyday.

Now a feudal system is what we live in. It's a feudal system; corporations run the world, they're all in bed together and even your countries are corporate entities really. And they make deals between each other as corporate entities, that doesn't include you by the way, they're privately owned, they're feudal. But even these things like the Olympics, the Olympics are a corporation and we all pay for these darn things. Anything that was to be international was to be promoted you see after World War II, or even before World War II they said it was a way to bring the world together; that was a big plan of, again, the Royal Institute of International Affairs a long time ago and the Milner Group, they'd promote everything that was international, but now we end up paying for it all, for this farce of a show where people can get to go and throw things about and run like children and get gold for it and it's supposed to be a great thing. But every country that they leave afterwards is always left with many, many, many millions of dollars in debt, and yet they always tout it as a great thing for the economy. Well it is for theirs you see, here's why:

"Britain flooded with 'brand police'..."

Alan: Brand police, like logos and all that, that's owned by the Olympic game guys.

"...to protect sponsors"

Alan: This is the first time I've read this kind of thing happening.

"Hundreds of uniformed Olympics officers will begin touring..."

Alan: The whole of Britain.

"...today enforcing sponsors' multimillion-pound marketing deals, in a highly organized mission that contrasts with the scramble to find enough staff to secure Olympic sites. Almost 300 enforcement officers will be seen across the country checking firms to ensure they are not staging "ambush marketing" or illegally associating themselves with the Games at the expense of official sponsors such as Adidas, McDonald's, Coca-Cola and BP. The clampdown goes on while 3,500 soldiers on leave are brought in to bail out the security firm G4S which admitted it could not supply the numbers of security staff it had promised. Yesterday, the Culture Secretary,"

Alan: Remember too, it was interesting to me that the first people who had the culture secretaries for their governments were the communist countries, and now all the western countries have them. They decide what your culture is going to be you see. You think it's always you are the culture; no, you see, they give you the culture and they alter it when it suits them. Every government has got culture secretaries and a whole staff working to make sure they're planning the right culture for the next 20, 30, 40, 50 years. Anyway:

"...the Culture Secretary, Jeremy Hunt, refused to rule out that even more soldiers may be called upon to help with security, but dismissed the issue as merely a "hitch". However, as well as the regular Army, the Olympic "brand army" will start its work with a vengeance today."

Alan: Now they've already gone after people like butchers who would hang like five rows, circles of sausages in their window. You can't do that, it's illegal; you get fined for doing that. Things like that, no kidding. It's already happening. It says:

"Wearing purple caps and tops, the experts in trading and advertising working for the Olympic Delivery Authority (ODA) ..."

Alan: The ODA, they're experts in it, see, in sausages and things, and chips and all that.

"...are heading the biggest brand protection operation staged in the UK. Under legislation specially introduced for the London Games, they have the right to enter shops and offices and bring court action with fines of up to £20,000. Olympics organizers have warned businesses that during London 2012 their advertising should not include a list of banned words,"

Alan: Banned words, folks.

"...including "gold",

Alan: You can't say gold during this because only the Olympics can say this you see.

"..."silver" and "bronze", "summer", "sponsors" and "London",

Alan: You can't use any of those words while they're there. You can't use any of these words while you're there you know.

“...if they give the impression of a formal connection to the Olympics. See examples of banned and allowed advertising below.”

Alan: I'll give you a list of it at the end of the night; I'll put all these links up at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. It says:

“Publicans...”

Alan: That's the pub owners.

“...have been advised that blackboards advertising live TV coverage must not refer to beer brands or brewers without an Olympics deal,”

Alan: You have to pay for it.

“...while caterers and restaurateurs have been told not to advertise dishes that could be construed as having an association with the event. At the 40 Olympics venues, 800 retailers have been banned from serving chips...”

Alan: French fries.

“...to avoid infringing fast-food rights secured by McDonald's.”

Alan: See, all the big corporations get first dibs and then you can't use anything similar to them.

“Marina Palomba, for the McCann Worldgroup agency in London, described the rules as “the most draconian law in advance of an Olympic Games ever”. The ODA and LOCOG (London Organizing Committee of the Olympic Games) say the rules are necessary to protect brands.”

Alan: All their sponsors.

“These rights are acquired by companies who invest millions of pounds to help support the staging of the Games,” Locog said. “People who seek the same benefits for free – by engaging in ambush marketing or producing counterfeit goods – are effectively depriving the Games of revenue.”

Alan: Well the games leave nothing behind them except massive debt anyway to the public, to the people who sponsor them, the poor schmucks at the bottom.

"Some £1.4bn of the Games' £11.4bn budget comes from private sector sponsors. The International Olympic Committee's 11 global partners, including Coca-Cola, Visa and Proctor & Gamble, are contributing £700m while £700m comes from London 2012 partners, including Adidas, BT, EDF, and Lloyds TSB. The scale of the brand enforcement squad is nonetheless likely to intensify criticism that the Olympics has become too corporate."

Alan: It was always a corporation. And big boys get their sort of semi-retirement pension. They get kicked up to the top there and do nothing their whole damn lives from then on except let the money flow into their pockets. It's absolutely stinking and corrupt, always has been, absolutely corrupt. And we don't need it anyway. Who needs it? But again your governments are all hand in glove with the corporations of course and they must get their swag bags stuffed full of your cash.

"Flu Vaccine To Be Offered To All Children"

Alan: Offered it says. That's how they put it. Again that's gone through the PR, understand; this is a handout because most media take handouts from the vaccine companies and so they write them up for them. They never say that of course in the articles. So it's going to be "offered" to all children. You know it's going to be mandatory, right?

"Officials say nasal spray vaccination for two to 17-year-olds will protect other more vulnerable people."

Alan: It's like DARPA saying "we're doing this to help cripples," you know. DARPA is not in the business of helping cripples; they create cripples, you understand? But they always use the same spins; these all come from public relations experts. So this is to protect more vulnerable people. It's nothing to do with really protecting the children, or dumbing them down, or giving them autism, no, it's to protect more vulnerable people. That puts people into a schizoid attitude: well maybe they're right, maybe they really are wanting to help the elderly, you know, and stop them getting sick.

"All children are to be offered the flu vaccine..."

"Up to nine million children would be eligible for a new nasal spray vaccine, which has just been licensed by the medical regulators. Until now, the Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunization (JCVI) has only recommended that children with underlying health conditions have the jab. Scientists have

previously said healthy children are unlikely to become severely ill with seasonal flu and that they develop long-lasting immunity from the disease."

Alan: The best immunity is when you catch it and you get over it. That's true immunity, not this artificial thing with all these synthetic viruses they put into you.

"But experts..."

Alan: Here we are again.

"...on the JCVI say children spread the disease to more vulnerable people, such as the elderly, and have concluded universal vaccination is now worthwhile. Health Secretary Andrew Lansley has accepted the recommendation. Calculations suggest that even if just a third of children are vaccinated, flu cases across the country would drop by 40%."

Alan: That'll be a computer analysis again.

"That would mean 11,000 fewer hospital admissions and 2,000 fewer deaths."

Alan: They always give you this pabulum to try and rationalize it and back up their claims. Anyway, it says, when you look down at the bottom,

""We accept the advice of our expert committee that rolling out a wider programme could further protect children. With even a modest take-up helping to protect our most vulnerable." The £100m a year programme... "

Alan: You understand, this is long-term sales. It's a long-term sales deal for the big vaccine companies, guaranteed. Where can you as a small business guy get a ten-year guaranteed sales that the government or anybody else is going to buy this from you? You can't; that's why they lobby governments. That's what the governments are now for, you understand.

"The £100m a year programme will start "no earlier" than 2014, according to the Department of Health."

Alan: And it's a nasal spray.

"Scientists say the new nasal spray vaccine gives children much better protection than the traditional jab."

Alan: You better just take their word for it I guess.

"...it contains a live flu virus that has been altered so it can not cause a full-blown infection, but still triggers a robust immune response."

Alan: So this is from an expert, right, from Oxford University, Sarah Gilbert. It "has been altered so it can not cause a full-blown infection" right? It says:

"The virus is cold adapted, so it only replicates in the nose, where temperatures are 34 degrees. It can't survive in the lungs, where temperatures are slightly higher."

Alan: Oh they're so confident, eh? Then it says:

""So you do infect children, but they don't get the disease." However, she warned there is a small risk that children could spread the virus from their nose. They may have to stay away from elderly relatives for a few days after vaccination."

Alan: Well isn't that the whole purpose they claimed at the beginning, that they were going to give it to the children so as they wouldn't pass on anything to the elderly? And now it tells you at the very bottom, they have to stay away from the elderly for a few days after vaccination because they'll be shedding the virus. It's going to cause the problem. That's your typical handout there, doublespeak you see, typical, typical.

And I'll also put up too a whistleblowers blog.

"ATF's Orwellian Warning to Whistleblowers"

Alan: Of course everyone's heard the little audio that came out by the guy at the ATF telling their people not to be whistleblowers, and warning them about the chain of command etcetera, etcetera and what might happen to them if they become a whistleblower. I'll put that up tonight too.

Now, Canada, you don't hear much about Canada but of course we're tied in with the U.S., we're into the NAFTA deal, we're sharing all our intelligence together. The FBI knows all our histories in Canada because

they've got access to all our computers now and vice versa. We share customs, import duties and stuff with the States and even our agricultural systems are merged together. Everything is merged together, for the people who don't know so, it's been merged for quite a few years. And they're doing further mergers and deeper mergers, just like Europe; it's deeper mergers every year, ongoing. So when the U.S. goes down Canada goes down with it because we're tied financially, completely financially together. It's really one big system of corporations running the shows here. That's what we are now. And so Canada is ready for it too, they're getting ready for it too now. They've been a bit lagging behind the U.S. because Canadians will generally do what they're told in crisis.

It says:

"Navistar Defence Canada To Support Royal Canadian Mounted Police..."

Alan: That's the RCMP.

"...With Armoured Vehicles"

Alan: So here come in the armored vehicles for Canada.

"Navistar Defence Canada, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Navistar Defense, LLC, today announced that it delivered on a USD \$14 million contract from the Government of Canada to supply the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) with International MXT Armoured Personnel Carriers (APCs). The new vehicles will provide increased protection and rescue capabilities for RCMP officers and members of the public during high-risk situations."

Alan: So they know there's going to be high-risk situations coming down the pike. Mind you too these lobbyists in every country now have all the houses outside parliaments and congresses, and all they do is lobby all the time for their big contracts. And a lot of money changes hands to the members of parliament that do deals with them. You know that too of course, it's obvious.

"The contract to support the RCMP is the company's first MXT vehicle sale to the police and security sector. "The RCMP is well regarded throughout the world as a top police service and we are honored to have been selected to provide MXT vehicles," said Dan Webster, president, Navistar Defence Canada. "Navistar proudly supports allied military forces overseas and now we are taking steps to help security forces serve and protect here at home. In addition, this award supports the product diversification goals of our parent company." The RCMP is unique as it serves as a national, federal, provincial and municipal policing body."

Alan: It's actually a paramilitary body, don't forget that.

"The RCMP provides policing services to three territories, eight provinces (except Ontario and Quebec), more than 150 municipalities and more than 600 Aboriginal communities. In addition, the RCMP provides federal policing services to all Canadians."

Alan: So, there's also related reports too on armored vehicles and so on and so on and so on. But they're expecting trouble down the pike as we go into austerity you understand. Austerity means that more money must go to corporations as they get richer and it must come from you, until you have nothing left except paying your bills, that's austerity. That's what they mean by austerity, no extra spending cash, post consumer society.

Now:

"Iceland Has Hired An Ex-Cop To Hunt Down The Bankers That Wrecked Its Economy"

Alan: It's a pity the rest of the countries aren't doing that. But they won't do it of course because the banks own the rest of the countries. It says here:

"If you were involved in Icelandic high finance in the run-up to the recession, you might want to start watching your back. That's because the government has appointed a white collar crime bounty hunter who wants to haul you behind in (alive, to be sure). LeMonde reporter Charlotte Chabas has a profile of Ólafur Þór Hauksson, a former local police lieutenant whom the Iceland government appointed to track down individuals likely to have helped sink the country's banking sector during the credit crunch."

"Hauksson's job description, according to PressEurop's translation of the piece: "On one hand, we have to investigate all suspicion of fraud and offences committed before 2009, on the other hand, we bring the lawsuits against the suspects to court ourselves," Hauksson explains. This is a 'totally new' method which allows the investigators to 'follow the case' and the judicial system to "know the cases like the back of their hand". This is indispensable in order "to compete with the well-prepared defence attorneys".

Alan: Who have all the cash of course.

"Hauksson oversees a posse of 100 researchers to help track down outlaws. He's netted some major convictions since starting in 2009, including the former chief of staff of the country's finance minister on

insider trading charges. Many others await their day in court, Chabas writes. And he will track you down even if you've fled abroad."

Alan: Well that's not bad.

""Searches continue and the team pursues its investigations abroad in the foreign subsidiaries of the Icelandic banks and includes questioning foreigners," Chabas writes. " 'We enjoy full international cooperation,' stresses Olafur Hauksson.""

Alan: And then it gives you "16 reasons to move to Iceland right now." And so maybe that's the thing to do, you know, who knows the way it's going everywhere else. The writing's on the wall everywhere. You can see what's coming down. In fact they're telling you quite openly what's coming down, and again you will suspend your disbelief and go back into Disneyland and want to escape into how you think it used to be, which was all fantasy as well.

I'll put up tonight too an article on climate modeling failure. They're starting to admit their special computers with all their special scientists feeding rubbish into them have vastly over-predicted what was going to happen to the Earth's temperature. Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. I'm back Cutting Through the Matrix, what a matrix indeed. But reading this article about the climate models, these special computers they've spent billions and billions of dollars on that give them all, oh, scary answers. That's what they feed into it, a bunch of faulty stuff and it comes out guaranteed to give you scary answers to give up all your rights and we're going to fry, we're going to melt, and we're going to freeze. Whatever it happens to be, they get exactly what they want. Because all the far left remember when they brought down what was called communism went into the big green movement you see and they have to get total control somehow so control of the climate was one of the things. If the climate was going to go we'd all have to go under total control and austerity and yada-yada-ya, etcetera, etcetera into the big cities and then get managed there as we all go down the hill and die off basically. And then they'd have their utopia for themselves. Anyway:

"Climate modeling failure is unequivocal and ubiquitous for a multitude of reasons – a new study adds yet another reason as researchers determine the IPCC models incorrectly assume a historical polar amplification that did not happen"

Alan: It's all from the EU research now, the new European research.

"Climate models are notoriously bad at predicting future climate conditions, which a preponderance of empirical evidence substantiates. This magnitude of failure is likely to be partially due to faulty assumptions about the past climate."

Alan: We're all supposed to go on their assumptions on everything, you know. And give up all our freedoms and rights and get herded into cities, and start sharing the beds to keep heat you know because we can't afford oil eventually.

"One key assumption of the climate models is that the northern polar regions, in the past, experienced an amplification of warming versus that of the mid-northern latitudes and thus would do the same in the future. The adjacent chart shows such amplification for the Antarctica some 130 thousand years ago during the Eemian period. But did the same amplified warming happen during the Eemian period for the sub-Arctic and Arctic regions?"

Alan: So, I'll put this up for those that want to wade through it. But it does show you that all these expensive models were just a lot of ballyhoo for a social purpose, for an agenda you see. That's what it really is for.

And out of Britain, out comes a member of parliament who says:

"It is 'morally wrong' to pay tradesmen cash in hand, says David Gauke"

"People who pay cash in hand to tradesmen are "morally wrong", damaging the economy and helping tax evaders, a minister has warned."

Alan: He's a politician. It says that he's:

"...a Treasury minister"

Alan: The guy that, you know, scrapes all the cash off the public for the big corporations.

"...told The Daily Telegraph that home owners who allow workmen to evade VAT or income tax were forcing others to pay more."

Alan: There is never enough though. It wouldn't matter if they had all your paychecks given to them. Because government needs all that tax money to give to the corporations who've always got big

building projects or keeping you safe with building new drones and stuff like that, you see.


"His comments reflect growing concern in Whitehall about the cash-in-hand economy, which costs Britain billions of pounds a year in lost tax revenues. However, critics accused the Government of being "unnecessarily moralistic" about ordinary people trying to keep their household bills down. Mr. Gauke, the Exchequer Secretary to the Treasury, said: "Getting a discount with your plumber by paying cash in hand is something that is a big cost to the Revenue and means others have to pay more in tax"."

Alan: I think, the plumber thing, I'd just say well flush the toilet. Just flush it down there. And as I say, there is never enough cash to keep these bar-stewards going. These big, you know the guys from these special schools, special families. Because that's all what it's for now; your job is to pay taxes for big corporations to take in grants, and the banks too of course; they just get bailed out all the time. And then the big corporations who build all the war machinery that's going to keep us all in place as we go into big austerity. That's what it's for. There's never enough taxes for it all you see. And the big paychecks they give each other too, you know, and benefits and all that kind of stuff, special hospital treatments and healthcare.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight, and may your God or your Gods go with you.

October 23, 2025 at 9:44 am

EDIT | MOVE | SPLIT | TRASH | SPAM | UNAPPROVE | REPLY [#1628](#)



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

We are living in a fiction, in a sense. It's been very, very well organized. They've had neuroscientists working on our minds for a long time, behaviorists, psychologists of all kinds, to make sure they get uniform societies across the world. Eventually they hope to have literally that, a standardized, uniform society across the whole planet, where the people are dumbed down and behave themselves and are well entertained, and will take an awful lot, in other words, from the government itself. In other words, they'll take a lot of nasty stuff from the government, and they'll also pay back an awful lot to the government, because you're going into austerity – that's the big plan, austerity – while the big boys at the top plunder you over and over again. They own your governments. They own the big corporations, even corporations of associations of ex-countries like the EU. It's a big Corporation now; they own all those people, millions and millions of them. And they want to do the same for the Americas as well, and the Middle East, and the Pacific Rim region too. Big plans, mind you, but why not when you run the world? Why not go and have big plans? You've always gotten away with it before. And they muddy up the reality so well the average Joe hasn't a clue what's really, really going on. All you get at the bottom are the side effects, the fallout of things and the cost of it too. And that's how it's dished out to you. Very old plan, it

uses communism, it uses fascism, it uses everything. In fact, it's really both sides of the same thing. It's very cabalistic in a sense, where they use the opposites to make things happen. And it works very, very well. And you're living through it now. Big changes... They call it the Age of Transitions, and God help us all. Back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I'm back. We're Cutting Through The Matrix and talking about reality, because it's a struggle to get there, to get into any reality at all. There are so many conflicting stories about things, and that's of course part of the tactic of controlling the people. You have so many conflicting stories on every topic and it's meant to shut you down; you just give up and shut down and then you take the eventual mainstream word for it and go with them. That's how you're controlled really. You're not supposed to ever go by your own judgments. In fact, you're not supposed to really have any judgments, unless they're given to you as well. You're told what to even be prejudiced about and what to accept, even if it's nasty to you. But everything's managed that way.

There's nothing out there on mainstream media that isn't managed, very well managed because remember too, the Council on Foreign Relations/Royal Institute of International Affairs even before World War I, they owned all the newspapers on the planet. That's ALL newspapers on the planet. And today there's only four corporations that really runs all of the media in the US. Four corporations, and really they'll all be connected together too, with the appearance of competition.

This article here is from the New York Times and it's about the campaign trail for instance; it's a small example. But it gives you the names of the different advisors that work behind Romney, for instance, and other ones as well. These advisors are more important than Presidents. The advisors know the big, big story. They know the big push for the world; they know what they're supposed to be doing. They work with other advisors across the planet; they've been specially trained. And you can get actors as front men; they're well rewarded for it, mind you. But the advisors are very, very important. And it says here, it talks about the campaign trail and it says...

Latest Word on the Trail? I Take It Back

Even top campaign officials like Eric Fehrnstrom, left, a Romney adviser, and David Plouffe, a senior White House adviser, foreground at right, insist at times on approval of their quotations.

So anything that comes out of the politicians' mouths has to go get approval afterwards to be printed in any media, or even mentioned on television on the news, by these advisors. They all have them by the way. Who appoints these advisors to them? You understand, there's only one group that appoints advisors to all the apparent parties. And they know, they know their job... They know their job very, very well. So always look to see what's behind them. Sometimes they're so secretive as to who these advisors are that they can keep it... There was an article in Ontario quite a few years back, in mainstream, and it talked for the first time about the Premier of Ontario, that's like the governor of the whole of Ontario. It did mention after this guy was in for years, he had an adviser. And they said they couldn't tell you who he was; they were scared. The reporters said they were scared to mention his name, he was so powerful. It was Gold-something, it turned out to be, his last name, who went off to the feds after he worked in the Ontario government. But he'd been there for years running the whole show, and the front man that you elected was just a puppet, you see. And that's how it's always been run, right up to the federal level, in the States too; Britain's no different either. It's all the same trick. So you can't believe anything from the mainstream. It is that micro-controlled. Micro-controlled. But I'll put this article up tonight from the New York Times and you can read it yourself. There's another one similar to it too, with one of the well-known reporters talking about it and how there is no realness in anything basically. It's all fake.

I mentioned too, about Richard N Haass. He's the president of the Council on Foreign Relations. Remember, the Council on Foreign Relations, like all these big foundations, etc, and think tanks, they're all classified as charitable, nonprofit organizations. But everybody who's a member of it becomes President or Prime Minister, across the whole planet, because you see they're in every country across the world, have been for a hundred years. And you can't get anywhere unless you're a member of it. You can't go and join it and pay your dues. You must be asked into it; you're well vetted and tested long before you're asked to come into it, to make sure you're on board with the global agenda, which the public are supposed to be completely ignorant of and be kept ignorant of.

So here's a guy, remember, Richard N Haass, powerful guy at the top of the world, who does the work behind the scenes, works with all, the NSA and all the different groups there, all militaries across the world, a charitable guy, very charitable, and he's on about...

Into Syria Without Arms

cfr.org / July 16, 2012 / Richard N. Haass, President, Council on Foreign Relations

(Alan: Now, no one elects these groups, remember. They're private... private organizations, charitable foundations. But they run the world. And Carroll Quigley talked about that; he should know because he was their official historian, who gave you an alternative view of history. He said, what these guys have done for 100 years and the wars they've caused should be known to the general public. He thought the public would accept it; maybe they're so dumbed down they would. They've been behind all the wars. These are the guys who have special agents working for them stirring up trouble across the planet, working with the intelligence agencies. The guys in the intelligence agencies are part of this organization, this charitable organization, even though they might be getting funded and paid by you the taxpayer via the government. But it says...)

NEW YORK – Much of the debate over what to do in the Middle East tends to pit realists against idealists. Bahrain is a classic case, as is Saudi Arabia and, for that matter, Egypt: calls for the United States and other countries with interests and influence in the region to stand up for democracy and human rights run up against concerns that national-security interests will suffer if pro-Western authoritarian regimes are ousted. European and US policymakers often attempt to square the circle with a compromise policy that is inconsistent and satisfies no one. (A: Now remember, this is a master manipulator talking here, just like Brzezinski.)

Syria offers a stark contrast to this pattern in the sense that strategic and humanitarian interests are aligned. Many governments have a strategic desire to oust a regime that is closely allied with Iran and Hezbollah. (A: Now, which governments is he talking about? Are they bothering you?) And there is a humanitarian desire to get rid of a regime that has killed as many as 15,000 – if not more – of its own people. (A: Now, I could go back and give you the article, months ago, where he said the numbers were fake and the CFR, and himself, put them out in the first place. So here he is putting numbers out again.)

But an armed intervention would be a large undertaking, one requiring not just considerable air power (given Syria's extensive air-defense network) but also ground forces, given the existence of at least two capable divisions that remain loyal to President Bashar al-Assad.

(A: And then he goes on to say, down here he says...)

One alternative to direct military intervention is to provide arms and other forms of support to the opposition. (A: Then he says...) This is being done. (A: You see, the US and Britain and Israel and other countries are providing the arms, and the training even, for these so-called rebels that are being flown in from all over the place to take part in ousting Assad. It says this is being done... They are arming them.) The case for helping people defend themselves is obvious. (A: Actually, there was no trouble before they started the revolt.) But arming the opposition is not without its drawbacks. It risks fueling a civil war and encouraging regime loyalists to dig in. In addition, arms provided to fight the regime will be used by factions to fight one another if and when the regime is removed, thereby making the aftermath in Syria that much more violent.

But intervention need not be defined as either armed intervention or intervention with arms. There is much more that the world can and should be doing to bring about the removal of the Assad regime. (A: He hasn't given us a reason why.)

So they go on about economic sanctions, increase that too. He's already said that the West is arming these rebels. And he goes on and on and on with their big, big plan from the United Nations, because of course the United Nations' big boys are all part of the CFR as well. The United Nations, remember, is a front organization for the bankers who created the Royal Institute of International Affairs and Council on Foreign Relations, a hundred years ago. So we're living through a big, big agenda. This is the Century for Change, remember. Not just change across the world as they standardize everyone and try to get the same educational system in, get them all dumbed-down with inoculations and mercury and various other things, but also they want to bring down the population after that eventually as well, and using the Western style where we abort more children than have live births now.

And this article here, the next one, from the Council on Foreign Relations...

Challenges for Syria's Neighbors – cfr.org / July 26, 2012

Towards the endgame – economist.com / Jul 21st 2012

Syria Conflict: Turkey Refugee Camps Struggle To Cope With 44,000 Syrians – huffingtonpost.com / 7/26/2012

Actually this one is a bit different. It's from the Sovereign Independent. This is an article about the morality of politicians demanding the abolition of cash. See, the world is to go cashless eventually.

THE OxyMORONic Morality of Politicians Demanding

When 'Conservative' MP, David Gauke came out with 'paying cash is morally wrong', (A: Now the head guy for the British Treasury has come out and said the same thing, and the guy who is in charge of Her Majesty's Customs and Revenue, taxation service. They've even got courses for children now, they have to get told to inform on people they've heard that are not paying taxes, when they're 11 years of age they get courses in school to clipe on people... to turn them in to the government. So anyway it says...)

I just wondered what planet these creeps think they live on and who they seem to think they are. They are living in a delusional world where they seem to believe that they are some kind of higher beings who have the right to subjugate and control all those below them. (A: Well, that's exactly how they think about themselves.) But the truth is that they are OUR SERVANTS! (A: They're supposed to be servants. They're always talking about democracy. Have you ever looked into the meaning of democracy? How many people out there have actually looked into the origins of the term democracy? And compare it with the French one, that really brought it out in the first place, where the government is supposed to be your servants.) They of course don't believe or indeed care about that fact (A: ... that they are servants...) and continue to vote themselves massive salaries and pension rights as well as other perks and goodies which they benefit from. We only have to look at the disgusting wealth now in Tony Blair's 'moral' bank account equating to approximately £80 million (A: This is the guy who single-handedly took Britain to war along with Bush...) to see the depths of morality incumbent in this traitorous psychopath to see that politicians have no right to preach 'austerity' to the people of this country any more than they have the right to even mention the word 'morality' when they are more than happy to murder and maim millions of human beings at a whim. (A: And we better remember that. You see, they don't see murdering people abroad any different than murdering you back home. They really don't. Back with more after this.)

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix and this article here goes even further to do with not just the cashless society but also the tax system in itself. I mean, democracy, they keep saying democracy... and yet we're fighting across the world for democracy, remember. Here is Britain, still under Her Majesty,

the Crown, everybody swears allegiance to the Queen that collects taxes, works for government, has any kind of uniform, and they call it democracy. What a joke. This article here says...

HMRC tells school children: Tell your teacher if a neighbour is evading tax

telegraph.co.uk / Christopher Hope, Senior Political Correspondent / 25 Jul 2012

Critics said it was “un-British” of the HMRC [Her Majesty’s Revenue and Customs] to try to turn “children into state spies”.

The news came after a Treasury minister said it was “morally wrong” for home owners to negotiate discounts with plumbers and traders by paying them in cash if it allowed them to evade tax. (A: They can’t even tell you where billions of pounds are going to; supposedly they keep losing billions of pounds, at the top, overseas apparently. But at home they want to make sure that every penny’s accounted for. So...)

HMRC has set up teaching modules to guide children through the hazards of pay as you earn and National Insurance contributions.

Some of the modules – which can be downloaded from HMRC’s (A: Her Majesty’s...) website – teach school children as young as 11 about paying their fair share of tax. (A: This is for the guys at the top that pay none at all. You know, the Queen’s got her own accounting firm; it’s a private one, way out in the country, a big, big estate actually. You can’t get through the doors. The Man Alive group tried to get in for an interview once and they couldn’t get past the gate. Only the richest people in Britain go through this accounting firm, and they managed to bring everything down to zero; in fact, the government will end up

paying you money back, but only for the ultra-rich. And the Queen goes through this particular firm. But she wants your cash.)

The revenue uses video, games, facts and quizzes to “help make teaching financial capability and citizenship issues relevant and engaging”, according to its website.

One module, headlined “tax responsibilities of a good citizen”, aims to help teenagers “understand the obligations of being a good citizen and discuss what should happen to those who are not prepared to work under such obligations”. (A: ...like the elite, I suppose.)

One lesson plan – targeted at 14 to 16 year olds – requires students to “discuss whether it is good to pay the tax we do, considering the benefits we receive. If it is good, then why do people try not to pay?”

It continues: “Show class the remaining factfile slides on tax evasion. What do students think of those who refuse to pay tax or try and defraud the benefits system?”

“Can they think of any example they may have heard of in their local area?” (A: You know, that’s squealing; that’s what it means.)

A further “plenary session” asks: “What do students now think about paying taxes? In what other ways can we contribute to working together for a better society? (A: Define ‘better society’. It’s an absolute mess over in Britain now, thanks to government.)

"What do students think about people who try to avoid paying taxes? Is it a victimless crime? What kind of penalties should such people be given when they are caught?" (A: And there are a couple of PDFs from the British citizenship lesson plans. I'll put this up tonight too, all these links at CuttingThroughTheMatrix.com.)

<http://s3.documentcloud.org/documents/404119/ks4-citizenship-lesson-plan.pdf>

The modules were criticised by thinktank Civitas. David Green, its director, said: "This sounds a bit too 'Big Brotherish'. People 'in their local area' are most likely to be parents or close relatives. Turning children into state spies is un-British." (A: Well, is it really the British that run Britain? Is it?)

An HMRC spokesman said: "HMRC has been providing basic information for many years to teachers to use when teaching financial education in classrooms.

"We certainly don't use this to collect information on tax evaders from children. These materials are solely designed to help children to learn about how tax works in Britain."

The news came after Prime Minister David Cameron and his deputy Nick Clegg were among a number of Cabinet ministers who admitted they paid in cash for services.

However they denied that they ever did so to help someone to evade VAT. (A: Their Value Added Tax. The Value Added Tax is to be worldwide, you understand. It was the Royal Institute of International Affairs that came up with it; they've still to slap it on America yet. In some countries they call it the Higher Sales Tax; that's what Brian Mulroney called it. He said, vote me in and I won't put in the Value Added Tax. So he put it in and he called it the Higher Sales Tax instead.)

That came after Exchequer secretary to the Treasury David Gauke said it was "morally wrong" for home owners to negotiate discounts with plumbers and traders by paying them in cash if it allowed them to evade VAT or income tax.

His comments reflected growing concern in Whitehall about the cash-in-hand economy, which costs Britain billions of pounds a year in lost tax revenues.

Well even if they had all those billions they'd lose all that at the top too, and they wouldn't tell the public. They never tell the public where it goes, at the top. And the boys on top in the House of Lords have been into every big Military-Industrial Complex forever. Whenever a new missile was going to get built it was those guys that got the first tips on it, and they would get shares in it and so on. It's just a big business for them, you see. That's what politics really is, a big business with a world plan of course, because there is a head to the world business plan.

And...

Inside the quiet effort to plan for a post-Assad Syria

thecable.foreignpolicy.com / Josh Rogin / July 20, 2012

(A: This is interesting too.)

For the last six months, 40 senior representatives of various Syrian opposition groups have been meeting quietly in Germany under the tutelage of the U.S. Institute of Peace (USIP) to plan for how to set up a post-Assad Syrian government. (A: This is for the US to know that, you see, you're paying for this. They did the same thing before they attacked Iraq, remember, and Libya as well. And again, this Institute for Peace is a nonprofit organization but funded by the government. Back with more after this break.)

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about who's paying for all of this. It's the US and Britain of course, primarily, that's paying for all the transitions that will happen in Syria once they're over with it. But it's talking about too, these nonprofit organizations, the US Institute for Peace and other organizations are all funded by, well, the government of the US. They are part of their program, you see, and they are the guys that recruit the rebels and all the rest of it, or purchase them – they have their mercenaries – and get armies going. That's how it really works.

The project, which has not directly involved U.S. government officials but was partially funded by the State Department, is gaining increased relevance this month as the violence in Syria spirals out of control and hopes for a peaceful transition of power fade away. The leader of the project, USIP's Steven Heydemann, an academic expert on Syria, has briefed administration officials on the plan, as well as foreign officials, including on the sidelines of the Friends of Syria meeting in Istanbul last month. (A: It sounds awful good, Friends of Syria. We had the same thing with Iraq and so on. These are the guys that they've decided they'll put in after they've got rid of the last one.)

The project is called "The day after: Supporting a democratic transition in Syria." Heydemann spoke about the project in depth for the first time in an interview with The Cable. He described USIP's efforts as "working in a support role with a large group of opposition groups to define a transition process for a post-Assad Syria." (A: Well you can see how they've made such a good, good job in the past, with Iraq that's still a basket case, just like Brzezinski and Kissinger said it would be. They preferred they left the countries as basket cases, and they'd actually fund conflicting parties to keep fighting forever; that's what you have now. And then you dominate them that way. You can go in there, make your deals with the big oil companies, and it doesn't matter what gang leader is going to protect you, one or the other, it

doesn't make any difference to the boys at the top – they get it far cheaper from them too. But those countries then are... they're done for. They can't get together and oppose any other external government.)

The opposition leaders involved in the USIP project have been meeting since January and providing updates on their work to the Arab League, the Friends of Syria group, the team of U.N. Special Envoy Kofi Annan, and the opposition Syrian National Council.

The focus of the group's effort is to develop concrete plans for the immediate aftermath of a regime collapse, to mitigate the risks of bureaucratic, security, and economic chaos. The project has also identified a few things can be done in advance to prepare for a post-Assad Syria. (A: So, there's your non-intervention techniques in domestic affairs. Remember, Libya was the same. Every country, including the US and Britain, have signed they would NOT go in to interfere with internal fracas within countries. And they've broken every single law that they signed themselves.)

Now to do with the Bulgarian bus attack, that makes no sense at all for folk who've followed it. Anyway this is from the Jerusalem Post and it says...

Iran accuses Israel of plotting Bulgaria bus attack

jpost.com / By REUTERS / 07/26/2012

UNITED NATIONS – Iran's UN envoy accused Israel on Wednesday of plotting and carrying out a suicide bomb attack on a bus in Bulgaria a week ago in which five Israeli tourists were killed.

A suicide bomber blew up the bus in a car park at Burgas airport, a popular gateway for tourists visiting Bulgaria's Black Sea coast, killing himself, the Israeli tourists and the Bulgarian bus driver and wounding more than 30 people. (A: They even said that they couldn't find parts of this terrorist's body, which I don't believe. He either wasn't there or somebody put this stuff on the bus before.)

Israel has accused Iran and the Lebanese Islamist group Hezbollah of the bombing. Iran has denied the accusations.

"It's amazing that just a few minutes after the terrorist attack, Israeli officials announced that Iran was behind it," Iran's UN Ambassador Mohammad Khazaee told a UN Security Council debate on the Middle East. "We have never and will not engage in such a despicable attempt on... innocent people."

"Such terrorist operation could only be planned and carried out by the same regime whose short history is full of state terrorism operations and assassinations aimed implicating others for narrow political gains," Khazaee said. "I could provide ... many examples showing that this regime killed its own citizens and innocent Jewish people during the last couple of decades." (A: So that's their response to the accusation. And remember too, countries do that; they will dispose of some of their own to get the benefit of sympathy and the excuse to attack and do things as well. That's a fact, folks. That's the hard history lesson that you have to learn, all countries have done this, many times over.)

And it says here...

JCPenney's To Eliminate Check-Out Clerks

pittsburgh.cbslocal.com / July 19, 2012 / Reporting Jon Delano

It's been a hundred years since James Cash Penney opened his first store in Wyoming.

Now, the retail chain – with more than a thousand outlets – is struggling to turn a profit even though lots of people love the store.

But the store is getting a makeover – specialized boutiques within the store like a denim bar – and more. (A: But what they're going to try to do of course, is to replace clerks with a self-checkout system, something like Wal-Mart's doing in some of their stores as well, and even the build-all centers in Canada are doing that too.)

But one of his ideas – replacing clerks with a self-check-out system – well, that doesn't go over too well with some customers. (A: See, eventually that's all you'll have. It says...)

Penney's CEO Ron Johnson says the store will switch the traditional bar codes on price tags to RFID's – or radio frequency identification chips – and use self-service checkout machines found in many grocery stores. (A: So eventually you'll have no people at all working in these stores. There'll be security guards dressed in black with machine guns just in case you try to somehow skip out with something you haven't paid for. But eventually it will all be automatic anyway. And it will be deducted from your card, whether you pull it out of your wallet or not. And then down the road eventually YOU will get the chip; we all know that's coming. That's definitely coming.)

With the LIBOR scandal, there's nothing new; they've been doing this forever. It says...

Libor: BBA 'warned weekly' says former rate-compiler

bbc.co.uk / 25 July 2012 / Ian Pollock

Barclays HQ in London

Several other banks, apart from Barclays, were making odd Libor submissions in 2008. (A: They were fixing the rates.)

The British Bankers' Association was given weekly warnings in 2008 that the process of setting the Libor interest rates was being distorted.

A former member of the Libor compilation team at Thomson Reuters says it regularly warned senior BBA staff about the problem.

Its reports regularly highlighted the implausible rate submissions (A: ...meaning fraudulent...) of several banks involved in the Libor process.

The BBA denied these had amounted to warnings of wrong-doing.

'Not very effectual'

Each day the six-man team at Thomson Reuters would calculate the various Libor interest rates, based on estimates submitted by staff from a panel of banks about how much it would cost them to borrow in the financial markets, in various currencies and for various durations.

The highest and lowest estimates were discarded as outliers and the average rate derived from the remaining ones, and then published.

The warning reports from the Libor team were passed to John Ewan, the BBA's head of Libor, who now works for Thomson Reuters.

The former member of the rate-compilation team – who wishes to remain anonymous – told the BBC that Mr Ewan was given weekly reports, detailing the oddities. (A: So everything was rigged and fixed, but still it will continue that way because everything to do with banking is rigged and fixed, and it's a scam as we all know.)

Odd that, too, that you find Mr. Holmes, the shooter – if he was the shooter in fact – at Aurora, his dad is way up there to do with algorithms too for searching and finding corresponding information that you would normally never get, special algorithms, but to do especially with banking. And I believe he was to testify at the LIBOR scandal as well, because they were using his algorithmic systems. They can tell who the top guys were that were funneling money out of the country. Kind of a dangerous thing to have, that kind of information, isn't it? They might do something to your family or something like that, isn't it? Strange, that. But the world is full of coincidences; there's no doubt about that. Lots of coincidences.

Now Key West, Florida, we're getting this idea too, through Bill Gates and others with their mutant mosquitoes, they're going to help us all by putting viruses into mutant mosquitoes, that they say will eventually sterilize or kill off anything that they mate with. I always think about people because they seem to do more mating with us on a buggy day. It says...

Are mutant mosquitoes the answer in Key West?

reuters.com / Michael Haskins / Jul 23, 2012

When Hadyn Parry, chief executive officer of the British biotechnology company Oxitec Ltd, appeared at a Key West town hall meeting to present his plan to use genetically modified mosquitoes in the fight to eradicate dengue fever, he came up against familiar resistance.

Alarmed local residents at the April meeting raised the specter of their island paradise being turned into an experimental "Jurassic Park" for mutant mosquitoes.

"Have there been studies of what can happen if someone is bit by one of these mosquitoes?" said Key West realtor Mila de Mier. "Are we the subjects, the guinea pigs of this experiment?"

Wary of the potential threat to its vital tourism industry, the Florida Keys Mosquito Control unit spends \$1 million a year on eradication efforts and is constantly on the lookout for dengue, a potentially fatal virus with extreme flu-like symptoms that is spread by the *Aedes aegypti* mosquito.

The prospect of saving taxpayer dollars prompted the Mosquito Control Board to propose implementing Oxitec's GM technology on an experimental basis.

But a group of residents, spearheaded by de Mier, has campaigned vociferously against the use of mutants and persuaded the city commission to reject the Mosquito Control Board's recommendation.

The board does not need city permission to proceed but the mutant mosquito release is on hold waiting for federal approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (A: Apparently this whole thing is to sterilize the males; they also stack them up with different viruses mind you, so that they pass them onto the females when they're having their little time together, and supposedly it can either kill off the female or kill off anything in her eggs and make them sterile too. But in between of course, they can bite a person, with all these viruses that they're loading them up with. Have they done a study on that? I'm sure they have, but they probably won't tell you.)

The...

NYPD Spying: How A 911 Caller Outed NYPD Surveillance Of Muslims In New Jersey

huffingtonpost.com / MATT APUZZO and ADAM GOLDMAN / 07/25/12

This July 13, 2011, photo shows the apartment complex in New Brunswick, N.J., where an apartment was rented by an undercover NYPD officer. On June 2, 2009, a building superintendent at the complex just off the Rutgers University campus called 911 after stumbling one of the NYPD's biggest secrets – a safe house, a place where undercover officers working well outside the department's jurisdiction could lay low and coordinate surveillance. The Associated Press has obtained a copy of the 911 call. (A: And they tell you what happened. And of course, they actually raided their own place. They had these safe houses,

as they call them, throughout the city, and they're basically, it's not just spying on them visually, it's like a center headquarters where they can bring in all their phone calls and everything else and monitor them, etc, etc, etc. I'll put this link up tonight as well.)

And this article, from the guys who want you all to pay more taxes and be good, good, good citizens, while they themselves go through the loopholes they make for themselves, that only the wealthy can afford to get into, it says this...

Wealth doesn't trickle down – it just floods offshore, research reveals

guardian.co.uk / Heather Stewart 21 July 2012

A far-reaching new study suggests a staggering \$21tn in assets has been lost to global tax havens. If taxed, that could have been enough to put parts of Africa back on its feet – and even solve the euro crisis.

The world's super-rich have taken advantage of lax tax rules to siphon off at least \$21 trillion, and possibly as much as \$32tn, from their home countries and hide it abroad – a sum larger than the entire American economy.

James Henry, a former chief economist at consultancy McKinsey and an expert on tax havens, has conducted groundbreaking new research for the Tax Justice Network campaign group – sifting through data from the Bank for International Settlements (BIS), the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and private sector analysts to construct an alarming picture that shows capital flooding out of countries across the world and disappearing into the cracks in the financial system. (A: Now, it says the Bank for International Settlements. Now, that's the big, big one that Carroll Quigley talked about for the Council on Foreign

Relations. He says, eventually all the central banks will be under the umbrella of the Bank for International Settlements which will really rule the world's banks; all the central banks would be ruled by them. And it's getting funded, and it's also scamming the money from the bigwigs, the ones with all the cash that aren't paying taxes at home... you know, they funnel millions and billions offshore, and right up to the top level of HM Queen.)

Comedian Jimmy Carr became the public face of tax-dodging in the UK earlier this year when it emerged that he had made use of a Cayman Islands-based trust to slash his income tax bill.

But the kind of scheme Carr took part in is the tip of the iceberg, according to Henry's report, entitled The Price of Offshore Revisited. (A: There's a link to it too; you can download the PDF.) Despite the professed determination of the G20 group of leading economies to tackle tax secrecy, investors in scores of countries – including the US and the UK – are still able to hide some or all of their assets from the taxman.

"This offshore economy is large enough to have a major impact on estimates of inequality of wealth and income; on estimates of national income and debt ratios; and – most importantly – to have very significant negative impacts on the domestic tax bases of 'source' countries," Henry says. (A: So trillions get put offshore. They will never touch these people; they're too important, too important. And this has been going on forever, you understand.)

I'm also putting up tonight the...

Pro-Life Opposition to Melinda Gates Population Summit Not Fading

Wendy Wright | London, England | LifeNews.com | 7/20/12

New York, NY (CFAM/LifeNews) — Pro-life protesters at the Family Planning Summit in London last week signaled that opposition to the multi-billion dollar campaign is not going away.

Held on the 100th anniversary of the first eugenics conference in London, the UK's Department for International Development (DfID) and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation harnessed the seats of power – governments, scientists, media, activists – to launch this latest effort to blame children for poverty and women's troubles. (A: And that's true. You think it's the left-wing; the left-wing are run by multi-trillionaires, folks. And they always were. Did you ever go into the money that Lenin was scamming? It was all coming in from the US and elsewhere, into his own private bank accounts in Switzerland. They all were, by the way. Anyway it says...)

If previous actions are an indication of future performance, Gates and DfID can expect pro-life advocates to counter each aspect of their campaign. With little advance notice, protesters showed up, video messages were circulated, leaders published editorials in newspapers around the world, and pro-life statesmen were activated.

Melinda Gates said she was convinced of the "unmet need" for contraception by listening to women in visits arranged by family planning groups. To fill the gap, Human Life International released an edgy video of young African and Asian women telling "Facts for Melinda Gates."

"You may have the best intentions, but you don't seem to understand what young women really need," they state. Oral contraception contains carcinogens and can worsen the spread of AIDS, they note. "We need better schools, better hospitals, better roads . . . help us build a culture of respect for women." (A: Well, they don't want that. They want you to be sterilized. I mean, they did it in India; they've sterilized thousands and thousands of women in India, telling them, oh your back pain's caused by this or that, let's just have a little operation, and they give them a complete hysterectomy. That's the world we live in,

folks. All deception. Understand, this isn't just people in Africa they're conning; it's the whole darn world. The whole world.)

An article here says...

Revealed: Prosecutor who failed to nail Penn State coach for 'sex abuse' (A: ...and I've mentioned this before...) vanished in 2005 and was declared 'legally dead' this year

dailymail.co.uk / Laurie Whitwell and Louise Boyle / 11 November 2011

Body of District Attorney Ray Gricar was never found but car abandoned and laptop dragged from river. (A: And it was missing its hard drive. He was bumped off. That was the first investigation into Penn State's homosexual dealings with the children. Back with more after this.)

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through The Matrix and as I was saying, the world that we're given is so vastly different from the one they try to project to you, so incredibly different. In fact, if you knew what was really going on you'd have to take some kind of action because it's worse than organized crime. If this is organized crime it's the best organized crime in the world. But it's their world, they run it. And they have you indoctrinated; they have us all indoctrinated. And they run the school systems. They run the whole system. And they tell you when to go off to war and fight who, and pay for it all and all the rest of it, when it suits them, without telling you why they're involved with it in the first place.

Also too, there's a...

Scandal At The IMF: Senior Economist Resigns,

(A: Now, the International Monetary Fund is part too, of this big Bank for International Settlements group that was set up to help run the world system, and actually control the world through the IMF, and he says...)

Says "Ashamed To Have Had Any Association With Fund At All"

(A: ...to do with the stuff that's been going on inside it.)

zerohedge.com / Tyler Durden / 07/20/2012

The rats everywhere are now jumping furiously off the titanic, but few had taken the time to write a letter explaining in detail just how cracked and broken the hull really was. This has now changed, with the departure of Peter Doyle, formerly a division chief in the IMF's European Department responsible for non-crisis countries and currently an adviser to the Fund. Not content with quietly slinking off the scandal ridden organization which has become the butt of all jokes in the international community, where humor about Lagarde's Louis Vuitton panhandling bag is as pervasive as punchlines about just how incompetent the organization is at actually doing its duty, Doyle has penned the following scathing letter which tears down every myth about the IMF: from its impartiality, to the selection process of its head, to its effectiveness. The letter also contains the following gem: "After twenty years of service (A: ...in the IMF...), I am ashamed to have had any association with the Fund at all." (A: He says. And it goes on too. It's a full letter, a PDF; I'll put that link up tonight as well. And these are the ones we're supposed to let run the world, these organizations.)

Also too, the US is always telling us it's not involved with NASA anymore in space; they can't afford it. But...

Secret US spaceplane lands after 15 months in orbit – wired.com / 18 June 12

Did you know about that one? I'll put that link up tonight too, with the photographs and a little story on it as well. You're not supposed to really know what's going on, you understand. It's not for you. Just play, play and be happy. Another article too, is called...

Senseless security

theamericanconservative.com / Tom Engelhardt • July 19, 2012

(A: It starts off with a guy talking about his daughter and how he used to read to her, illustrated books and so on. And it says...)

For our present national security moment, however, I might amend the book's punch line slightly to: That makes no sense!

Now, think of something you learned about the Complex that fried your brain, try the line yourself ... and we'll get started.

Are you, for instance, worried about the safety of America's "secrets"? Then you should breathe a sigh of relief and consider this headline from a recent article on the inside pages of my hometown paper: "Cost to Protect U.S. Secrets (A: ...the cost of protecting the SECRETS, just the secrets, right, that you're not supposed to know...) Doubles to Over \$11 Billion." (A: ...just for the bureaucrats that deal with keeping secrets. And this goes on and on too, and it's a really good article. I'll put that up as well tonight.)

And also, a spy satellite monopoly is being formed right now by space companies...

Spy satellite monopoly formed by space companies merging – wired.co.uk / Robert Beckhusen / 25 July 12

They're all merging into one organization, to spy on the whole planet now. There's power. What organization do you think runs that? or owns them? I'll put that link up tonight as well.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

October 23, 2025 at 9:45 am

EDIT | MOVE | SPLIT | TRASH | SPAM | UNAPPROVE | REPLY [#1629](#)



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

What I do, as I say, is I go through the history of the big societies that came together. People who actually started the first big societies for this plan of taking the whole world over and all of its resources, including people because we're a human resource, and running it in a scientific fashion, eugenics at the top of it too, literally wrote books about it, lots of books about it in fact. Very few of the public read the books; they were given penny novels in those days to keep them occupied. Just like you've got movies today to keep you occupied, you don't read the dry dusty books from high-level bureaucrats or high-level members of the international societies that were created to bring in this system. The group behind it was the Royal Institute of International Affairs that also funded and helped communism. Their American branch, the Council on Foreign Relations, gave an awful lot of money towards the communist regime. Mind you, it wasn't just a one-way deal; they got a lot of interest and a lot of money back in return for doing it – they always make sure they get that.

But you're going through a combination of the two systems today because the Club of Rome, which is a think tank for the United Nations, which the Royal Institute of International Affairs also set up, they also set up the EU amalgamation, by the way, and NAFTA for the Americas – they boast about it on their own website. They also brought you income tax and property tax, this ONE group. And they have departments in every country across the planet today. But they designed the whole new society, what would happen, free trade with the free travel of labor as well. Eventually those from other countries, that were paying labor less, could bring in the labor and pay them at the same rate, as they would as though they were still in their old countries; that's all part of the free trade deals we're going through today. And also, population reduction goes along with it; it's worked very, very well in the first world countries. And even though they've got trouble with placements for schools – I'll be reading about that tonight too – because there are so many immigrants having children. And good luck to them, they're still pretty healthy. They might as well because the rest of the people, the native populations, will be dying off after the present one, because they don't grow up anymore. They're just like children until they die, you know, miniskirts, dancing and all that stuff. Back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through The Matrix, and what a Matrix it is, isn't it? It's hard enough for most folk to catch up from the past and find out what happened then, because it's always tucked away very quickly. We're always given new data every day, data overload of course; most of it's trivia and irrelevant. But there's a lot of stuff too you have to try and remember, and you have to record it, obviously, and store it somewhere, because this stuff, the information will become awfully important in the future as we go through this system. It will be very hard to explain to even younger people coming up what actually happened and how we got into the mess we're in if you don't have the data there. And even then a lot of them won't want to believe it anyway; it's a decision they take, I prefer not to believe that. Because they want to be happy, you see.

Back in the 40s and 50s some of the big players that worked with what we now call neuroscience, behaviorism, talked about this and they said that the people they would create, the generations they'd create would be ego-syntonic, egocentric as well. They'd only do those things they like to do, that were pleasurable, but they'd also shun pain, of any kind. And thought can be painful too – if it's not very nice topics you're talking about. But you can't have a complete understanding of anything in life unless you're willing to look at the nasty things as well. But most folk will not do that, because they've been taught to avoid them, and they seek pleasure instead. And because of that the big boys can get their way. They know exactly what they're doing and how much to change the cultures along the way to achieve those objectives. Most of it's been done, as I say, in the first world countries.

Here's an example here.

Population boom caused by high birth rate and
immigration will force schools to create 1m more places by 2020

(Alan: This is in Britain.)

dailymail.co.uk / Laura Clark / 26 July 2012

Nearly one million extra school places will be needed within eight years as rising birth rates and immigration push pupil numbers to a 50-year high. (A: Now, most of the ones that are born in the country are still from immigrants, because they still believe in having children. A strange thing, that, isn't it?)

The population boom has already pushed many primary schools to 'breaking point' and forced town halls to draw up emergency plans to teach children in disused shops and warehouses. (A: I remember the Green Party leaders saying a few years ago, that to get all the changes through, into austerity and everything, we need a wartime situation, just like World War II, where everyone pulled together, accepted less food and less everything and government control was all over the place, they accepted that too. Well, this is all what this is talking about here, where they talk about using disused shops and warehouses, like a warfare situation, you see.)

Now figures from the Department for Education have shown the number of pupils in state schools is expected to rise to 7,950,000 by the end of the decade – 935,000 more than now. (A: Well that's pretty

standard; they tell you these things every year.)

And immigration is just booming in Britain and it has been for, oh, 40 years, since they told them, everybody was told... In fact, a week didn't go by in the British papers where they didn't say, you know, for immigration shoppers, that's what they called them, immigration shoppers, where the best welfare systems happen to be. But it isn't just welfare. A lot of these people come in, I didn't know this myself, but in all the British Commonwealth countries, Canada included, where from some countries where they wanted lots of immigrants to come from, like India, many of them could apply for grants that gave them money to start up small stores, from the governments here, and they would pay no taxes for up to so many years and then they could ask for an extension at the end if they didn't think they were making enough, and get taxes postponed for another nine years or so. So these were high priorities to multiculturalize society. And now everybody is bitching about it. But why should they bitch? Because after all the countries that you're bitching about are not aborting all their children, you see, not chopping them up and flushing them away, or selling the parts. They believe in family life. A strange thing for most folk today, eh? Family life, odd thing, that. I wonder what happened to the ideas that we used to have about that. What knocked it out of our heads? You see, we're constantly getting conditioned from a thousand different ways by the big world planners.

Also tonight I'll put up an article from the Sovereign Independent about, again, the kind of military dictatorship you have in a country like the UK now. Because a guy went to pick someone up at an airport and it was just like a nightmare, trying to get parking spaces, and all the money that you have to pay, and then you've got all these Green Hornets around, these Robo cops who chase you up the road and all the rest of it. This kind of happened to this guy here. I'll put the whole article up tonight. But he says...

Luton Airport in The New World Robotic Disordered Dictatorship

sovereignindependentuk.co.uk / Joe Scanlan

If you were ever in doubt about the custom-built scientific tyranny in store for us all read on. (A: And it will show you what you go through there with all the different private and public polices they have, they have different kinds now, and how much it costs you just picking someone up at an airport, the fines you get for goodness knows what, and even fines once you get out of the airport too if you don't know where you're going. Well, that's the new system, folks. You're in it. It's like the movie Brazil, it's here, the comedy. Only it isn't a comedy for most folk living through it.)

Now, this is a standard thing that happens every year, and sometimes four or five times a year, depends what the demands are. But this says here...

Obama announces new military assistance to Israel on eve of Romney trip

haaretz.com / Natasha Mozgovaya / Jul.27, 2012

U.S. President Barack Obama announced on Friday that he will be releasing an additional \$70 million in military assistance to Israel, a previously announced move that appeared timed to upstage Republican rival Mitt Romney's trip to Jerusalem this weekend. (A: You've got to go over there and promise everything there, if you want to get in.)

The stepped-up U.S. aid, first announced in May, will go to help Israel expand production of the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system. (A: I'll put up a wiki link tonight too that tells you what this Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system is and the cost of the missiles and all that. But the US is an awfully generous country, and they have to be for presidents to get in now, or they would never get the vote.)

Obama made the announcement at the White House on Friday when he signed the U.S.-Israel Enhanced Security Cooperation Act, which is meant to approve and expand military cooperation between the two countries.

The move comes on the eve of presumptive Republican nominee Mitt Romney's visit to Israel, where he is due to meet Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, President Shimon Peres, and the Palestinian Prime Minister Salam Fayyad.

Rep. Howard Berman, who was present at the ceremony, told Haaretz [News] he "wouldn't read too much" into this coincidence. "The president has a certain number of days to sign a bill, and this one got to him only last week," he said.

However, veteran White House correspondents noted that arranging a ceremony for signing the bill was a special occasion indeed – most times the procedure is technical and not covered by the press. (A: So this is a normal... it's a ceremony now. Everything is ritualized ceremonies when it comes to the ties between Israel and the US.)

Obama signed the bill using five pens (A: ...really interesting, isn't it?), at his desk, flanked by Senator Barbara Boxer, sponsor of the Senate version of the bill, Rep. Howard Berman, the House version author, Lee Rosenberg, chairman of the board of AIPAC and Howard Friedman, the former Chair, as well as Richard Stone, chairman of the Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations.

"As many of you know, I have made it a top priority for my administration to deepen cooperation with Israel across the whole spectrum of security issues – intelligence, military, technology" (A: It's all sharing, you see.), Obama said. "And, in many ways, what this legislation does is bring together all the

outstanding cooperation that we have seen, really, at an unprecedented level between our two countries that underscore our unshakeable commitment to Israel security.”

Obama added that this week, the U.S. would announce an additional assistance for the Iron Dome batteries – (A: which are worth...) \$70 million. (A: And that’s quite a system there.)

He added that Secretary of Defense Leon Panetta would travel to Israel “to further consult and find additional ways that we can ensure such cooperation at a time when, frankly, the region is experiencing heightened tensions.”

Obama referred to the terrorist attack in Bulgaria that “emphasizes the degree to which this continues to be a challenge not just for Israel, but for the entire world – preventing terrorist attacks and making sure the people of Israel are not targeted”, stressing that signing the bill meant to send the message “how committed all of us are – Republicans and Democrats – as Americans, to our friends in making sure that Israel is safe and secure.”

Hmm.... so there you go. And another one too on the same topic says here, and this is from a Jewish newspaper...

House passed bill on expanded U.S.-Israeli military cooperation

jta.org / July 19, 2012

(JTA) — The U.S. House of Representatives approved a bill to strengthen security cooperation between the United States and Israel.

The United States-Israel Enhanced Security Cooperation Act, S. 2165, an amended version of a House bill that was approved in May, passed in a voice vote on July 17 and now awaits President Obama's signature. (A: Well he signed it with five pens.)

"We will send this bipartisan bill to the president and deliver the message that during this pivotal and dangerous period in the Middle East, the United States stands tall for our ally Israel," House Majority Leader Eric Cantor said, according to TheHill.com.

You see, you can't get in unless you get approval, you know, proper approval, from the right people, and that's rather obvious; even FDR said that too by the way. And, as I say, this is quite the Iron Dome that the US is paying for...

Iron Dome

wikipedia.com

...designed to intercept and destroy short-range rockets and artillery shells fired from distances of up to 70 kilometers away, whose trajectory would take them to a populated area.

The system, created as a defensive countermeasure to the rocket threat against Israel's civilian population on its northern and southern borders, uses technology first used in Rafael's SPYDER system. Iron Dome was declared operational and initially deployed on 27 March 2011 near Beersheba. On 7 April 2011, the system successfully intercepted a Grad rocket launched from Gaza for the first time. On 10 March 2012, The Jerusalem Post reported that the system shot down 90% of rockets launched from Gaza it fired at (rockets which will land in unpopulated areas are ignored). (A: But it's quite the expense, I'll tell you, the price of those things, each rocket that is a missile that has to be fired out. And of course, it can do an awful lot more damage than that. But it's okay, when someone else is paying.)

And here's an article too from Juarez, Mexico; it says...

Mexican official: CIA 'manages' drug trade

aljazeera.com / Chris Arsenault / 24 Jul 2012

Juarez, Mexico – The US Central Intelligence Agency and other international security forces “don't fight drug traffickers”, a spokesman for the Chihuahua state government in northern Mexico has told Al Jazeera, instead “they try to manage the drug trade”.

Allegations about official complicity in the drug business are nothing new when they come from activists, professors, campaigners or even former officials. However, an official spokesman for the authorities in one of Mexico's most violent states – one which directly borders Texas – going on the record with such accusations is unique. (A: Back with more after this.)

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through The Matrix and tonight I'll also put up a link to the Federal Business Opportunities section for the US, where they're ordering lots and lots of riot gear now. They're not just

bullets and ammunition and so on that they're ordering, it's lots and lots of riot gear. It's got pretty well everything on it, even special groin stuff and all the rest of it and all kinds of body paddings and so on, and tactical gloves. Everything's tactical. So I'll put this link up tonight as well. It's all for the coming riots, which are obviously going to come down the pike, not just in the US but elsewhere too, as they bring us down into austerity and start slashing welfare systems, that they've helped encourage for years and years, for this particular time and purpose by the way, to bring in the totalitarian systems – authoritarian systems according to the Club of Rome, because you see, Democratic systems will never work, they said. They always use democracy when they want to attack other countries but they don't intend ever to have democracy amongst the public. That's the trick of it. Very simple.

An article too about vaccines is causing some fear around the world, but it says...

Vaccines Are Causing Mutations That May Jeopardize The Health of Future Generations

preventdisease.com / July 17, 2012

Vaccines are causing an unprecedented number of mutations creating superbugs and potent viruses and bacteria that may eventually threaten future generations and humanity itself. Evidence continues to mount from the scientific community who now admit that certain vaccines are in-fact causing both viral and bacterial mutations. Ironically, the same researchers assert that "better" vaccines are needed to offset the rise in persistent mutations. (A: Well that's what science always does, they cause problems and then they try to fix them.)

Life-threatening pathogens are capable of evolving rapidly and developing genetic decoys that serve to disguise them from even the most powerful drugs. University of Oxford researcher Rory Bowden found that pathogens switch genetic material with other bacteria, but predominantly for the part of the genome responsible for making the cell coating, which is the area targeted by vaccines.

Former post-doctoral researcher of the Center for Infectious Disease Dynamics, Grainne Long found that vaccination led to a 40-fold enhancement of *B. parapertussis* colonization in the lungs. His data suggested that the vaccine may be contributing to the observed rise in whooping cough incidence over the last decade by promoting *B. parapertussis* infection.

Whooping Cough

An acellular whooping cough vaccine actually enhances the colonization of *Bordetella parapertussis* in mice; pointing towards a rise in *B. parapertussis* incidence resulting from acellular vaccination, which may have contributed to the observed increase in whooping cough over the last decade.

Despite widespread vaccination, whooping cough incidence is on the rise worldwide, making it a disease virtually immune to vaccines.

Dangerous new strains of whooping cough bacteria are now evading Australia's vaccine against the disease and entrenching a four-year epidemic that could soon spread overseas, Sydney scientists have found in research that raises questions about the national vaccine program.

Microbiologists from the University of New South Wales have found variants of the pertussis bacteria with a particular genetic signature have increased to 86 per cent of all samples taken from infected people after a continuing disease epidemic began in 2008.

Although the strains were present in Australia as early as 2000, they accounted for only 31 per cent of all samples collected between 2000 and 2007 — suggesting they have flourished alongside the current vaccine.

An acellular vaccine — introduced in Australia in 1997 after concerns about side-effects from the previous whole cell version — appeared to have promoted the spread of these variants (A: So they've actually caused this new super whooping cough with their vaccines, it seems to be; that's what the message is here. Of course they just screwed up, right? And you'll believe it.)

This article here too, again, is to do with Russia's entry into the World Trade Organization. That's a big move, actually, when they come into the World Trade Organization, because the World Trade Organization is not just about trade. If you look at the laws that surround our corporate states, or nations, it's all to do with economics, right down to the cost of killing someone; you know, if you kill someone how much should you pay for it. What is that person's life worth, in fact? He's an economic unit that pays taxes to the state, you've just eliminated him, what's it going to cost you? That's how your whole law system and legal system is based, around that type of thing. So eventually you end up getting tied together, tied together and then you sign lots and lots of treaties with the World Trade Organization until they rule you, just like Britain and the whole of the European countries as well. Anyway, it says...

Constitutional Court OKs Russia's WTO entry

rt.com / 9 July, 2012

The Protocol on Russia's accession to the World Trade Organization is constitutional, the country's Constitutional Court has ruled.

The court examined the document following a request by MPs from the State Duma's opposition factions – the Communists and Fair Russia – who stated that several of the protocol's provisions were not in line with Russian law. The deputies also claimed it undermines the country's economic security. (A: See, they understand that part right away.)

On Monday, the Court said in its resolution that "The Protocol was signed and approved in a procedure that does not contradict the state's constitutional foundations," cites Interfax. (A: So now they're in it so we'll see what happens to the Russians then.)

Now this is a good article. I don't go into forums. I stay away from forums because I've known all this from the very beginning. But it's to do with counterintelligence. Understand that when they gave you the Internet they were way ahead of all of you, thinking you were setting up your own little forums and all the rest of it, because there was to be an information war. Therefore they thought about every possible scenario and they trained people long in advance of even giving you forums to go into, people who'd go around the forms and cause dissension, or just going around in circles, or get you to turn on certain people that were getting a name for themselves or getting the word out. Back with more on this very interesting article after this break.

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and we're going through this article, a very good one too, because as I say, so many folk go into forums. They have no idea who they're speaking to, or who the other people are, or even if they're real people for instance, or if they're spies. And of course, the government has turned out thousands of people to take care of forums and they have from the very beginning. And it's counterintelligence. Counterintelligence uses the same terminology, the same ideas that are going around, that they don't like getting around to the public, and then they spin them off into some other area or add ridiculous things on to them and then it becomes... they basically defuse the whole topic that you're after in the first place. This is called...

The Gentleperson's Guide To Forum Spies

The Gentleperson's Guide To Forum Spies (spooks, feds, etc.)

1. COINTELPRO (A: Counterintelligence...) Techniques for dilution, misdirection and control of an internet forum
2. Twenty-Five Rules of Disinformation
3. Eight Traits of the Disinformationalist
4. How to Spot a Spy (Cointelpro Agent)
5. Seventeen Techniques for Truth Suppression

COINTELPRO Techniques for dilution, misdirection and control of an internet forum.

There are several techniques for the control and manipulation of an internet forum no matter what, or who is on it. We will go over each technique and demonstrate that only a minimal number of operatives can be used to eventually and effectively gain a control of an 'uncontrolled forum.'

Technique #1 – 'FORUM SLIDING'

If a very sensitive posting of a critical nature has been posted on a forum – it can be quickly removed from public view by ‘forum sliding.’ In this technique a number of unrelated posts are quietly prepositioned on the forum and allowed to ‘age.’ Each of these misdirectional forum postings can then be called upon at will to trigger a ‘forum slide.’ The second requirement is that several fake accounts exist, which can be called upon, to ensure that this technique is not exposed to the public. To trigger a ‘forum slide’ and ‘flush’ the critical post out of public view it is simply a matter of logging into each account both real and fake and then ‘replying’ to prepositioned postings with a simple 1 or 2 line comment. This brings the unrelated postings to the top of the forum list, and the critical posting ‘slides’ down the front page, and quickly out of public view. Although it is difficult or impossible to censor the posting it is now lost in a sea of unrelated and unuseful postings. By this means it becomes effective to keep the readers of the forum reading unrelated and non-issue items.

Technique #2 – ‘CONSENSUS CRACKING’ (A: ...how they can crack consensus amongst the public by putting a fake story out there. It sounds very good, there’s a lot of truth attached to it perhaps, then a spin, and using different fake names and accounts they find out who is falling for it and who’s not falling for it, and how to eventually get them all to believe you and so on.)

Technique #3 – ‘TOPIC DILUTION’

Topic dilution is not only effective in forum sliding it is also very useful in keeping the forum readers on unrelated and non-productive issues. (A: This keeps you sliding and turning around doing nothing.) This is a critical and useful technique to cause a ‘RESOURCE BURN.’ (A: These are the official terms of them.) By implementing continual and non-related postings that distract and disrupt (trolling) the forum readers they are more effectively stopped from anything of any real productivity. If the intensity of gradual dilution is intense enough, the readers will effectively stop researching and simply slip into a ‘gossip mode.’ (A: And that’s what they want, is you all to start gossiping and blaming folk, and hating folk, and you’re always even told who to hate. It’s quite interesting.)

Technique #4 – ‘INFORMATION COLLECTION’

Information collection is also a very effective method to determine the psychological level of the forum members (A: When you're in a forum you don't realize it but you're all being tested, by experts, from the NSA and other places.), and to gather intelligence that can be used against them. In this technique in a light and positive environment a 'show you mine so me yours' posting is initiated. From the number of replies and the answers that are provided much statistical information can be gathered. An example is to post your 'favourite weapon' and then encourage other members of the forum to showcase what they have. In this matter it can be determined by reverse proration what percentage of the forum community owns a firearm, and or an illegal weapon. This same method can be used by posing as one of the forum members and posting your favourite 'technique of operation.' From the replies various methods that the group utilizes can be studied and effective methods developed to stop them from their activities. (A: But also too, remember, they'll use that stuff from the forum – they know more about you than you think – and they can go into all your mailings and so on to other places, even what you look at and what you watch, and they can make up a perfect personality profile of you.)

Technique #5 – 'ANGER TROLLING'

Statistically, there is always a percentage of the forum posters who are more inclined to violence. In order to determine who these individuals are, it is a requirement to present an image to the forum to deliberately incite a strong psychological reaction. From this the most violent in the group can be effectively singled out for reverse IP location and possibly local enforcement tracking. To accomplish this only requires posting a link to a video depicting a local police officer massively abusing his power against a very innocent individual. Statistically of the million or so police officers in America there is always one or two being caught abusing their powers and the taping of the activity can be then used for intelligence gathering purposes – without the requirement to 'stage' a fake abuse video. This method is extremely effective, and the more so the more abusive the video can be made to look. Sometimes it is useful to 'lead' the forum by replying to your own posting with your own statement of violent intent, and that you 'do not care what the authorities think!!' inflammation. By doing this and showing no fear it may be more effective in getting the more silent and self-disciplined violent intent members of the forum to slip and post their real intentions. This can be used later in a court of law during prosecution.

Technique #6 – 'GAINING FULL CONTROL' (A: ...of the forum.)

It is important to also be harvesting and continually maneuvering for a forum moderator position. Once this position is obtained, the forum can then be effectively and quietly controlled by deleting unfavourable postings – and one can eventually steer the forum into complete failure and lack of interest by the general public. This is the 'ultimate victory' as the forum is no longer participated with by the general public and no longer useful in maintaining their freedoms. Depending on the level of control you can obtain, you can deliberately steer a forum into defeat by censoring postings, deleting memberships, flooding, and or accidentally taking the forum offline. By this method the forum can be quickly killed. However it is not always in the interest to kill a forum as it can be converted into a 'honey pot' gathering center to collect and misdirect newcomers and from this point be completely used for your control for your agenda purposes.

CONCLUSION

Remember these techniques are only effective if the forum participants DO NOT KNOW ABOUT THEM. Once they are aware of these techniques the operation can completely fail, and the forum can become uncontrolled. At this point other avenues must be considered such as initiating a false legal precedence to simply have the forum shut down and taken offline. This is not desirable as it then leaves the enforcement agencies unable to track the percentage of those in the population who always resist attempts for control against them. Many other techniques can be utilized and developed by the individual and as you develop further techniques of infiltration and control it is imperative to share them with HQ. (A: This is for the guys who do it TO you all. They're all over out there – you understand that? – in every forum, unless it's gardening or something. And even that could be bad now if you've got something against GM veggies and stuff; you never know, your name will go on a list.)

And we've watched... I've mentioned that 2001 actually was when the international censors of the world got together, the ones who work for all your countries' TV stations and movie industries. They got together in 2001 and that was the one when they came out and said they'd actually won the rights to

push the homosexual agenda through movies and comedies and everything else, and they would do more and more since then, that's what they said. And they certainly have done it. But they also said the next big push would be for intergenerational sex, which is pedophilia, and also for having sex with animals. No kidding. And two professors wrote articles, one in the US papers and one in the Canadian papers, about that particular topic and they were all for it, because they'd been at the meeting. So there's nothing that comes across your television that's not there to either destroy the old culture or create a new one in the process as well. That's generally what they do, the two at the same time. And it's going to get worse and worse and worse, of course.

Conservative group lambasts Glee creator Ryan Murphy for being 'openly gay' as they bid to boycott his new TV show

dailymail.co.uk / Linda Massarella / 22 July 2012

(A: There was one boycotted not long ago too; it was something similar. It was something about Christian women or Christian wives, and it was some sort of lambasting comedy about Christians.)

An ultra conservative group called One Million Moms has begun a campaign against a new NBC show that hasn't even aired yet.

In particular, the family values group is targeting The New Normal creator Ryan Murphy – who also created Glee and American Horror Story – and is criticising him for being 'openly gay'.

The New Normal is about two homosexual men named Bryan and David who want to start a family.

'These days, families come in all forms – single dads, double moms, sperm donors, egg donors, one-night-stand donors... It's 2012 and almost anything goes,' says the website for the NBC show to air this fall.

One Million Moms says it's doing everything possible to stop the show from being telecast.

'OMM is prepared to contact any and all sponsors of this program if it is aired,' the group threatened in a letter posted today.

'To sum it up, this show is about a homosexual couple who hires a surrogate to have their baby.

'The season premiere is scheduled for Tuesday, September 11 at 9:30/8:30 p.m. Central. It is no surprise that openly gay Ryan Murphy, is one of the executive producers and director...'

One Million Moms, the same group that criticized JC Penney for hiring openly gay Ellen Degeneres as a spokesperson, says anything doesn't go when it comes to traditional family values.

'NBC is using public airwaves to continue to subject families to the decay of morals and values, and the sanctity of marriage in attempting to redefine marriage,' executives vented on its site.

'OMM is prepared to contact any and all sponsors of this program if it is aired,' it continued, boasting that it helped get NBC's The Playboy Club cancelled by its calls for an advertising boycott.

Murphy has been criticised in some quarters before for his open approach to homosexuality.

Along with winning Emmys and Golden Globe Awards, Glee has been criticised by right wing groups for including same sex couples in its plot lines.

I love how they even write it, this article, because they say 'right wing groups'. I mean some left-wing groups, believe it or not, people on the left wing as well who actually don't... they also believe in marriage, you know, normal marriage, the old kind, the old-fashioned kind, you know. But it's true; you can't watch television now unless you really don't mind your mind being tampered with. Believe you me, morality is a strange thing because if you start tampering with it, and with the downloading and imprinting in your brain, especially to do with pornography, of all kinds, it will affect your life, to your own detriment. It will.

I mentioned yesterday about children being given training in their school on income taxes and to snitch on people they've heard, you know, or watched, passing cash to each other, like businessmen, tradesmen, anything like that, with the lower level stuff. And this is like the Soviet Union. In the Soviet Union someone who wanted rid of you simply, a neighbor for instance, they had to not just phone up the authorities, they could fill in forms, and put down some evil intent on it, something you hadn't done, it didn't matter, but you were just whisked away. And after the Soviet Union was finished, supposedly finished, there was so much anger in the ex-Soviet system from people who'd been beaten up or went missing or imprisoned by the authorities, because of neighbors snitching on them. And here they are, bringing it all into Britain. So...

HM (A: Her Majesty's...) Revenue and Customs want school kids to snitch on tax-dodgers. It smacks of Eastern Europe pre-1989

telegraph.co.uk / Peter Mullen / July 26th, 2012

Regular readers of this blog – if indeed there be any such – might remember my quoting the poet C.H. Sisson's comment upon the breaking down of the Berlin Wall and what looked like the end of communism in 1989: "It's a pity when they've got rid of this tyranny in the East, we're building up something very much like it in the West." He meant, of course, the EU (A: The Economic Union. It's totally Soviet; it's not Democratic.) and the tendency even of our native British institutions to become more centralised, bureaucratic and obsessed with control-freakery.

So I was not surprised to read in this morning's paper the accusation that HM Revenue and Customs are inviting school children to inform on tax-dodgers in their area. The children are being asked: "What do you think of those who refuse to pay tax or try to defraud the benefits system? Can you think of any example you may have heard of in your local area?"

When I read this, my mind leapt back to that year 1989. My wife and I took a short break in Prague. It was one year after the famous Velvet Revolution which had brought down the communist dictatorship. We stayed in a hotel at the top end of Wenceslas Square and we got to know the proprietress pretty well.

She told us what life had been like under the communist tyranny:

"My husband is a lecturer at the university. He set the students' exam papers. He knew the party-line questions were all phoney. The students gave the required answers which, in turn, they knew were phoney too. My husband marked and graded them. And the grades were phoney as well.

"When our younger children went to school in the mornings, they were asked by their teachers, 'Did your parents have anyone to dinner last night? Do you know who they were? What did they talk about?'"

Spying, snooping and informing on your neighbours are the institutionalised paranoia which is the natural accompaniment of totalitarianism. That's the way we're going in the EU in general and in Britain in particular: Eastern Europe pre-1989.

And that's bang-on with where the whole world is actually going, the US and Canada and everywhere else as well. Centralization of all power was part of the Communist Manifesto. In fact, that's why Karl Marx telegraphed Lincoln, when he won, and said, he'd done the greatest thing by bringing the country together and centralizing all power in government. Karl Marx sent that to Lincoln; you can find that in the federal papers in the US.

GM potato trial 'threatens Ireland's image'

bbc.co.uk / Science reporter, BBC World Service / 27 July 2012

(A: I mean poor old Ireland, they had the best food in the world for a long, long time... great beef and everything else, just great stuff. And it says here...)

Ireland's environmental protection agency has approved the first trial of a genetically modified potato crop.

Scientists say the plants have been designed to improve resistance to blight.

They argue that the type of genetic modification used is akin to conventional breeding. (A: Well, how can it be when it's modified?)

But the decision has been severely criticised by campaigners who say that there will be "grave ramifications" for the country. (A: ...and that's true. That's true because they get soaked in pesticides, these GM ones, and of course every cell in the plant soaks up the pesticide and you get cancers, which they are well aware of. It also alters your biology too with the estrogen-like qualities that they have. And it can hit you 3 generations down the road. That's why we already have this bursting out all over, you know, this gay stuff. That's a bit prejudicial of a word, that, isn't it? That means everybody else is unhappy, obviously. I mean it's actually homosexual, isn't it? I mean, after all, haven't you got reasons to be happy as well? Anyway it says...)

It is only a two hectare trial, but that's like saying you're only a little bit pregnant, there are no grey areas with GM." (A: This is against all the people in Ireland; they don't want it. But it's getting rammed ahead because at the top the folk take bribes, of course, from the big companies. That's the world we live in now, it's corruption. Just like the Soviet Union, you had to bribe to get anything done in the Soviet Union. But we're here. It's all here. Anyway it says...)

Late blight is sometimes said to be the most dangerous potato disease in the world. It can rapidly turn the vegetable into an inedible mush.

In recent years, more aggressive strains of blight have become prevalent, resulting in a chemical arms race as farmers and growers seek to kill the fungus with ever more powerful sprays. (A: Back with more after this.)

Hi folks, I'm back. Now there's Jerome from Baltimore on the line. Are you there Jerome?

Jerome: Hey, how are you doing Alan?

Alan: Not bad at all.

Jerome: As a first-time caller, I've listened to you for a pretty good while... I'm sorry, I wasn't listening through the whole show but it's something that I had to get off my chest. I had a family member, we were talking about this shooting. It just kind of blew me away because I was showing her some videos, on how the witnesses were contradicting each other, the stories. It was one video where the guy, it was like, you know, when a runner is about to get set and get ready to make a long sprint, it's like he had the purpose of, before he got on the air and everything, and in like the first three seconds. And I was like, you've got to be kidding me; am I in the twilight zone?, I said out loud. And as I looked around, nobody was getting it.

Alan: Well the thing is too, they had lots of cameras inside the cinema and they also had cameras all around outside the cinema. So they know what went on inside it. And it is true, somebody did go up and open the door to allow this guy, either someone to come in or someone to go out, or back in again afterwards, jammed the door. No alarms went off when that fire exit was opened; it should have gone off. And nothing makes sense to us, as you well know. But a lot more will come out down the road. And this will be one of the biggest conspiracies ever, because there's just too many inconsistencies to it, to do with the guy himself, his father, what his father was involved in, what he himself was being trained to do.

One of six students, top students for a \$26,000 a year grant he got to go to university and study neuroscience, and not just logarithms but also the algorithms to do with predictive programming of all speech content and what's going on across the world. But his dad was also doing, using these algorithms to do with the bank interest rates. His dad was testifying at the time about the LIBOR case, because it was his particular algorithms that were used, that can tell where all the money's gone since the crash of 2008 right to the present time. Very important guy, who'd also worked with DARPA. I mean, you can't make this stuff up. This is the official stuff. And then his son ends up wiping out a cinema, a whole movie theater almost, and he went off the wall. He strung up his whole apartment with pyretics. And they said themselves, the guys who came in to deal with it, they said that a genius did this, someone who's really an expert in creating bombs and tripwires and all the rest of it. Well, this guy obviously hasn't had any courses, that we know of, in this kind of pyrotechnics. So who taught him? Or who did it? Did he even do it himself? We don't know. And I don't think this guy himself will even know, I really don't know, he'll have a blank memory of what happened.

There's a hypnotist in Britain, you'll see him in one of his shows, Derren Brown his name is, he trained an assassin in a very short time, to go out and assassinate targets, using particular simple techniques of touch, sound and cues. And the guy did it. He actually did it in a theater and they show you, the gun was handed to him, he was patted on the shoulder, a word was said, and the trigger word was said, and he got up to shoot, luckily with a blank gun, the target. You can do these things just with certain types of hypnotic suggestions in controlled conditions. So we'll never get to the bottom of this. But thanks for calling. This will be unexplained for a long, long time. Thanks for calling.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

October 23, 2025 at 9:45 am

[EDIT](#) | [MOVE](#) | [SPLIT](#) | [TRASH](#) | [SPAM](#) | [UNAPPROVE](#) | [REPLY](#) [#1630](#)

But it's a hard thing for most folk to believe, because you have a massive machinery of information set up and news-casting corporations; again, all members of the same organization, the CFR in the States for instance, and you're kept in a fake reality. You're never given any real truth on anything, even when they tell you about scientific discoveries and what they're working at, and "one day they hope to do this"; whenever they come out with this stuff it's been done at a high level long ago and it's the lower levels



Alan

Keymaster

(127.0.0.1)

that are still doing this— they're out of the loop of course, on the lower levels. So, you're really in a managed society. They know exactly where they're taking the whole world and so many straight faces come on television and all the experts tell you how to behave through it, what to expect; that's also predictive programming because you're programmed to expect the things which they tell you about so it doesn't seem so bad when it actually happens.

So, we live in a very planned society. Authors like Huxley who attended lots of global meetings in his day (his brother Julian was the head of UNESCO for the United Nations— they talked about it too) and he said that the people would come to love their servitude. That's happening today, really, because they've got so much entertainment as they're brought down into austerity that it doesn't seem so bad at all; this is a unique thing to have, all this entertainment when they're bringing you down, otherwise there'd be massive riots. Now they do expect massive riots, but not on the same context with everyone rioting: it's always the ones at the bottom who riot first, when things get cut back for welfare and different things like that. Expenses, of course, go up all the time in all classes, so they're the first ones to feel the pinch. And governments are being well-organized to get ready to deal with it all; they're always ahead. Nothing happens here by chance on a world scale or any big scale at all, it's always known long in advance because it's planned that way, just like the amalgamation of countries like the whole European Soviet Union that they've created there and the same thing with the Pacific Rim Region as well and the North American NAFTA integration plus the North American integration with all the Pacific Rim countries as well — it's all been done, folks, and they talked about this a hundred years ago, as I say, in their books.

Back with more after this....

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through the Matrix. And predictive programming, an incredible science and technique, of course, has been used for an awful long time; it's a method of getting you used to an idea, which is often repulsive at first, but gradually over time by repetition it becomes kind of fixed in your head: "well, it's a possibility." And you'll also watch programs on television that come out, on mainstream, telling you how good it's going to be and how you'll adapt to it and it won't be so bad after all. It's a very simple technique, just repetition, repetition, and when it eventually happens you'll find that you're doing something like this article I'll talk about here, like eating crickets and bugs and things. Now, a few years ago I mentioned the first article that came out by a couple of very little rich children, I'll just call them rich

kids out of New York (that's what they were), who were doing something with the United Nations, traveling the planet and having a great old time to themselves on some big grant, and coming out and talking about eating bugs— that was the first time we ever heard about it and I said there'd be a lot more down the future as they get used to this. You understand, through the free-trade organizations and all the treaties they've been signing, a lot of the food that they're growing is going to bio-fuel. And they knew this long before; they knew eventually they'd get us eating other things and putting this stuff to bio-fuel. And we're already here at this because, see, the big farms, the corporate farms, are ruling the world; five corporate farm companies run the world's food supply today and there's only a small amount of private farms left and they're going out of business, big time— that's the agenda too. And so a lot of their crops they want to go to bio-fuel and they want to export too. See, at one time your country, under different rules that you had, you had to make sure that the people at home ate enough, they had enough food at home; not now with free-trade you see. You can be starving at home and they'll dump it across the world wherever they can get the best price for it— that's all part of free trade as well. So, it says here in this BBC article...

Future foods: What will we be eating in 20 years' time?

Volatile food prices and a growing population mean we have to rethink what we eat, say food futurologists.

(Alan: They've got futurologists for everything.)

So what might we be serving up in 20 years' time?

It's not immediately obvious what links Nasa, the price of meat and brass bands, but all three are playing a part in shaping what we will eat in the future and how we will eat it.

(A: So they always present it in almost a comical way, sometimes surrealistic way as well. And then they go through the usual nonsense here, like foods we used to eat and they give you some of the delicacies the ancients ate— not the ordinary people. So they give you examples of Greece, Rome, and then Tudor times and Henry VIII's banquets. Well, the ordinary folk didn't eat like Henry VIII, obviously, so that's got nothing to do with it. And it says...)

Rising food prices, the growing population and environmental concerns are just a few issues that have organisations – including the United Nations and the government – worrying about how we will feed ourselves in the future.

(A: Now, as I've said, they've had world meetings about this, when they set up the League of Nations in fact at the end of World War I they talked about setting up agri-businesses. Remember, all resources were to be taken over by a few companies, and it's happened, you see. And now it's a way to explain to the public why they want to change your habits, your tastes, and everything else. It says...)

In the UK, meat prices are anticipated to have a huge impact on our diets. Some in the food industry estimate they could double in the next five to seven years, making meat a luxury item.

(A: Well, you'd be surprised that all the best meats have already been exported out of your countries to China, for those who don't know, you see, that was all planned too. That's why the rubbish they leave at home is so overpriced and terrible.)

"In the West many of us have grown up with cheap, abundant meat," says food futurologist Morgaine Gaye.

"Rising prices mean we are now starting to see the return of meat as a luxury.

(A: And that's exactly what they're doing and they don't notice in the States yet because they're still a lot cheaper there, they've kept it artificially low. But once they've finished their wars they're going to bang the States like everybody else; that's the only purpose the U.S. has right now, is furnishing armies for people who don't even live in that country. Anyway, it says here...)

"Rising prices mean we are now starting to see the return of meat as a luxury. As a result we are looking for new ways to fill the meat gap."

So what will fill such gaps and our stomachs...?

(A: Caterpillars, insects...They call it mini-livestock, right. Isn't that wonderful? Mini-livestock. And it says...)

It's a win-win situation. Insects provide as much nutritional value as ordinary meat and are a great source of protein, according to researchers at Wageningen University in the Netherlands.

(A: Now the Netherlands, they always pick one country to be the main experimenter in a certain area, and Holland is going into artificial meat and tank-grown meat and now they're into bugs. It says...)

They also cost less to raise than cattle, consume less water and do not have much of a carbon footprint. Plus, there are an estimated 1,400 species that are edible to man.

(A: So they want to change your whole diet and this is only one of many articles you're going to get used to as they start speeding up this whole idea. You understand, you don't come to your own conclusions—you're guided to your own conclusions by people like these and many, many, many articles and also little things on television too, as they push this stuff to get you prepared for eating all of this kind of stuff. And they always hire a few celebrities too who'll tell you they'll eat it, they've already got a few, and they don't really of course but they'll tell you they do, and you'll all follow them like robots, you see. So it says...)

Sonic-enhanced food

It's well documented how the appearance of food and its smell influence what we eat, but the effect sound has on taste is an expanding area of research.

(A: In neuroscience now where they can really screw up your brain.)

A recent study by scientists at Oxford University found certain tones could make things taste sweeter or more bitter.

"No experience is a single sense experience," says Russell Jones, from sonic branding company Condiment Junkie, who were involved in the study. "So much attention is paid to what food looks like and what it smells like, but sound is just as important."

So, they'll screw with your brain until "oh, that sounds delicious," that's what you'll say to yourself, you see, and you probably will. But they do go into some of the things, and even why they have some of the top brands of refrigerators white; it seems clean, it's all psychology you see, and that's how you're conned with everything, it's big psychology and they do a lot of experiments on the general public without them knowing and they also have those special groups they bring in too to see how their ideas take off. So get used to the idea, because this is the plan, folks, as only the rich, down the road, in all countries, will get real food. Like the rich down the road at the present time; the rich actually get "organic" everything— I mean the real stuff that's grown on islands and special places like that. The Queen has her whole herd of cattle up in the highlands of Scotland and massive farming because they still have their tenant farmers, the nobility, you see. And they don't use any pesticides at all, just lots of peasants to pick off the bugs which they'll then sell to you.

So, that's the world as we know it. Now, Colorado Shooter too, this is from a Jewish paper...

Colorado Shooter Was Camp Counselor for Jewish Big Brothers and Sisters

James Holmes, the Colorado graduate student who is suspected of killing 12 moviegoers and wounding 58 others on Friday during the premiere of "The Dark Knight Rises," worked as a camp counselor in Los Angeles County in 2008 that was run by Jewish Big Brothers and Sisters (JBBBS), the group's CEO told NBC4 on Saturday.

(A: So, he...)

...worked as cabin counselor at Camp Max Straus in the summer of 2008, according to Randy Schwab, the CEO of Jewish Big Brothers Big Sisters.

And of course they're all in shock and horror, etcetera, etcetera, all the people who knew him and they don't say much about him except he kept himself pretty well much to himself.

Now, I mentioned last week how the government...and I gave the government site where they'd put out tender for massive orders of riot gear; this is on top of all the massive orders of ammunition for an internal war, obviously, that they ordered about a month or so prior to that or two months prior to that. So, it says here in this article...

It's not just Homeland Security: US Army orders riot gear too

It's more than just stockpiling surveillance drones to spy on US citizens: the United States Army is attempting to procure an arsenal of riot gear in case the military must go toe-to-toe with civilians on US soil.

A solicitation for weapons

(A: They've got a link for it too, from the government...)

posted on the official government website for federal business opportunities reveals that the US Army has been in the market for nonlethal equipment that it very well might be used in the United States. In a Web posting made earlier this summer, the Army asked for bids regarding its request for riot shields, face masks, polycarbonate batons and body armor. On July 10, they awarded the contract to A2Z Supply Corp of Stevensville, Montana, who pledged to fulfill their request at the tune of \$6,589.98.

(A: But that's a small one of many orders that they've put out.)

The latest inquiry from the US Army was filed only a few weeks before another call for bids was published by the Department of Homeland Security. On July 26, the DHS Office of Procurement Operations also wrote on FBO.gov that they were soliciting contractors to help equip them with riot helmets, tactic gloves, shin guards, body armor and other equipment comparable that could be used in tandem with a complex "riot control system." According to the 2001 Executive Order that established the DHS signed by then-President George W Bush, the agency "will coordinate the executive branch's efforts to detect, prepare for, prevent, protect against, respond to and recover from terrorist attacks within the United States." With an agency assigned only domestic duties asking for thousands of dollars' worth of riot gear — and an army with more than one million soldiers seeking body armor [armor], not assault rifles — many are suggesting that the solicitation requests are readying the government for a full blown war with its own people on US soil.

Both requests were published within days of a recent Capitol Hill testimony delivered from Homeland Security Secretary Janet

(A: Napoleon; I always call her Napoleon....)

that included excerpts confirming that the federal government is considering deploying surveillance drones over California as means of proactively patrolling American cities under the guise of "public safety."

So, we'll see more and more of this. Most folk won't care, they live in a dreamland anyway because there's so much fun-fun-fun on television for them, if you're very immature, and lots of cheap entertainment for them.

Huffington Post Urges America Pay a Carbon Tax to Fight Climate Change

Of course they're as far left as you can get it; they're all old Trotskyites. And it's nothing to do with Carbon Tax; they've already admitted it's to save the poor across the world with all that cash.

Back with more after this...

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through the Matrix. Now, everyone knew this years ago, that eventually the internet would be totally regulated, because the big boys like Brzezinski that talked about this back in the 70's said we'd be given a system of communication unlike any seen before, and the public would think it was all for their fun and for their use, but eventually it would all be taken over by the authorities themselves and used on the people, like a tool or a weapon; it already has been. But...

NSA Boss Wants More Control Over the 'Net

(A: It says...)

The Internet should be adapted to allow for oversight by the National Security Agency, the organization's boss says.

The U.S. Internet's infrastructure needs to be redesigned to allow the NSA to know instantly when overseas hackers might be attacking public or private infrastructure and computer networks, the agency's leader, General Keith Alexander, said today.

Alexander spoke at the annual Def Con computer hacking conference in Las Vegas. It was a symbolic appearance that he said was motivated by a need to interest the hacker community in helping to make the Internet more secure.

(A: Does this make sense to you?)

Alexander, who is also commander of the U.S. Cyber Command, described the Internet as "at great risk from exploitation, disruption, and destruction."

In recent years, many Internet users have become familiar with the idea that websites can be knocked offline by denial of service attacks, such as those employed by online activist groups such as

Anonymous. "My concern is that it's going to flow into destructive attacks that could have consequences for our critical national infrastructure and the Internet itself," said Alexander.

(A: Now so far, that we know, the main cyber-attacks that have been done were done by the U.S. and Britain against Iran. Anyway, it says...)

The decentralized nature of the Internet, and the fact that the global network is built from a thicket of independent public and private networks, is limiting efforts to protect against such attacks, said Alexander, because it doesn't allow the NSA or law enforcement to easily track Internet activity.

(A: Really? With all the laws they've passed?)

"We do not sit around our country and look in; we have no idea if Wall Street is about to be attacked," said Alexander.

(A: It's mainly when Wall Street is going to attack all of us.)

The NSA is already running a trial with 17 U.S. defense companies intended to demonstrate technology that could be deployed to change that. Under the Defense Industrial Base (DIB) Cyber Pilot, Lockheed Martin

(A: Who else? Lockheed Martin is one of the biggest in the industrial complexes for military. They even run the British weapons systems for missiles and everything—privatizing war, you see.)

and other companies set up their computer security systems to automatically alert the agency when the alarm is tripped. They automatically pass a summary of what was detected and the IP address associated with the event to the NSA over the Internet. "All you need to pass is the fact of a signature and IP address in real time, and we can take it from there," said Alexander.

(A: He...)

...suggested that the NSA should be given a wider checkpoint role across the Internet to protect core infrastructure and all vital systems connected to it, drawing an analogy with an automatic road toll system.

(A: Now, do you really think they don't have all of that already? You know, the NSA is like a black-op because they don't tell us what they're up to. You understand? They don't tell us what they're up to. So, he says...)

"What we need for cyber security is something analogous to that," he said. "Think of us as the EZ Pass on the highway."

(A: He...)

...dismissed possible concerns about giving the NSA too much oversight into how the Internet is being used. "When you go down the highway, and you go down the EZ Pass lane, what you're doing is sending that code. That system is not looking in your car, reading the e-mail, or intercepting anything, it's just getting that code."

(A: Well, they've already admitted they're already reading our emails and etcetera, etcetera. He...)

...suggested rolling back the decentralization of computer networks by saying that "thin client" computing should be considered by large organizations. Long out of fashion, the thin client approach gives individual users relatively simple computers that access computing resources that are controlled centrally. That could help large organizations such as the U.S. Department of Defense, which currently has some 15,000 separately configured and operated sections of its network, said Alexander, offering too many potential areas of attack.

So they want to centralize everything. They've been doing it for years, mind you. You always can tell when they're putting more and more of the stuff through central routers, more IP servers and all the rest of it, because you have slowdowns and even your telephone goes weird for about a week or two and then it goes back up to normal when they're routing it through central NSA routers. So, this is standard hoopla that he's going through; it's just an idea to get you used to the fact that you're going to have less rights and less rights and more intrusion—that's all it is.

And, we always talk too about...I mean, we used to get brought up in school to look at the guys with the suits and ties, the very expensive ones, you know, that they'd bring in once in a while, like politicians, and you were trained to believe that there was an honest businessman out there, an honest politician— at least you were in Britain; that's how you're indoctrinated, with nonsense. And for most folk it stays for life; that's why they always have a guy with a suit on and tie telling you the news and you'd get raised with

some of these guys that would stay on for years until they were falling off the chairs, because that was the idea—be a father figure and he'd look you right in the eye on television every night at 6pm and he couldn't lie to you; that's why they paid them so much money to stay. But of course there's more crooks amongst bankers than anywhere else in the world because no one really looks at what they're up to and their whole idea is to maximize their profit on everything. Here's a guy in Britain who is a...

£500-a-day banker admits benefit fraud

(A: He was also scamming the public for unemployment money. It says...)

A banking troubleshooter has been prosecuted for fraudulently receiving benefits while being paid £500 a day in the City.

(A: That's the City of London. It says...)

Adam Lancelot, 35,

(A: Obviously he adopted that name or his family did.)

spent his earnings on a Mercedes convertible, a kitchen refurbishment, foreign holidays and restaurant meals.

But between spells working for major financial institutions such as Barclays Wealth he was also claiming council tax benefit.

However he also fraudulently received hundreds of pounds over a period when he had failed to tell the council that he was in employment.

Back with more after this. This guy is going to go far...

Hi folks; talking about one particular banker, one of many of course because this is their nature in banking. You've got to be a winner – a winner – it doesn't matter how you win, just win. Talking about Adam Lancelot who literally was earning £500 a day working for banks, all contract works of course, for big banks like Barclays, and at the same time he was claiming unemployment money from the state and he was also claiming council tax benefits for being unemployed. It says...

However he also fraudulently received hundreds of pounds over a period when he had failed to tell the council that he was in employment.

Lancelot, of Edenbridge, Kent, admitted four charges in relation to the benefit fraud at Sevenoaks Magistrates' Court earlier this week.

The court heard that he was a “troubleshooter” for banks, but that he had been unable to find work since August last year.

(A: That’s like continuous work.)

His solicitor

(A: Which is his lawyer...)

Brian Ferris said he had “put his head in the sand” about the benefits he was receiving and that although he earned “a lot of money while working” he had endured long periods of unemployment due to the financial crisis.

(A: So, that’s the sob piece that they put in for the guys with cash.)

Mr Ferris said: “He stuck his head in the sand and thought he could sort it out later – but it caught up with him. The banking industry has taken a huge knock and job losses have been in the tens of thousands.”

(A: Well, he was working.)

Mr Lancelot claimed that he told the benefit team at Sevenoaks District Council that he was in work at the relevant times, but the council said it had “no record” of it.

He wasn't declaring it, so that's what happens. He'll go far though; a guy like that will go far.

Now, austerity at the Olympics.... Because at one time I think they had real gold medals and they used to tell you how much gold was in each medal, but it's a private corporation, remember, and again they're cost-cutting too for bigger profits for themselves at the top. It says...

Austerity At The Olympics: Each “Gold” Medal Contains 1.34% Gold

(A: I guess it's plated, maybe.)

As every Olympic athlete knows, size matters. The London 2012 medals are the largest ever in terms of both weight and diameter – almost double the medals from Beijing. However, just as equally well-known is that quality beats quantity and that is where the current global austerity, coin-clipping, devaluation-fest begins. The 2012 gold is 92.5 percent silver, 6.16 copper and... 1.34 percent gold,

(A: It's a very banking thing, isn't it? They make it twice the size and they only put that little bit of gold in it too, and most of it will be copper. So...)

with IOC rules specifying that it must contain 550 grams of high-quality silver and a whopping 6 grams of gold. The resulting medallion is worth about \$500. For the silver medal, the gold is replaced with more copper, for a \$260 bill of materials. The bronze medal is 97 percent copper, 2.5 percent zinc and 0.5 percent tin. Valued at about \$3, you might be able to trade one for a bag of chips in Olympic park if you skip the fish.

So, everybody is maximizing the profit in this rush for greed. You understand, this is the time when everyone is rushing to get as much money as they can get before the collapse, thinking that if they can just get enough over a certain limit you're in amongst the winners, as everybody else loses— that's across the board, everywhere; that's what they're doing.

And, everyone's talked about fluoride for an awful long time, and the governments are quite happy to keep dumping it in your water supply, and it does have many different side-affects including brittle bones and teeth and it also brings down your IQ, which they've known for about a hundred years. Countries like Sweden don't use (even though they're the most socialist countries) fluoride at all; I don't think they ever did. And they've got better teeth and better bone structure than people in the West. Anyway, it says...

Major Harvard Study Published in Federal Govt Journal Confirms Fluoride Lowers IQ

(A: So it's a Harvard study; maybe they'll start listening to it now. And I'll put this up tonight. It says...)

If the scientific link between fluoride exposure and a noted decreased in IQ is a conspiracy theory, then perhaps the Harvard researchers who just confirmed such a link should be tarred and feathered by the

'evidence-based' medical media.

In a telling review of a variety of studies that have demonstrated just how significantly fluoride can damage the brain and subsequently your IQ, Harvard University scientists stated "our results support the possibility of adverse effects of fluoride exposures on children's neurodevelopment."

(A: See, they want to dumb you down early.)

The most outstanding component to the study is where it was published. Authors published their conclusion online in the July 20th edition of the prominent journal Environmental Health Perspectives, a federal government medical journal stemming from the United States National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

The very same government that has continually asserted that water fluoridation is both perfectly safe and 'effective' at aiding the health of citizens who consume it on a daily basis.

In the past, the US government has actually been forced to call for lower fluoridation levels as previous research had also drawn a link between fluoride exposure and a host of neurotoxic effects.

In the latest research by Harvard, it is made even more explicitly clear just how toxic fluoride can be to the body. In a written statement, researchers state:

“The children in high fluoride areas had significantly lower IQ than those who lived in low fluoride areas.”

(A: Well, it won't stop though, you know, because they want a passive population. Governments have always wanted a passive dumbed-down population— read your histories. So...)

Fluoride Lowers IQ, Sparks Tumor Growth

This should come as no surprise to those who have followed fluoride research over the past several years. As far back as 1977, for instance, epidemiological studies performed by the head of the Cytochemistry Section at the National Cancer Institute Dr. Dean Burk revealed that fluoride exposure led to increased tumor growth – even at levels as low as 1 ppm (the standard for United States drinking water). Beyond revealing an accelerated tumor growth rate of 25% in his research, fluoride was found to produce melanotic tumors, transform normal cells into cancer cells and increases the carcinogenesis of other chemicals.

In 1977, Dr. Burk estimated that fluoridation has actually caused about 10,000 deaths according to his research.

It will come as no surprise, then, that even the EPA — an agency charged with protecting the people — has classified fluoride as a substance with “with substantial evidence of developmental neurotoxicity.” Perhaps the EPA is also run by blubbering conspiracy theorists.

In another entry by study authors, it is explained how fluoride actually attacks the brain in unborn children and essentially launches a direct assault on their neurological development:

“Fluoride readily crosses the placenta. Fluoride exposure to the developing brain, which is much more susceptible to injury caused by toxicants than is the mature brain, may possibly lead to damage of a permanent nature.”

But will the United States government answer to the study? As mentioned, many studies have exposed the same correlation of IQ-crushing fluoride intake. Paul Connett, Ph.D. and director of the Fluoride Action Network was one of the many activists to speak out regarding the last study to highlight the association.

(A: So, there's been...)

...23 former studies

(A: That's major ones...)

on the matter, and Connett felt that this 24th study was by far the strongest. And what was done? Unfortunately, it was brushed under the rug by mainstream health organizations who continue to assert that fluoride is perfectly safe. Some even recommend supplementing with fluoride pills.

Well, you understand, the FDA is a con system; it's not there to protect you at all— it never was. It was guys like Bernays who set up these specialist institutions to make the public think that “oh, if they're specialist institutions then it must be for our health and better for us.” It was to the contrary. Remember, Bernays worked for big international corporations, big pharma, etcetera, and the idea was that he'd hire two or three top characters, or at least have their names on their door and their office, although they'd never have to turn up at them, just having their names on them was enough, and people thought “by God, these are really government-run institutions that are awfully, awfully good.” It never occurred to them that it was just all to do with selling their poisons. Fluoride, remember, is really one of the stuff that's scraped off the inside of the big towers that they burn off heavy metals with, especially aluminum. They scrape it off. At one time they had to pay to get it dumped because it was so toxic and somebody came up with the bright idea of putting it in your toothpaste and making you buy it; that's what they generally do. These are the kind of peoples and psychopaths that run the world, you understand. And they're out there, there's lots of them, and they recognize each other and they form clubs.

Now, this article here says...

With friends like these ...

Facebook has 59 million users – and 2 million new ones join each week. But you won't catch Tom Hodgkinson volunteering his personal information – not now that he knows the politics of the people behind the social networking site.

(A: It says...)

The following correction was printed in the Guardian's Corrections and clarifications column, Wednesday January 16 2008

The US intelligence community's enthusiasm for hi-tech innovation after 9/11 and the creation of In-Q-Tel, its venture capital fund, in 1999 were anachronistically linked in the article below. Since 9/11 happened in 2001 it could not have led to the setting up of In-Q-Tel two years earlier.

(A: It says...)

The Independent Guide to Facebook

I despise Facebook. This enormously successful American business describes itself as "a social utility that connects you with the people around you". But hang on. Why on God's earth would I need a computer to connect with the people around me? Why should my relationships be mediated through the imagination of a bunch of supergeeks in California? What was wrong with the pub?

And does Facebook really connect people? Doesn't it rather disconnect us, since instead of doing something enjoyable such as talking and eating and dancing and drinking with my friends, I am merely sending them little ungrammatical notes and amusing photos in cyberspace, while chained to my desk? A friend of mine recently told me that he had spent a Saturday night at home alone on Facebook, drinking at his desk. What a gloomy image. Far from connecting us, Facebook actually isolates us at our workstations.

Facebook appeals to a kind of vanity and self-importance in us, too. If I put up a flattering picture of myself with a list of my favourite things, I can construct an artificial representation of who I am in order to get sex or approval. ("I like Facebook," said another friend. "I got a shag out of it.")

(A: A shag is a term they use in Britain for something usually said in Hollywood movies in America.)

It also encourages a disturbing competitiveness around friendship: it seems that with friends today, quality counts for nothing and quantity is king. The more friends you have, the better you are. You are “popular”, in the sense much loved in American high schools. Witness the cover line on Dennis Publishing’s new Facebook magazine: “How To Double Your Friends List.”

It seems, though, that I am very much alone in my hostility. At the time of writing Facebook claims 59 million active users, including 7 million in the UK, Facebook’s third-biggest customer after the US and Canada. That’s 59 million suckers, all of whom have volunteered their ID card information and consumer preferences to an American business they know nothing about. Right now, 2 million new people join each week. At the present rate of growth, Facebook will have more than 200 million active users by this time next year. And I would predict that, if anything, its rate of growth will accelerate over the coming months. As its spokesman Chris Hughes says: “It’s embedded itself to an extent where it’s hard to get rid of.”

All of the above would have been enough to make me reject Facebook for ever. But there are more reasons to hate it. Many more.

(A: It says...)

Facebook is a well-funded project, and the people behind the funding, a group of Silicon Valley venture capitalists, have a clearly thought out ideology that they are hoping to spread around the world. Facebook is one manifestation of this ideology. Like PayPal before it, it is a social experiment, an expression of a particular kind of neoconservative libertarianism. On Facebook, you can be free to be

who you want to be, as long as you don't mind being bombarded by adverts for the world's biggest brands. As with PayPal, national boundaries are a thing of the past.

Although the project was initially conceived by media cover star Mark Zuckerberg,

(A: I don't think it was, actually.)

the real face behind Facebook is the 40-year-old Silicon Valley venture capitalist and futurist philosopher Peter Thiel. There are only three board members on Facebook, and they are Thiel, Zuckerberg and a third investor called Jim Breyer from a venture capital firm called Accel Partners...

Thiel invested \$500,000 in Facebook when Harvard students Zuckerberg, Chris Hughes and Dustin Moskowitz went to meet him in San Francisco in June 2004, soon after they had launched the site. Thiel now reportedly owns 7% of Facebook, which, at Facebook's current valuation of \$15bn, would be worth more than \$1bn. There is much debate on who exactly were the original co-founders of Facebook, but whoever they were, Zuckerberg is the only one left on the board, although Hughes and Moskowitz still work for the company.

But it goes into all the other scams that they do and the incredible money that they're raking in, but of course too they're also working with the NSA and they also give all of your data out to many government funded grants to universities and they use all your data to find out why you like these kind of people, what do you have in common; and it's all to do with control purposes down the road. It's all to do with neuroscience again and behaviorism. Behaviorists are really involved in these kind of things. That's what it's for. But definitely, government wants to know all about you because in a totalitarian regime, you see, they have to have all information about you because you must be predictable. You understand, you've got to be very predictable in a totalitarian system.

Another technique they're using now... Everything now is neuroscience and psychology. This is quite something...

Good drivers should be rewarded

Wouldn't it be great if you were rewarded for being a good driver?

(A: This is for the simpletons out there; there's lots of them, mind you. And it says...)

Well, now you could be...

(A: You could be rewarded for being a good driver. And...)

How does it work? It's called Telematics –

(A: I'll put two articles up tonight on this...)

also known as black box or smart box insurance. By having a clever telematics box installed in your car, your insurer can see that you're a safe driver and could offer you cheaper premiums.

(A: It says it "could", it doesn't say it "will." See, it's all this terminology that they use.)

You'll need to start by taking out a telematics car insurance policy. Use our simple online form to find the cheapest car insurance quotes. Look for insurers who offer telematics policies in your results. Choose a telematics policy and you're on your way!

It's a black box, for goodness sakes! It's taking all your data of your driving habits every single day: where you're going, how long it took you to get there, how many times you've braked and everything else; but it will also, I guarantee you, I'll guarantee you this company will also be linked with satellite computer systems for the government as well—I guarantee you. See, you always pay for your chains. And you've got lots of kinds of chains, lots of them.

This little joke here is quite good...

Green mayor

(A: This is a "green" mayor in England— is...)

forced to pay £70 for taxi after electric car runs out of power

The country's [Britain's] first Green mayor was forced to pay £70 for a taxi after his brand new council-leased electric car ran out of power during an engagement.

The eco-friendly Renault Fluence ZE is leased to Brighton and Hove City Council but left mayor Bill Randall stranded after a visit to Chichester, Sussex.

The incident meant the mayor had to spend about £70

(A: But don't worry; it's taxpayers' money...)

on a taxi to get him to another engagement on time.

...The car will not be on general sale until autumn of this year, but one was leant by Renault to the council on a temporary basis.

(A: To advertise themselves, you see. It says...)

Despite the embarrassing mishap the council have said there is no decision on whether the car will be kept past its initial six month loan.

(A: Well, you've got to have recharging stations all over the place.)

After his appointment in May Coun Randall said: 'This is great news, not just for the environment but for the taxpayer too as the electric car won't cost them a penny.

(A: What a joke. What a joke. Who do you think is financing it all?)

'I think it sends out a good message and I'm sure Renault only did it because we are a Green Council.'

(A: "Green Council," really?)

The council is covering running costs for the electric replacement during the loan period and is considering buying its own electric car.

When it goes flat, that's you stuck, that's it; big towing fees, all that kind of stuff. What a joke. And, how do you make electricity? Isn't that polluting too?

Back with more after this...

Hi folks, we're back; and there's a caller, Jeremiah, from Philadelphia. Are you there Jerry?

Jeremiah: Hi Alan. Yeah, the first thing I want to touch on is that you've been such an influence on me, and so is another man by such a similar name, Alan Watts. You're probably the two most influential people to me in my journey for truth here, so I think it's very interesting. Maybe at another time you could touch on if you've ever heard of him. The second thing is that you mentioned the NSA. And if you type in "illuminati" backwards it brings you right to the NSA's website, but, really, that's like a whole other red herring that we see out here, it traps you in that box, you know, the whole search for this illuminati. Obviously you know what some of the truths are with it. I mean, I guess it's not so obvious, but with the illuminati it's one of those things where you say "it's really catchy." It gets everyone going, but it's not really a... there's no material facts to it at all. So, another thing that I really want to touch on was something called a "dualistic paradigm." You've talked so much about the different elements of like how things can be taken two different ways; like the truth is so razor thin and everything is so razor thin, and then on the other side, you know, it's falsehood, but that's how they get you trapped in so many boxes in your attempt to, what we would call, "wake up." But along the way it's just like one trap door after the other. And I just feel like ultimate truth, everything, is so razor thin because along the way even your friends and your family, everyone, is going to be trying to trap you in this box.

Alan: It's also a product... If you go into Plato, Plato talked about that in the Republic, and how they would bring a new society in because, really, they all came to the conclusion in that particular book that mankind can only do what he can get away with and most folk will get away with whatever they can, and therefore the elite themselves, who were even craftier, should rule the world using a kind of moral relativity (which is taught now in schools), that nothing is really "right", nothing is really "wrong", and depending on how you word things most folk will always jump at whatever you give to them without realizing there's a whole bunch of negativities already created within the question itself. So, you're quite right. It's a legalism form of you giving your Rights up thinking you're going to get more. In fact, all things

are written that way: you're going to get an awful lot out of this, all you have to do is give a little Rights up but you're going to really enjoy the creation of whatever it happens to be. That's how it works.

Jeremiah: Yeah, it's a total war on the mind and a total war on the body, I mean there's so much disinformation out there on the mind and particularly on the body too. I mean, I'm somebody who is really, you know, done my best in the health routine but there's so many traps out there; like you've talked about vegetarianism and the whole thing... Personally, I'm a vegan, but like, you know, aside from all that, there's so many traps you can get involved in along that way but I'm just trying to do everything I can to have the most energy and to really do the best I can to help resist this so-called new world order. But, I mean, a lot of times it really does look so grim but the thing that I keep in mind is that this truly is the garden of Eden and I feel like, you know, it is eternity and we all are in this great opportunity but you don't want to be too positive because that's a whole other trap where you're not being realistic at all.

Alan: That's right

Jeremiah: It's all such a fine balance.

Alan: Remember, all heavens can be a hell too; it depends who's ruling the world, and that's part of it as well. So, I mean, if the kingdom of heaven is truly within you then so is the ability to create a world of hell as well and there are those who are certainly doing it today, there's no doubt about it— with a long-term plan to poison us, kill us off and get their wonderful utopia after 2050 or so. Thanks for calling.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario Canada, it's goodnight and may your God, or your Gods, go with you.



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

And if you don't understand history, you won't understand what's happening. That's very, very simple because history teaches you the cons of managing vast populations. There's nothing today that's happening as far as the cons are...cons are simply formulas; Plato talked about them. He said that formulas which have worked in the past – this is thousands of years ago he said this – and made people change their behavior, and influenced their behavior, will always work again if you use them in the right sequence of implementation. So that's why you get the same cons over and over again and the public don't even know it's happening to them. That's the beauty of it. Today, it's even more perfected because you are living through an age of instant communication and really universal, standardized information where everyone is getting the same data all the time, at the same time, and again, it's put out by the guys at the top. Remember the Council on Foreign Relations is worldwide and they have their different names for different countries, but at the head you have the Royal Institute of International Affairs based in Britain. They have their specialized branches like the Royal Society etc. to guide all the scientists along the right paths and they have many other specialized areas too and think tanks, The Club of Rome, for instance, and the United Nations which they set up as well. They own the media, the mainstream media. If you look into their own web sites, and I've put their links up many times; you'll find that the articles they put up are copied immediately by their own members in all national papers and international papers.

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through The Matrix and I've warned folk so many times about Facebook and so on; it doesn't matter though, because you can't help the general herd and that's a fact. Most folk belong to the herd and they want to belong to the herd. It's up to each individual to become an individual and kind of leave the herd and stop going along with the flow. If you do you go to your own destruction eventually in many, many ways; many ways to go to destruction. And Facebook, of course, is put up there, and all these things belong to the NSA, etc, etc.

Anyway, here's another, another group that's getting out of Facebook and it's actually for musicians, it's called, Limited Run. It's a platform for labels, musicians and artists and they go through quite a good little article here. I'll put this up tonight, the link for you at cuttingthroughthematrix.com and you can read it for yourselves. They go through the cons of Facebook and they're charging etc. even if you want to change your name, like these guys did because they used to go under a different name. They'd have to pay a couple of thousand dollars more in advertising costs to get it done and things like that; it's big, big

money of course. But they're also using a lot of cons to do with the numbers and the stats of the hits they give on the sites and they charge you for these hits if you're advertising with them. So they go through all of this too and show you how the cons work.

And people are always on and on and on about first amendment rights in the US and second amendment rights and so on and it's quite evident, it's been evident for an awful long time, an awful, awful long time, even if you go back into the seventies, I think it was, when the Council on Foreign Relations, they put out their own magazine, Foreign Affairs, it was called, and all the bigwigs read Foreign Affairs across the world, but it said that they weren't going to hit the constitution and the bill of rights head-on like they'd always done in the past. They'd simply build laws around them, like superstructures, over and under. And that's what they did a long time ago.

So here's a high-ranking Department of Justice official on video who refuses to affirm first amendment rights and you can actually see this happening in front of other people etc., during an inquiry I think it was. So I'll put that up tonight too. And you can see how they treat your rights. Because they, remember, they're living up in an ivory tower, these guys at the top. They were brought up in a different class altogether of schooling and everything else and family too, for that matter, and a completely different view of the world and you down below and we're in an authoritarian society. These guys have been brought up to be the authoritarians and they're getting very blatant about it, in your face about it, that government is not there to serve the people. And that's very, very true across the world today. They've trained the public in every country that you are subjects now. You're all subjects to authority because you're in an authoritarian system according to the Club of Rome, which the CFR set up as the think tank for the United Nations and they go through the whole future for you, for those who want to go into it.

Another thing too, as we go down the road into the total destruction of the family and plus contraception was a big thing to do with this, I've got books going back into the seventies and sixties where they talked about this would just be the start, all put out by the big left-wingers, this would just be the start and they said that eventually sex itself would become just a recreational thing and promiscuity would become the norm and eventually they'd go into child pornography too and do away with pedophilias terms or terminology and all that would become normal as well. They're still working on that latter part, but they're not far from it mind you. But also they said that it would, a lot of people, they were talking about the average pedophile, would suffer until they eventually changed all the laws, which they said would come, to allow all this to happen. And here's an article here.

"Fifty police officers arrested in child porn raids".

Alan: Well I guess these are poor souls, you see, that are just at the tail end before they change the laws and make it all legal. Anyway, it says:

"Fifty police officers across the UK have been arrested as part of a crackdown on suspected paedophiles who pay to access child pornography websites..."

The officers were among 1,300 people arrested on suspicion of accessing or downloading indecent images of children – some as young as five – from US-based Internet sites.

Thirty-five men were arrested in London this morning as part of the investigation – codenamed Operation Ore – following raids on 45 addresses across the capital.

Of the 50 policemen identified, eight have been charged to date and the remainder bailed pending further inquiries. Scotland Yard said none of those arrested today was a policeman.

At a press conference at Scotland Yard today, Jim Gamble, assistant chief constable of the National Crime Squad, said he was not surprised at the number of police officers among the suspects.

"As police officers, we should expect to be held accountable," he said."

Alan: Now, these guys, they're walking the streets now searching everybody including children you understand. This is the time of pedophilia and as they did initially when they brought out promiscuity and then the next thing they brought out was actually the lesbians who led the homosexuals into their freedoms, because I can remember it all happening. I couldn't figure out why lesbians would be down in London with all the placards standing up for male homosexual rights, but they were the ones who brought it in for them. But they said they'd use the same techniques eventually for pedophilia as well and the exact same techniques would work, since it worked with all the other ones before it. So as I say, these are probably the last articles you'll read on this as they get into what they call intergenerational sex, which is just pedophilia, but it sounds better you see. It's across the whole of society as far as we can tell; it's everywhere and it's going to get worse and worse as we go into utter chaos and destruction at the bottom because that's the plan, of course.

Now, right to privacy, here's another one too, on the constitution, I'll put a link up and you'll hear Scalia saying the right to privacy is not in the constitution, for those who still think that you are going by some kind of old laws. No you're not, you're going by brand new laws. And they said again that the internet would change all of that too. Now they're using terrorism and they say that you can't have privacy because we've got to find the terrorists and anyone can be one, and you might become one without even knowing, and they'll find out before you do, you see, by the way that your mind is going and the clicks that you do and what sites you're visiting. Yeah, I'm not kidding. So we're pretty well all there into the totalitarian society that they want. All they have to do now is bring us into utter austerity. And you will

have riots that'll break out, of course, with those at the bottom because they're the ones that suffer first of all and the governments are all ready for that. They've been ready for years for what's to come.

Fracking...fracking, of course, has got a lot of people upset because apart from busting up all the countryside and putting big tanks up everywhere they also use a lot of chemicals and I've mentioned before and I'll put it up again tonight, the list of the chemicals they pump in to cause the fracking. They put it under high pressure, hydraulic pressure, and you're scared of course that it's going to get into your water supply and so on. But now they're coming out apparently with a new fracking method, they're saying.

"Following a stream of dire headlines about plummeting natural gas prices, explorers and producers are finally beginning to shift their attention to advancements on the production side – more specifically, to a new natural gas extraction method. The new technology pumps a thick gel made from propane into the ground instead of using traditional methods of hydraulic fracking that make use of a mixture of water, sand, and chemicals."

Alan: I'll put the list of chemicals up because a lot of them are awfully deadly indeed, very deadly. It says:

"A planned shale gas drilling project in New York state has drawn global attention for its aim to make use of a waterless form of hydraulic fracking – a new technique designed to reduce the pollution associated with controversial natural gas drilling processes. According to an industry report, the project is focused on using a technology that pumps a thick gel made from propane into the ground as opposed to using traditional methods of hydraulic fracking that make use of a mixture of water, sand, and chemicals to extract natural gas reserves from deep shale formations. Unlike traditional technologies, the gel from the new liquefied propane gas (LPG) fracking method reverts to vapor while still underground, and as a result returns to the surface in a recoverable form. According to its developer, Calgary-based GASFRAC Energy Services, the gel also holds advantages over water-based methods in that it does not carry the chemicals used during the drilling process back to the surface."

Alan: So we'll see. There's always horrors comes out of every story afterwards and you find more and more about them, so we'll see if this is better or if more horrors come out of this one. But they're definitely doing an awful lot of fracking across so many countries, especially in the States right now. It's really something else.

Now, unemployment is part of austerity, for those that don't understand that or think about it or know it. Remember too they understood, the big boys at the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the Council on Foreign Relations, the guys that drafted up and worked on uniting Europe for instance, the guys that

drafted up the charter for the unification of the Americas and they came out on Canadian television at the time and told us, yeah, they were behind it, from their own actual site, on television. Anyway, they also, according to economics, Margaret Thatcher also talked about this too, that they could never have full employment because it was bad for the economy you see. In other words, if you had full employment, then labor costs would be higher because you'd have to lure them to your particular place of work, if they were already working, with higher wages. So they always keep a mass of people unemployed and this is the normal in economics, that's what's taught at university. Anyway, apart from that, it's to go down and down and down into a new kind of semi-welfare-state system, which they've already got in a lot of countries like Britain and that's to become the norm across the world. They only want a small group of people working eventually and that'll be when the riots all break out of course, when they get to a certain...they'll even know the limit, when you get to a certain number, when the riots break out and people just don't have jobs. But this says here:

"Eurozone unemployment at record high in June"

"The number of people unemployed across the 17 countries that use the euro"

Alan: That's their cash system.

"hit a record high in June, official figures showed Tuesday, in a stark reminder that Europe's debt crisis has ramifications beyond the financial markets.

Eurostat, the EU's statistics office, said 17.801 million people were out of work in the eurozone in June. That was 123,000 more than May, and is the highest level since the euro was formed in 1999. The increase was the 14th in a row and means that around 2.25 million people have lost their jobs since April 2011.

Despite the increase, the seasonally adjusted unemployment rate in June was unchanged at a record 11.2 percent. Without Germany's relatively-low unemployment rate of 5.4 percent, the wider figures would be much worse."

Alan: So they're plummeting too as everything now is imported from China, the same as everywhere else, and there's very little manufacturing. Back with more, after this break.

{Break 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks, we're back, Cutting Through The Matrix and everything really around you is a con today because there are big plans afoot you see that must be fulfilled and part of it is to make you give up all your rights to save the world from a thousand different things, everything except the proper thing, the politicians themselves and governments. And one of the big tactics, as you've all known for a long time, is the Global Warming, and how they conned everyone by blatant lying and getting special computers that always give you scary scenarios. That's all they churn out. They're specially made to give you scary scenarios. They should use them in Hollywood for some of their horror movies because they're pretty bad these days; but it says:

"Have a look at this chart. It tells you pretty much all you need to know about the much-anticipated scoop by Anthony Watts of Watts Up With That?"

Alan: this is James Delingpole from the Telegraph talking.

"What it means, in a nutshell, is that the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) – the US government body in charge of America's temperature record, has systematically exaggerated the extent of late 20th century global warming. In fact, it has doubled it.

Is this a case of deliberate fraud by Warmist scientists hell bent on keeping their funding gravy train rolling? Well, after what we saw in Climategate anything is possible. (I mean it's not like NOAA is run by hard-left eco activists, is it?)"

Alan: Of course he gives you a link to prove that they are.

"But I think more likely it is a case of confirmation bias. The Warmists who comprise the climate scientist establishment spend so much time communicating with other warmists and so little time paying attention to the views of dissenting scientists such as Henrik Svensmark – or Fred Singer or Richard Lindzen or indeed Anthony Watts – that it simply hasn't occurred to them that their temperature records need adjusting downwards not upwards.

What Watts has conclusively demonstrated is that most of the weather stations in the US are so poorly sited that their temperature data is unreliable. Around 90 per cent have had their temperature readings skewed by the Urban Heat Island effect. While he has suspected this for some time what he has been unable to do until his latest, landmark paper (co-authored with Evan Jones of New York, Stephen McIntyre of Toronto, Canada, and Dr. John R. Christy from the Department of Atmospheric Science, University of Alabama, Huntsville) is to put precise figures on the degree of distortion involved"

Alan: What they're talking about is that, you see, they put all these thermometers in little boxes up and then they built cities around them. Well concrete reflects all the heat and makes it stifling. Everybody who knows in a city knows this and so it gives you false readings, and I mentioned that back in '98. Anyway, it says:

"There is, of course, one very, very sad aspect to this story – and truly it pains me to mention it but journalistic duty compels me to do so – and that's the dampening effect it may have on the grandstanding of a hapless fellow by the name of Professor Richard Muller.

Poor Professor Muller has been telling anyone who'll listen – his amen corner in greeny-lefty MSM, mainly – that as a former "skeptic" he has now been forced by weight of evidence to conclude that global warming is definitely man-made and there has been lots of it (a whole 1.5 degrees C – Wow! that's like almost as much as you'd get if you drove from London to Manchester!!!) since 1750. Tragically – as Watts has very reluctantly and by-no-means-experiencing-any-kind-of-Schadenfreude had to point out is that the data used by Muller to draw these conclusions was unreliable to the point of utter uselessness.

So, in the spirit of magnanimity in total crushing victory I would urge readers of this blog not to crow too much about the devastating blow Watts's findings will have on the Guardian's battalion of environment correspondents, on the New York Times, on NOAA, on Al Gore, on the Prince of Wales, on the Royal Society, on Professor Muller, or on any of the other rent-seekers, grant-grubbers, eco-loons, crony capitalists, junk scientists, UN apparatchiks, EU technocrats, hideous porcine blobsters, demented squawking parrots, life-free loser trolls, paid CACC-amites and True Believers in the Great Global Warming Religion."

Alan: Remember too, this religion that they've created, it's all from the far left. They came up a long time ago when they came out of eastern Germany, the Wall went down and lots of the communists moved into Europe, big time, and into the universities too because they had a lot of friends to get them jobs and then start indoctrinating the children over in the West and this is the next part of communism, it's a way to get in the same agenda. We've had people here in Canada in politics who've said that, it doesn't matter if it's all bunkum science, if it's all lies and bunkum, it will help redistribute the wealth across the world, that's what they said, top politicians in Canada and elsewhere too. And that's what it's about, getting you into austerity and taxing every joule of energy that you use. And eventually, you're now into a post-consumer society and you'll be paying your extra cash out on fees and energy bills and things like that. That's what they want because you understand communists are utter legalists; if you understand who created communism you'll understand legalism; they're the people of the legalism, you might say, all down through history. And they believe the people don't have the right to make decisions for themselves, it's far better if special folk make decisions all for them. So I'll put this link up tonight too and you can see it for yourself.

I remember too, about the Global Warming nonsense too, when Russia had thousands of weather stations across Siberia for instance, well they all closed down when the walls came down and so all those readings are all missing from the charts and believe you me, it's really cold along that way and that would certainly skew the results, if they bothered to use that again; they don't like to mention that fact. Anyway:

"H.R. 1905: Iran Sanctions, Accountability, and Human Rights Act of 2012"

Alan: This is the latest sanction put out by the U.S. on Iran, I'll put this up as well, because they're really trying to hammer them and starve them into submission. Just like they did with Iraq before they bombed it all to hell. First they kill the people by starvation and stopping food getting in and antibiotics. Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks, I'm back, Cutting Through The Matrix and this article is about biometric databases because this is the way that we're all going to go and it's getting pushed on everyone whether they like it or not, and that is the agenda. I've mentioned many times before, where back in the 90's on a Canadian television program, Wendy Mesley did a show on the coming biometric system and the coming national identification card as well. Quite a good show, it showed you how it was done back then and how it would be and she talked to one of the top guys in the corporation that makes a lot of these cards today and at that time the guy said, she asked the guy, "What makes you think that Canadians will actually go for it?" and he says, "Well, they'll be given no choice." You see that's the world you really live in. That's the real world, you understand. And it will always be that way as long as the public don't stand up and say, "Get off our backs." And they won't do that, you see, they're too happy and content. Anyway, it says:

"Israel's Biometric Database Deemed "Harmful" by High Court Justices

"In Israel, a heated debate is underway about whether Israel's Interior Ministry will move ahead with the creation of a governmental biometric database containing digital fingerprints and facial photographs, which would be linked to "smart" national ID cards containing microchips. At the heart of the issue is a major concern about privacy."

"On July 23, Israel's High Court of Justice held a hearing on a petition filed by civil rights advocates who sought to strike down a law establishing a governmental biometric database and an associated two-year pilot program. The law approving the database, enacted in 2009, met with public resistance until the government backed down and agreed to begin with only the pilot program. The pilot was supposed to be a test for determining whether it was actually necessary to move forward with building the

biometric database, but an Interior Ministry decree that sanctioned the program did not actually contain any criteria to measure whether the program succeeded or failed.

While three justices voiced harsh criticism of the database, they didn't move to cancel the project altogether. Instead, they determined that the pilot program description has to present clear criteria for success and failure, so that it would be conducted as a true test."

Alan: You know in the U.S or somewhere else they wouldn't even bother giving the public any input at all. That's what they do. See, we're too happy with entertainment you understand, cheap entertainment. It doesn't matter how you're living, your standard of living is plummeting and you're eating poisoned foods and all the rest of it, as long as you're happy, you got lots of sex and you can twitter and call up your little 'bot friends, then you're quite happy. You've been well put under during this war and you don't even know that because you're the happiest folk who've been put under in a war that's ever existed in history. I hope you understand that. They know this.

I used to read the articles too about revolutions, many articles and some good books on revolutions and histories of rebellions and revolutions. What they said though, was after the Communist ones, they didn't pack it in in Russia, they simply moved west and that was the agenda, of course, was to move west, under the guise of greening. The Green Party by the way was started up by Madeline Albright's granddaddy in Russia. He was the right-hand man of Stalin, for those who didn't know that. He started up the Green Party, that was part of the moving of all their agenda into the west through this new austerity, greening, all this kind of stuff, which would totally control the populations because that's what communism is all about you see. That's what it's about. And you've got to understand that it's all here and they said too, there would never be another communist-type revolution. See communism moved on from where it used to be. So there wouldn't be a communist-type revolution where people were starving and getting jobs was terrible, massive inflation; that would bring on revolution; however, if the public, the general public, have playthings, little toys they can play with, of all ages, then they would never rebel. And it's true, you've got all this cheap entertainment, as long as it's cheap and everyone has access, even the ones at the bottom. Why do you think Obama gave out free iPhones to all the people on welfare and other countries have done the same thing? Why do you think every country is making sure that those at the bottom can get high-speed internet and things like that very cheap? They know what they're doing you see. No one's going to rebel. That's how well...they do studies on all this stuff that I'm talking about, all the time, and they've got the pulse of the public, in real time. They know what people are bitching about. It's generally about somebody's boobs, are they real or are they not, you know, on some star or some celebrity; that's what they're bitching about.

Anyway, getting back to the article, and this database in Israel, they're getting some input into it, which most countries don't even get. They don't even get the input into it.

And this other article is “Jewish communities to coordinate debate on circumcision” Because remember, Germany ruled that they shouldn’t circumcise because of there were so many children getting herpes especially from a particular strange tradition of some of the groups within Judaism who use the mouth to get rid of the blood and stop the blood from flowing and you can imagine what that can do. One guy in New Jersey I think was fined because he’d passed it on, one rabbi to quite a few children. Imagine getting that at eight days old. I mean do you want that to happen? Anyway:

“Jewish communities to coordinate debate on circumcision”

“Austria’s Jewish community (IKG) said Monday it was working jointly with its German and Swiss counterparts to keep religious circumcision legal, after repeated calls for an end to the practice.

The IKG was organising an international coordinating group with the Jewish communities in neighbouring Germany and Switzerland...”

Alan: And it says here:

“This follows a heated debate that began last month when a court in the western German city of Cologne ruled that circumcision — observed by both Muslims and Jews on religious grounds — was tantamount to grievous bodily harm.

A couple of hospitals in Switzerland followed suit, saying they would at least temporarily suspend such operations.”

Alan: You’re not supposed to do operations in medicine unless, you are supposed to cause no harm and you only do operations that are utterly necessary. That’s all gone by the wayside of course with cosmetic operations etc., so everybody’s broken their oaths and everything else as we go down the tubes and greed rules the system. Anyway it says:

“The governor of Austria’s westernmost Vorarlberg province, Markus Wallner, meanwhile issued a provisional recommendation to hospitals not to perform circumcisions, even on religious grounds.

This prompted an unprecedented joint call by Austrian Jews, Muslims and Christians on Friday for the government to “issue a clear commitment to religious freedom and to the legality of male circumcision.””

Alan: So you know, the craziness of all things just keeps going round and round. There's lots of crazy things out there. And I'll also put up "The Hockey Schtick" it's called, "The Hockey Schtick".

"New paper shows Antarctic temperatures haven't increased over past 500 years"

Alan: That's the latest one, it's from the top scientists, so that's another, it's not a nail in the coffin because you see it's a belief system now and they've got to get it through because you see the big corporations want it through because they can get free credits for trading amongst themselves for carbon. The governments give them millions of dollars each in credits to start it off and then they make startling profits off it. They hire areas and call them carbon sinks and cons like that, and they trade in it and get lots of cash. So the big corporations want it and all the little greenies at the bottom that really are red, they want it too. Also tonight too, I'll put up mining.com, it says:

"Not convinced Chinese trade is a disaster? Take a look at these shipping numbers"

Alan: And it's quite good this article, for those who can hold their concentration for five minutes. It says:

"Shipbuilding and shipping capacity in China surged as the country's demand for raw materials escalated in tandem with breakneck economic growth and investment in infrastructure.

China has 20 ports that can handle more than 100 million tonnes of cargo and in 2010 built ships with deadweight tonnage of 65.6 million tonnes, overtaking long-time top builder South Korea for the first time.

China – which overtook Japan in 2010 to become the world's second largest economy – dominates the global trade in just about every commodity including iron ore (representing some 60% of the global 1 billion tonnes seaborne trade), copper (38%), coal (47%), nickel (36%), lead (44%) and zinc (41%).

Fast-forward a couple of years and rates, orders and activity in the shipping industry – especially dry-bulk vessels used to haul iron ore and coal – are painting a very different picture..."

Alan: And they give you all these different articles and statistics from the Baltic Dry Index; that's the main one you've got to look into, the Baltic Dry Index, which takes all the data from every single ship across the planet, per day and per month and per year, and it's just fantastic, incredible how much stuff is going into China, their big ships and how much they're building there too in big ships. They're mainly using their own big ships now, in fact; they're actually banning other big ship holders to even come into Chinese ports; they want to use nothing but Chinese because they're just churning these things out. But it's

fantastic and it's putting a lot of western shipping businesses out of business big time. So, as I say, those who are into investments are quite well aware of the Baltic Dry Index, they watch that all the time.

And it says:

"Prosecutors turn to "extreme indifference" charge in Colorado shooting case"

"...prosecutors are taking advantage of a well-used tool that legal experts say could help ensure a conviction of the former graduate student accused of opening fire at a midnight showing of the new "Batman" film: the charge of murder with extreme indifference.

For each of the victims of the shooting spree in a Denver suburb that left 12 people dead and 58 wounded, prosecutors charged James Holmes with two murder counts – one standard first-degree murder charge, plus one count of murder with extreme indifference. They also filed two attempted murder counts for each of the wounded survivors.

In Colorado, a first-degree murder charge can be brought against someone who intended to murder another person. But it can also be brought where there is evidence of "extreme indifference to the value of human life generally"..."

Alan: I guess they should charge every one of the military branches as well, especially the guys that plan it all years ahead. It says:

"State laws that recognize murder with indifference are not uncommon, said Daniel Filler, a professor at Drexel University's law school, but in some cases, states treat murder with indifference as a lower grade offense than pre-meditated murder. In Colorado, murder with extreme indifference is also first-degree murder.

By charging Holmes with both crimes, prosecutors are giving jurors two opportunities to find Holmes guilty.

"This is a prosecutor's insurance policy," Filler said. "Nobody is going to think this wasn't an unbelievably, crazy, reckless act."

Alan: This is before he's tried, mind you too. Interesting they always say, and they used to always say in the States too, it doesn't matter what they see or what they catch on film, they're supposed to say you

are innocent until proven guilty, because the proven guilty part is where you truly get evidence, you know. Anyway it seems that he's been convicted already by everybody and they want to ensure that he goes the way of others before him. It says here:

"Romney denies criticizing Palestinian culture"

Alan: It's quite, I love these gaffes that the politicians, the little front men that are picked by the real boys who run the world, they're always making gaffes on things. So Romney's in Jerusalem, it says he:

"...insisted Tuesday he was not specifically talking about Palestinian culture at a fundraiser in Israel on Monday when he was quoted suggesting culture was the reason for the economic disparity between Israel and the Palestinian territories."

Alan: It's culture, he says. Was he meaning one was more aggressive than the other? What was he meaning? He says:

""That's an interesting topic that deserves scholarly analysis, but I actually didn't address that," Romney told Fox News' Carl Cameron, adding he didn't "intend" to talk about the subject in his campaign. "Instead, I will point out ... that the choices a society makes have a profound impact on the economy and vitality of that society."

Palestinian officials accused Romney of making "racist" statements after the Republican candidate was quoted comparing Israel's economy with that of the Palestinian territories."

Alan: That is a joke in itself, isn't it?

""As you come here and you see the GDP per capita, for instance, in Israel which is about \$21,000 dollars,"

Alan: It's not actually; it's about \$31,000, but he got that wrong too.

"and compare that with the GDP per capita just across the areas managed by the Palestinian Authority,"

Alan: The guys behind barbed wire.

“which is more like \$10,000 per capita, you notice such a dramatically stark difference in economic vitality,” Romney told a group of Jewish donors at a Jerusalem fundraiser that netted more than \$1 million for his campaign.

The Republican candidate told supporters he began noting “enormous disparities” between neighboring countries during his time in the business world and cited a 1998 book, “The Wealth and Poverty of Nations” by David Landes, which studied why some countries did better than others.”

Alan: It’s because they’re more aggressive, that’s the state of the world, the aggressive end up ruling, same in business. That’s simple logic.

“”He says if you could learn anything from the economic history of the world it’s this: Culture makes all the difference,” Romney told supporters. “And as I come here, and I look out over this city and consider the accomplishments of the people of this nation, I recognize the power of at least culture and a few other things.””

Alan: I wonder what that few other things are?

“In the interview with Fox News, Romney played down his perceived gaffes during his foreign tour over the last week, including his comment to NBC News that he found London’s Olympic preparations “disconcerting.” He accused the media of being more interested in “finding something to write about” than focusing on real issues.

“They’ll instead try to find anything else to divert from the fact that these last four years have been tough years for our country,” Romney said.”

Alan: So there’s your...what does it matter? What does it matter who they put in? They’re all front men. You do know they’re all front men, I hope. And this other article on something similar with Romney. It says his:

“...trip to Israel yielded \$1 million in campaign contributions,”

Alan: Here they go abroad to get campaign contributions, and people can’t wisen up.

“but it came at a cost of sounding uninformed about the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. “As you come here and you see the GDP per capita, for instance, in Israel which is about \$21,000 dollars, and compare that

with the GDP per capita just across the areas managed by the Palestinian Authority, which is more like \$10,000 per capita, you notice such a dramatically stark difference in economic vitality"..."

Alan: He says. But then it goes into the fact that:

"The economic disparity between the Israelis and the Palestinians is actually much greater than Romney stated. Israel had a per capita gross domestic product of about \$31,000 in 2011, while the West Bank and Gaza had a per capita GDP of just over \$1,500, according to the World Bank."

Alan: Because you know what happened to Gaza too, they kind of destroyed it and burned it with phosphorous bombs and stuff like that.

"While the money line might've been red meat to some of Romney's donors (he also declared Jerusalem the capital of Israel, offending some), the words didn't really suggest his strength in dealing with the region's complex, historically-fraught conflict. And you kind of came away with that feeling after the Palestinians issued a statement, via Saeb Erekat, a senior aide to President Mahmoud Abbas. "This man doesn't realize that the Palestinian economy cannot reach its potential because there is an Israeli occupation.""

Alan: Ha, ha, ha. It's kind of obvious, eh? I guess to be president you don't have to know that.

"It seems to me this man [Romney] lacks information, knowledge, vision and understanding of this region and its people," Erekat said. "He also lacks knowledge about the Israelis themselves. I have not heard any Israeli official speak about cultural superiority." Oh, well: You win some (money), you lose some (integrity)."

Alan: So there you go. It really doesn't matter who they put in though, does it?

I mentioned a while back about Rockefeller and Rothschild signing a big deal to put their wealth together, probably it was arranged hundreds of years ago that they'd eventually do this at this particular time. It says:

"Rothschild and Rockefeller families team up for some extra wealth creation"

"The Rothschild and Rockefeller families have teamed up to buy assets from banks and other distressed sellers"

Alan: That means they're broke so they'll buy them all for peanuts like they always do.

"in a union between two of the best-known names in financial history. RIT Capital Partners, which is chaired by Lord Rothschild, has taken a 37pc stake in Rockefeller Financial Services, the family's wealth advisory and asset management wing. It has snapped up the holding from French bank Société Générale for less than £100m.

The transatlantic alliance cements a five-decade acquaintance between the now ennobled Jacob Rothschild, 76, and David Rockefeller, 96, the grandson of the ruthlessly acquisitive American oilman and philanthropist John D Rockefeller."

Alan: You know the philanthropist that eventually became a philanthropist by bringing two makeover specialists in after he had the miners in their tents all gunned down that wouldn't go to work. They run the world folks, great philanthropists; they own the foundations and armies of NGO's. Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks, we're back, Cutting Through The Matrix. It's interesting too that the Rothschilds on their side of the Atlantic and of course the Rockefellers on their side were the guys who really got going with the Royal Institute of International Affairs and before that they were called other names like the Rothschild and Cecil Rhodes Foundation, and the Milner Trust, etc. and over in the States they set up Rockefeller to do the same thing. These are the guys who drafted up the idea for amalgamating countries into trading blocs and free trade using London as the basis for it, which is still on the go today, and out of that came the European Union, the Asian-Pacific treaties and all the rest of it, because they run the whole show, including NAFTA, GATT and everything else, or the World Trade Organization. So here it goes,

"The Trans-Pacific Partnership: Agenda 21 Meets Global Corporate Takeover"

"The Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP)

Alan: And again, the public have no input into this at all, as it's done, right.

""is a key trade initiative" that the Obama administration claims is "seeking to support jobs for American workers by boosting American exports to the dynamic Asia-Pacific region, promote manufacturing, innovation..."

Alan: Etcetera

“However, the agenda of the TPP”

Alan: That’s the Trans-Pacific Partnership

“is a securitization of customs and border patrol services, telecommunications, corporate communication policy that directly effects immigration, corporate investments, and the addition of intellectual property rights with focus on copyright limitations.

The TPP, held in secret,”

Alan: This is the world you live in. And again, these guys that I just mentioned, Rockefeller and Rothschild, were the first ones to start off big philanthropic institutions so they wouldn’t have to pay taxes and they’ve got these big foundations, thousands of them across the world, that hire multi-thousands of NGOs to lobby government. Anyway, it’s held in secret and:

“is in actuality a multi-national trade agreement that seeks to extend intellectual property rights across the globe; creating an international enforcement scheme.

In a White House statement, Obama seeks to incorporate America with Canada and the other TPP countries in a “next-generation regional agreement that liberalizes trade and investment.”

Alan: Now, as a small business man, you won’t be in on it, it’s only for the big corporations that they allow in on it, same as Britain.

“The press release explains that TPP will build upon “the commitments of NAFTA [North American Free Trade Agreement].”

The TPP defines intellectual property as:

- Copyright
- Trademarks
- Patents
- Geopolitical indicators

The leaked document drafted as the US TPP Intellectual Property Rights Chapter clearly states”

Alan: And I'll put the links up for this tonight too at cuttingthroughthematrix.com.

"that negotiators for Obama are actively pushing for the adaptation of copyright measures that further restrict what is outlined in the Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement (ACTA) and other similar international treaties.

There is an initiative to control global IP enforcement by the UN under signatory treaty wherein nations will be mandated to enact domestic laws that have been worded to reflect the provisions in the TPP agreement.

As in the Digital Millennium Copyright Act of 1998 (DMCA), federal agencies are placed in control of digital "locks" and enforcement of overblown statutory damages on claims of copyright infringement; as well as restricting the US Congress from altering existing IP governances as changes in technology and innovation demands such elasticity.

Alan: Now I've got all the links for that on this particular article I'll put up tonight too and you can see what's coming. But this partnership also is to do with the free movement – it doesn't say it in this article – of goods, services and labor, by the way. Labor from all over the pacific region can come into the States, Canada and elsewhere. And it also means, too, that the third world countries are involved and those ones will be paid big sums by you to build hospitals, schools, factories and then they'll ship what's left of your factories over to them, just like they did with the GATT treaty. And you haven't been asked an opinion on anything because you see, you keep prattling on about democracy but you have never had it, never will either. From Hamish and myself, from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods go with you.

October 23, 2025 at 9:45 am

[EDIT](#) | [MOVE](#) | [SPLIT](#) | [TRASH](#) | [SPAM](#) | [UNAPPROVE](#) | [REPLY](#) [#1632](#)



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

Now the world you're living in as I say has been planned. This whole system, the direction of it, has been planned for well over a hundred years. In fact Britain brought in free trade for their own colonies in the 1840's. And the group that came out of that eventually called themselves the Royal Institute of International Affairs. They wanted wars, world wars. They got them and they hoped the public would be on their knees after the wars, give up sovereignty and become part of the United Nations. For World War I it was the League of Nations they set up and the same group set up the United Nations during World War II. So the people weren't too happy with that, so it didn't go too well, so they thought they'd take the long road, the Fabian technique, and of course they run the Fabian Society as well. Back with more after this break.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix and it really is a matrix. There's many levels to it too because you've had an intense indoctrination since you were born. And some of it comes from your parents too because they accept the world as it's presented to them as well. Their indoctrination worked very well too. And Beria, during about the 1930's, from the soviet system, he was the head of the chief of police and so on, he used to talk to the Comintern. That's where all the international communists went over to Moscow every year. And he said at that time that it used to take a generation to alter the behavior of society. And he was meaning around seventy years or so. He says now we can do it in five years; this is in 1934 remember. And he said that through using certain techniques, especially in school, that they could prime the children's minds to take on new indoctrination much, much quicker that would even contradict their family's values; however, their particular values taught by the state would prevail. And he was very, very right about that. And he said this will eventually get faster and quicker and quicker as children are indoctrinated in what we now call preschool or kindergarten. Well that's already happened you see.

Today the children going into kindergarten are one year ahead with their indoctrinations from the ones previous to them in what they'll experience as they grow up, all the changes that will come. They're all planned to come because you're living through a script you understand. Government is in the process of total control, complete total control, to serve itself, not to serve you. Government is out there, they always call themselves public servants especially in the British Commonwealth countries. But they're not servants at all to the public; they're servants to the Queen of course. And the Queen stands for the fascist regime that's always been there and all the corporations involved. So you're well indoctrinated not to understand, to think that you understand what's going on but you never really understand what's going on at all because you've been indoctrinated, including your behavior.

I can remember watching one of the versions of "Brave New World". And Brave New World, remember that was in the 1930's, 1934 I think that came out or 1933, by Aldous Huxley. And in one of the scenes in the movie version the top Alpha guy who ran the system, he was asked about all the technology they were giving the general public having a great old time. He says oh yeah, but we only give them what we need to give them and so much of it is to monitor them as well. Well that's what technology has always been about, monitoring the general public. Not enemies overseas so much but their own populations at home. Because the first rule of government is to protect and maintain itself, you see, regardless of what the public want.

So we're given lots of technology. The big boys of course sometimes sneak something through to the public through their own magazines like Foreign Affairs for the Council on Foreign Relations or maybe one of the journals through the Royal Society. Or many other organizations to which they belong that

guide society, or their big foundations as well and think tanks which are really just the fronts to finance the armies and armies of nongovernmental organizations. See, they have all sides controlled because they create them you see. All sides are created by the same people. You must have conflict to get the changes going through. Otherwise there'll be nothing to oppose and things would stay the same way for a long time.

So the dialectic is used, the Hegelian dialectic is used to create the planned change with the thesis really becoming the synthesis, etcetera. And they get their end product out of it, their target you might say, their plan, that was actually the synthesis of both of them. And then it starts all over again with the next part of the plan and on and on it goes.

So you're already there. And for those who have been through your schooling and your teenage years, you're already programmed differently from your parents. And they were bad enough because since the 50's there was a massive escalation in speed to indoctrinate the populations generation by generation. And by that time in Britain of course they could do it every twenty years. You grow up, you have a child, twenty years later that child grows up and has its child, and so on and so on. And the culture industry and school would indoctrinate you for what you were going to expect as you grew up and go through life. It's very, very simple. It's a perfect technique and it's worked awfully well, until we're all pretty well degenerate. Most folk are degenerate today and they don't even know it because everyone else is too. And when you're degenerate you're dysfunctional. Meaning, your survival capabilities have gone. You've got lots of fun, lots and lots of fun like never before. And you've been prompted to go out and "Just Do It" as they say in marketing, in advertising, "Just Do It".

Marketing works by giving you little slogans and so does all propaganda of course. "Just Do It", without you supposed to question why you're doing it or do you want to do it in the first place, whatever it happens to be. And it's like one of the slogans too, it says "Support Our Troops". It comes from marketing departments that work for the Pentagon. It doesn't tell you why to support the troops. It doesn't ask if the troops are doing the right thing in the first place or your country is. They just tell you, "Support Our Troops". That's how simple slogans work on you. They're never backed up with an explanation you see.

But it doesn't matter, the public are so far gone today because a war has been waged on them for an awful long time and they don't even know it. They don't know it. They can't even sustain their populations; they're plummeting in all the first world countries because they've been prompted and nudged, as they call it in neuroscience, all their lives to just go and have fun, be perpetual children regardless of their ages. But don't have children, whatever you do, they'll tie you down. You know, that stops you having all this fun. Every day you'll see stuff in the papers, just screeds of it with the fallout in society to do with this.

But this isn't a talk about morality because morality you see is flexible. It's plastic. Morality is what the governing elite give you at that particular time to suit themselves. There is a natural morality of course but you won't find it so much today because they're wiping out the primitive tribes in some countries and they're wiping out the last of the cultures that still had it across the Middle East. They had simple rules and regulations, everyone knew what they were and the society was deemed to last forever, till now that is.

Anyway, as I say, they give you the technology to monitor you and give you fun at the same time. But it comes in so quick as well. Everyone is distracted with so many different things like Bread and Circuses. Like this London Olympics, that's all it is, it's a big circus. Very expensive one, mind you. And a lot of folk are really stuck on it; what are they going to see next? I think it cost £40m just to get the start of it going, the first day, just for the show and glitter and all that stuff.

Anyway, when this was going on of course you find things have already been snuck through. You don't have to make laws you understand when you've got private corporations working for government. You just simply go ahead and do something as policy.

"Insult Person On Twitter, Go To Jail"

Alan: This article says.

"A teenager insulted a public figure on twitter. Then he got arrested. Why? Because in the U.K., it's a "criminal offense" to tweet messages that are considered "menacing, offensive, or indecent.""

Alan: Now just you think about that, "menacing, offensive, or indecent". Number one, pretty well everything on television is offensive you could say or indecent because they've brought the culture down. That was the tool they used for people to follow; monkey see, monkey do. And menacing, menacing to whom? Define menacing, you see. It says:

"A 17-year-old boy has been arrested over a string of malicious tweets he allegedly sent to the teenage diver Tom Daley over his failure to win an Olympic medal in a case that has raised fresh questions over the policing of comments on social media. The teenager – who tweeted by the name of @Riley_69 – was arrested at 2am yesterday and was being questioned by Dorset police after the young diver retweeted a comment suggesting that Daley had let down his late father who died last year from brain cancer. The teenager was arrested under the Malicious Communications Act. It carries a maximum sentence of up to six months in prison and a fine of up to £5,000 for a communication "which conveys a message which is indecent or grossly offensive." Daley retweeted a message which said: "You let your

dad down i hope you know that.” Daley responded by tweeting: “After giving it my all... you get idiots sending me this...” Further abusive messages from the same address followed suggesting that the sportsman had “failed” and made a violent threat towards Daley and other Twitter users. As news of his tweets spread, Riley_69 attempted to apologize to Daley. He later added: “please i don’t want to be hated I’m just sorry you didn’t win i was rooting for you pal to do britain all proud just so upset.””

“Note, due to social pressure, the teen apologized and changed his tune.”

It says:

“That’s how normal human interactions are supposed to take place.”

Alan: But wait a minute here, put it in a different context. Supposing you personally do have an opinion on something and you tweet, right? And someone is offended, because you’re always going to offend somebody. And then the government comes down on you. That’s going to go into a whole bunch of areas, transgender, you name it, whatever. Even all these various so-called comedies on TV to do with these topics, and you say something, you’re going to be nudged. And if you don’t get nudged into apologizing, that’s called self-policing in neuroscience by the way, this particular technique that I’m reading right here, you police yourself. You become your policeman until you’ll always think about what you’re going to say and you’ll always think about the politically correct thing to say. That’s self-policing. Sunstein talked all about this of course too and he’s up there on the board with Obama. So anyway it says:

“Note, due to social pressure, the teen apologized...”

Alan: And so on.

“That’s how normal human interactions are supposed to take place. Why do the guns of government and fines and jail time need to come into the mix?”

Alan: Well the reason is you see this is only part of a technique, what they’re showing you here, to get you trained and train yourself into what I just said, police yourself. Your behavior is always being modified. Sunstein himself said that during self-policing, which they’ll teach the public, they’ll nudge you into making the “correct” choice. You understand? The correct choice. By whose definition? By those who rule over you, you understand. That’s what it’s about. It says:

“Of course, what constitutes “menacing,” “offensive,” or “indecent” speech is entirely up to the arbitrary whims of the state, earlier this year a 19-year-old U.K student was arrested for posting an antiwar comment to Facebook.”

Alan: Antiwar comment. For offensive speech, eh? Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. I'm back, Cutting Through the Matrix and talking about how you're all manipulated. We're manipulated daily in fact. I read last week the articles about how every quote out of the mouths of the politicians can be actually retracted before it's even put into print by the advisors. They're all run by these special advisors who are far brighter than the ones that they give you for the clowns they call presidents and prime ministers. They're just petty actors. And everything is controlled that you read on mainstream; there's no doubt about it whatsoever. And they said that in the actual article, which was mainstream. Everything is controlled that you read.

Now getting back to this article talking about how you're nudged into self-policing yourself with the technology that's used and these wonderful associations which they create like twitter, which is classed as private but obviously it's working along with the NSA. And they have policies. They don't have to go through laws because they're not really responsible to the public for what they do. But they're all PC, Politically Correct. And as they keep changing things into further political correctness you're all going to get nudged and get more stories like this until you're terrified to have a normal thought and voice it, or an opinion, on anything. Because you understand what they term liberalism and they're all the same at the top, there's only one big party at the top that runs all sides and that is the Royal Institute of International Affairs by the way, the Council on Foreign Relations. They run all sides but they use all the techniques of the far left, the far right, and so on. But liberalism does not, does not tolerate anything that goes against their objectives. It's the most intolerant system that's ever been devised, believe you me.

Anyway, getting back to this article, it says here that the guy who was actually fined again for being menacing and offensive and so on, because he was antiwar and he posted a comment. He was arrested for it, a comment to Facebook. It says:

“There was little to no outrage over the incident, the student was just one of many arrested for “offensive” speech in the U.K.”

Alan: Now you can curse and swear and say all the stuff you've seen on television, that's okay because it degenerates you, as it's supposed to do you see. But don't say something that matters. It says:

“What’s funny about laws like these is if it was actually applied efficiently and universally, it would result in the jailing of half or more of the populace.”

Alan: They don’t have to jail you all. They’re training you. Most folk go along with the herd very quickly. They don’t even know what’s happening to them, they just adapt you see.

“We’re on our way there here in the U.S., with some 800,000 “regulations” on the books...”

Alan: And actually there’s more to come of course with the new laws that are getting rammed through all the time. Self policing, and that’s where the internet comes in and all the accessories to do with the internet. It’s a fast, fast way of standardizing the society basically and what to say in polite company or even impolite company. And they give you lots of stuff to say about that too, mind you, but that’s okay, that’s been authorized.

Now I don’t know if people remember the movie, it’s an old movie, it’s called “Fortress” with Christopher Lambert I think was in it. And there were three episodes to the movies but the first one he gets put into this high-tech prison because his wife, going through a checkpoint by the way, because they always show you this stuff in predictive programming years before they actually bring it in. He’s going through a checkpoint with his wife over a bridge to another country and they x-rayed his wife and she was pregnant. So they’d broken a law. They split them up and he got put into prison as well. And the first thing they did was to put this thing in his mouth, which all the prisoners got, you swallow it and it attaches itself to your stomach. And it can cause pain remotely if, again, you’re being politically incorrect or whatever and various other things.

So here’s this article here. It’s interesting they always show you it in the science fiction movies first.

“FDA clears the “smart pill” by Proteus Digital Health”

Alan: It’s an ingestible sensor it says and it’s from California, July 30th.

“Proteus Digital Health, Inc. announced today that the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has cleared its ingestible sensor for marketing as a medical device. The ingestible sensor (formally referred to as the Ingestion Event Marker or IEM) is part of the Proteus digital health feedback system, an integrated, end-to-end personal health management system that is designed to help improve patients’ health habits and connections to caregivers.”

Alan: Now you know darn well that DARPA has been involved in this and they have other reasons for having it, but that doesn't matter. This is how they always introduce these ideas to you.

"The Proteus ingestible sensor can be integrated into an inert pill or other ingested products, such as pharmaceuticals. Once the ingestible sensor reaches the stomach, it is powered by contact with stomach fluid and communicates a unique signal that determines identity and timing of ingestion. This information is transferred through the user's body tissue to a patch worn on the skin..."

Alan: I bet they have a remote one anyway.

"...that detects the signal and marks the precise time an ingestible sensor has been taken. Additional physiologic and behavioral metrics collected by the patch include heart rate, body position and activity. The patch relays information to a mobile phone application. With the patient's consent, the information is accessible by caregivers and clinicians,"

Alan: Of course the government too.

"...helping individuals to develop and sustain healthy habits, families to make better health choices, and clinicians to provide more effective, data-driven care."

Alan: Of course if it's going back to it's going to help you "make better health choices," they'll know every darn thing that you eat of course as well. And that will be taken off your austerity card down the road as well.

Now clowns are very popular in today's society because everyone's immature. You've been kept as a child, it doesn't matter what age you are. In fact you've been told just go do it and have fun. And they show you how to have fun in fact. They never show you the consequences of having fun but they always tell you to go and have fun. It's like movies, movies always show you the fun parts but they don't tell you the aftermath of what would really happen if these things really happened at all. But we'll talk about clowns and politicians when I get back from this break. Back in a moment.

{Break 🎵 – You're listening to the Republic Broadcasting Network because you can handle the truth – 🎵}

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt, we're talking about the big system you were born into, you live in. Most folk never catch on to it, that it's even controlled. They think they're making their own decisions. They think their opinions are their own. They even get angry about defending their opinions even though they are given to them.

Getting back to clowns and politicians, we've had clowns and politicians for years. And when you get to the end of an age when they're transferring into a new age, you see, this is the century of change, where high sciences are controlling you perfectly. You'll still have the politicians there out as clowns. They even have them in Britain now on "Come Dancing" for God's sake. So these old farts, as they say over there, get on the stage and do these silly little dances and all this kind of thing. Because really all they are, are little actors, your politicians. But in London during this opening of the Olympic games, it says:

"London Mayor Boris Johnson, tipped as a possible future British prime minister,"

Alan: Well he's definitely going to be a prime minister eventually because if you go into his history it's amazing, even his grandfather was in the Young Turks that was created by the Royal Institute of International Affairs that were meant to cause revolutions all over the world you know. Amazing history behind this character. Anyway it says:

"...made a striking entry to an Olympic event by zipwire Wednesday –"

Alan: He came down one of these zipwires, you know, that you'll see in all the movies.

"...only to get stuck dangling over the crowd."

Alan: So here he was, "Waving a Union Jack flag in each hand". See, politicians, politics is fun now, this is what they're telling you. Oh it's just fun. They're just like you and I as they rake in millions of pounds every year and rig the deals that they get from all the guys who lobby them and stuff like that. Mind you, you pick up the tab. It's all fun though. So:

"Waving a Union Jack flag in each hand, the colourful Conservative mayor initially gathered some speed as he flew over Victoria Park in east London, where the Games were being shown on giant screens."

Alan: And then they go through...

"Is it a bird? Is it a plane?"

Alan: Etcetera. But no, it was him.

"...wearing a bright blue helmet with his smart business suit. But he ground to a halt halfway across the 320-metre zipwire — which is also open to the public — and was left hanging awkwardly over the spectators, still wielding his flags."

Alan: And then he got a rope and all that. And he says:

"“I think possibly what had happened was that they left a brake on or something.” “But anyway, it was wonderful and I thoroughly recommend it.”"

Alan: Now maybe he should get fined for his little comment there, unthoughtful you see. Because anybody else trying that out of the blue, and of course the security guys knew all about him, this big stunt. Anybody else trying it would have been riddled full of holes. And maybe even have a missile rammed against them, if they tried to do that, I can guarantee you. So only the big boys can have that kind of fun; don't you try that kind of stuff or they'll just kill you.

Now this article here is quite good.

"Britain Abolishes Itself"

"Traditions working-class and aristocratic fade in Cameron's UK."

Alan: During this particular era with Cameron. It doesn't matter about Cameron or who's in charge because they're all interchangeable. It says:

"Outsiders tend to look upon the United Kingdom as a stiff, traditional little country whose grey-haired old Queen has just celebrated 60 years on the throne and where men in bowler hats will say, "Evening, sir," as they pass you in the street. But this view of the UK as a more faithful creature of history and habit than most other nations is misplaced. In truth, traditional institutions in Britain are in disarray. They're dizzy with confusion, bereft of purpose. They are falling like flies. And the striking thing is that they are being done in not by revolution..."

Alan: That's not true. It's all done by revolution; they just don't know it is.

"...or by sentient reform but by their own moral and physical exhaustion. Traditionalism in Britain is committing voluntary euthanasia."

Alan: See there's been a war on the public for all this time and they didn't even know it. It says:

"The speed with which longstanding institutions are disappearing is alarming. This time last year, a Brit could have opened up the News of the World on a Sunday morning and perused that 168-year-old newspaper's salacious stories about celebs and its mocking of Members of Parliament. That had been a tradition amongst less well-off communities in particular for the better part of two centuries. Tucking into that paper after you had tucked into your Sunday breakfast was a staple of working-class life."

Alan: It says here. Mind you it was always propaganda.

"In 1946, when the paper was already 103 years old, George Orwell described an idyllic homely scene: "It is Sunday afternoon, preferably before the war. The wife is already asleep in the armchair, and the children have been sent out for a nice long walk. You put your feet up on the sofa, settle your spectacles on your nose and open the News of the World." Not anymore, you don't. The paper is no more, snuffed out last year by its final proprietor, Rupert Murdoch, in response to a campaign of shrill liberal fury following revelations that some of the paper's hacks had hacked into people's phones. This time last year, you could have used the words "husband" and "wife" without a second thought, without having to worry that you might be causing offense to someone somewhere. Indeed, you could have read Orwell's words "The wife is already asleep" and known exactly whom he meant ("her indoors") and what he meant (thank God she's drifted off). Not now. Even wives, one half of that most traditional of all institutions, marriage, are disappearing. Husbands, too. Linguistically, at least."

"As part of the drive towards institutionalizing same-sex marriage—which is being spearheaded not by radical gays but by our posh, foppish Conservative prime minister, David Cameron—"

Alan: It's not just him. I mean even Nick Clegg last year, and I read it on the air, said we should really put an end to marriages. He says it's over, finished, there's no reason for it existing anymore, remember? So they always, this is aimed at Cameron, but Cameron is just another front man with the same agenda because all of them have been for over a hundred years, just front men for the same agenda.

"...words such as "husband" and "wife" and "father" and "mother" are being airbrushed from much official government documentation. So welfare and immigration forms will shortly be scrubbed clean of any mention of the w-word or the h-word, in favor of more "neutral" terms such as "spouse" or "partner" because, as the Daily Telegraph reports, the government believes that once same-sex marriage is

legalized “it would be confusing to refer to husbands and wives.” Fathers are already disappearing. At the end of May, the National Health Service, the largest employer in Britain—and the fifth largest in the world—took the decision to excise the six-letter f-word from a pamphlet on rearing children that it has been giving to mothers- and fathers-to-be for the past 14 years.”

Alan: So the “father” word is gone.

“The pamphlet will no longer refer to fathers following a complaint from one person—yes, that is all it takes to airbrush people from history in modern Britain—who was concerned that such terminology is “not inclusive of people in same-sex relationships.” From now on the pamphlet will refer to mothers and “partners.” Dads are so 20th century. This time last year one could have said the words “I live in the United Kingdom” without flinching. But even that’s no longer possible. The very thing that glues England, Wales, and Scotland together—the Union, forged by the Acts of Union of 1707—is fraying. Following a tense exchange of words over the future of Scotland between Cameron, who leads the government in Westminster, and Alex Salmond, leader of the Scottish National Party (SNP) and first minister in Scotland’s regional parliament, there will now be a referendum on Scottish independence in Fall 2014. Never mind losing a tabloid newspaper or words like “husband” and “father,” the UK might soon lose one of its countries, a huge chunk of its territory and its peoples, whose union with England and Wales was the founding moment of the United Kingdom.”

Alan: Well mind you too that’s part of the EU which is supposed to, like communism, the nations fade away. Remember the nation-states fade away. And apart from that they’re under the EU now so there’s no freedom in Scotland any more than there is in Northern Ireland. The same thing happened there, they fought for years and what did they get, they’re under the EU Soviet System. Anyway it says:

“The most remarkable thing about this possible splitting of Scotland from the kingdom is that it came about not through a war of independence pursued by modern-day Bravehearts or even through a proper sit-down between Salmond and Cameron to discuss the future of the Union but rather by way of a game of grouchy one-upmanship between those two leaders.”

Alan: Now that’s nonsense. This was planned years ago, years ago.

“The Conservatives might rule in Westminster, but they have largely given up on Scotland because their support there has plummeted to a record low in recent years. They were the largest party in Scotland as recently as the 1950s, but today they have just one Member of Parliament there. As a political observer quipped after Beijing loaned two pandas to the Edinburgh Zoo earlier this year, “There are now more pandas in Scotland than there are Conservative Members of Parliaments.””

Alan: But of course too there's not enough cash to rip out of Scotland anymore you see, it's been plundered. The people were forced off the land for many, many, many years through poverty. And the rest of them went into the armies to fight wars for the British Empire. Anyway, as I say it's plummeting.

Now, you take this, and what's happening with the whole of Britain, including England.

"An excess of drinks, drugs... and death: Magaluf is notorious for bingeing by British teenagers, but now the results are proving fatal"

Alan: Now all these articles I'll put the links up and you should look at this particular one as well because that's the standard of teenagers now in Britain. There are so many documentaries out about them being so drunk. Most of the casualties they have all through the weekend, and now it's even through the week, in the British hospitals, are British teenagers that are drunk, who've been run over or whatever, or in fights or God knows what. Women too, lots of women. It says:

"As dawn breaks on another sizzling summer's day in Majorca, thousands of British teenagers stumble out of a huge dance club at 4am and spill into a seedy street full of neon-lit bars, lap-dancing joints and cheap kebab takeaways. A 17-year-old girl in white shorts crashes drunkenly to the ground, legs askew, surrounded by her swaying friends. A group of boys lurch past, clutching Red Bull and vodka cocktails that they've bought for the equivalent of 25p."

"Their eyes are glazed and they burble incoherently in Manchester accents. It has been a long night in the holiday resort of Magaluf and the main strip, called Punta Ballena, still pulsates to the deafening boom-de-boom music that started well before midnight."

Alan: Anyway it shows you. You'll see these drunks all over the place in the photographs they give you in this article. You'll see the mess that the young people are in, including the women because they're dressed just like... you wouldn't know if they were hookers or what. I mean most of them are there for that by the way. But that's the end product of a society that's been destroyed. They didn't know there was a war upon them. Of course the BBC led it even in Britain and brought all the culture down to the most basic, basic parts. Which again was part of the Communist Manifesto, destruction of the family unit. And once you're into vast promiscuity there's no going back. Because there's no memory of what family really is anymore you know. Eventually they'll abolish the word family too, unless they say it's the cultural national family or something, I don't know.

So a war has been effected and it's awfully good. The same in America happens when the college students all rush off to the beaches. You could pretty well do any porno, just sitting there, just taking all

the photographs and so on. Because that's what you have now. And of course they've been taught this all since they were in kindergarten. All kinds of sex, as much as possible, just don't have children. If you do have children the state will take care of it for you, don't worry about it. That's what they're taught. They're not taught about the massive fallout of the venereal diseases and stuff. You never see that in the movies either by the way, do you? Strange that, isn't it? It's a must-be, you see, to get everyone completely demolished. And they've done it.

So I'll put that up as I say tonight and you should really look through that, this awful state of affairs. It really is terrible. But that's the end of it you see. And in Britain too, which is the flagship for the world remember, Her Majesty's Revenue Collection agency. That's for Her Majesty. They still have Her Majesty in the democracy of Britain there.

"HMRC hands out hundreds of thousands..."

Alan: Of pounds, cash.

"...to whistleblowers who shop tax dodgers"

Alan: People who are dodging taxes. They've turned it into a modern Stasi state, you see. This is Stasi.

""Bounty" payments totaling hundreds of thousands of pounds a year are being paid by HM Revenue & Customs to members of the public who shop..."

Alan: Shop, that's turn in.

"...tax dodgers. Figures published by investigative website Exaro reveal how individuals have been handed the cash for information that leads to the recovery of unpaid tax."

Alan: Meanwhile they've got all these big corporations that don't pay taxes for years, including the ones at the top who are all cousins of the Queen because they have corporations all over the world as well. People forget that the British Opium company is still on the go under different names. It was all members of the royal family who had shares in it, nobody else. In fact George Orwell's dad worked for it for years. It says:

"A Freedom of Information request submitted by Exaro showed more than £1million has been paid put through such payments in the years since recession took hold in 2009."

Alan: It says £1million. That's not bad for shopping people.

"A Telegraph report based on the Exaro work claimed £373,780 was paid in the last financial year..."

Alan: And it gives you all the different figures over the years.

"The sums have been generally rising, with just £155,950 handed out in 2007-08. HMRC said that most payments are for low amounts – £50 or £60 – and are paid out following calls to its tax evasion hotline. Information that leads to higher sums of unpaid tax being recovered can trigger payments running to several thousand pounds. Reports from 2008 claim HMRC paid £100,000 to an individual who provided details of secret bank accounts held by wealthy Britons in Liechtenstein."

Alan: So they're all at it of course but the big boys will never get caught because after all they make the agendas for the world. Where to look at and where not to look at. And when it's themselves you are not going to look.

Israel is quite amazing because those who have watched different clips in Israel, even to do with the Gaza invasion when the troops went into Gaza after firebombing them with magnesium and so on from the air to burn them all to death. You saw these ultra orthodox rabbis going in with the troops actually saying, kill them all, kill them all, they're Amalekites. You see that's what they call all the Arabs even though the people living there are probably more Jewish because that's really where they've been living for thousands of years and they changed their faith when Islam came in. Anyway it says:

"Israel ultra-Orthodox lose conscription exemption"

Alan: So now they'll have to go into war as well. So:

"Israel's Defence Minister Ehud Barak has given the country's military one month to prepare to conscript ultra-Orthodox Jewish seminary students, after a law exempting them expired. In February, the Supreme Court ruled that the Tal Law, which allowed them to defer military service, was unconstitutional and that a revised law should be drafted by the end of July. However, the main political parties in parliament failed to reach agreement. Secular Israelis say the law is unfair. Now that the Tal Law has expired, conscription is in theory governed by legislation – passed in 1949 and last amended in 1986 – that requires all Israelis above 18 years of age to enlist, unless specifically exempted by the defence ministry."

Alan: That means all the wealthy ones, the important ones at the top that have daddies in politics, they'll be exempted.

"Mr. Barak had instructed the Israel Defence Forces (IDF) to draw up a "practical proposal" on how to implement the 1949 law within a month, according to a statement by his office. The proposal "will reflect and take into consideration the ruling of the High Court of Justice, the requirements and values of the IDF, the principle of sharing the burden of national service more equally, and the suitability of individuals for service, as is commonly accepted","

Alan: It says and so on. So we'll see what happens there because they won't be too happy about that at all.

Now Italy that's been hammered by the big banking boys and the central bank for Europe because of you know all the cons; all banking is a con of course. It says:

"Italian Police Raid Barclays Over Rate-Fixing"

Alan: It's about time these countries all got in on the act since they're getting really, really, you know, screwed.

"Italian police have taken documents from a Barclays office in Milan as part of a probe into possible Euribor..."

Alan: That's the Libor idea but it's for Euribor.

"...rate manipulation, according to Reuters. It said the raid occurred as regulators investigated fixing fears of the eurozone equivalent of the scandal-hit, London-based Libor inter-bank lending rate. The search was ordered by prosecutors in the southern city of Trani, who have opened a criminal probe into the possible manipulation of the Euribor rate. "

Alan: It says. Well good for them. Back with more after this break.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix. And what a matrix it is, isn't it? And another one on twitter is to do with...

"Twitter prompted NBC to request ban of journalist critical of Olympics coverage"

Alan: See how they're all policing it now?

"Twitter had suspended the account of a journalist for posting the email address of an NBC executive in a series of tweets critical of the network's coverage of the 2012 London Olympics Games. Now, it's been revealed that Twitter itself took the initiative in alerting NBC of the hostile tweets, suggesting they file a report and detailing the process for doing so."

"Guy Adams, a reporter with the U.K.-based The Independent, called NBC "utter, utter..."

Alan: Bar stewards. You know, you get these stewards that work in bars.

"...accused them of "money-grabbing," and posted the email address of a top NBC executive. It was sharing that email address that supposedly ran afoul of Twitter's (vague) rules, despite the fact that the email is publicly available elsewhere. But according to NBC, they weren't actively monitoring Twitter for critical tweets. In fact it was actually Twitter who alerted NBC."

Alan: So they're policing it for sure. And that's pretty well done, isn't it?

Also in the States you find:

"Democrats in the Senate opened debate on cybersecurity legislation Tuesday with criticism of the steadfast opposition of the US Chamber of Commerce to a bill setting voluntary standards for cybersecurity among private companies."

Alan: And there's a lot in the bill. I'll put this article up tonight as well, because of course it's not to do with companies, it's to do with all of you listening and the ones who use it. That's what it's all about. We know that too. And this other article, it says...

"The "War On Terror" Has Changed, and Not One In 1,000 Americans Has Noticed"

"In George Orwell's novel 1984, the country of Oceania has been in a war against Eurasia for years. Oceania suddenly switches sides, naming Eastasia as its enemy and making its mortal enemy, Eurasia, its new ally. The government uses propaganda to convince people that, "We've always been at war with Eastasia". The dumbed-down public doesn't even notice that they've switched sides, and blindly rallies

around Eurasia as its perennial friend and ally. The same thing is happening in real life with Al Qaeda. Western governments and mainstream media have admitted that Al Qaeda is fighting against the secular Syrian government, and that the West is supporting the Syrian opposition ... which is helping Al Qaeda. Similarly, the opposition which overthrew Libya's Gaddafi was mainly Al Qaeda ... and they now appear to be in control of Libya (and are instrumental in fighting in Syria.) The U.S. also funds terrorist groups within Iran. Of course, Al Qaeda was blamed for 9/11, and the entire decades-long "War on Terror" was premised on rooting out Al Qaeda and related groups. So the fact that we now consider Al Qaeda fighters to be allies in any way, shape or form is positively Orwellian."

Alan: And it's got all the links to the things I've just mentioned here too from other articles to back it all up.

"Remember, as Jimmy Carter's National Security Adviser admitted on CNN, we organized and supported Bin Laden and the other originators of "Al Qaeda" in the 1970s..."

Alan: And the link is here to prove it.

"...to fight the Soviets. (he also told the Senate in 2007 that the war on terror is "a mythical historical narrative".) As professor of strategy at the Naval War College and former National Security Agency intelligence analyst and counterintelligence officer John R. Schindler documents, the U.S. supported Bin Laden and other Al Qaeda terrorists in Bosnia. But obviously we lost control and they turned against us ... and then it took us years to hunt down and kill Bin Laden. Right?"

Alan: But then they go into the fact that they actually let Bin go. Bin Laden moved out of Afghanistan long ago. And they tracked him all the way, France did, so did the U.S., and all the links are here to back it up. You see, you live in a fiction, a fiction, very clever, scientifically controlled, mind you. And you believe what you're told. Mind you, you're having lots of fun. Lots and lots of fun. Isn't that what it's all about?

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight, and may your God or your Gods go with you.



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

Now, as I say, you've got to understand the past. You've got to understand the big organizations that really were international money-lenders, they lent to nations, got together in the 1800's and they talked about fomenting wars, right down to world wars eventually, which they said would bring everyone to their knees and they would come forward with a sort of United Nations idea to bring the world under one big governmental rule. But they also wanted trading blocs too to compete with each other; you've got to have competition in commerce and of course that's what we are— we're the sheep, they're the shepherds and they live off the sheep, so you've got to have us all competing with each other and they amass the profits, naturally. So you're living through what they say is a very "practical" system (for themselves of course) and they want to go on into the future and they've got academia on board with them. They have massive marketing companies that have the best propaganda specialists on the planet working with them; they're interchangeable with the military ones. In fact, they use a lot of their marketers with the military, these private organizations like the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the Council on Foreign Relations, and there's really a seamless door between military, big-business, international banking, and the Royal Institute of International Affairs— no doubt about it.

Last week I read an article from Britain where we saw all of these fostered, founded, well-funded organizations that are causing the strife across the Middle East: professional agitators, but at the top you've got professional spies— all working for the private sector.

Back after this....

Hi folks, I'm back. We're Cutting Through the Matrix. And, this world that we live in truly is full of nothing but propaganda, right down to your local police station; they all have a PR specialist just for that purpose. And public relations, of course, they have courses in it for politicians; all politicians take courses in it and whenever they get a poignant question put at them that they don't want to answer, they're told to just imagine the question you would've liked to have been asked and that's why they waffle on and waffle on and never answer the question that's asked. So, what's the point in having them? What's the point in having the media even ask them the questions? Why go through this farce every time? But they do, because most folk never really catch on that it's all a farce anyway. And propaganda in the world of marketing, completely mixed with intelligence and counter-intelligence for forces, as I say, and the best

ones go back and forth all the time between big-business and the militaries and MI-6, CIA, Mossad and all the rest of them.

And you find articles like this one; and this is out of the Ynet news in Israel and it talks about Donald Rumsfeld. Now Donald Rumsfeld, of course, belonged to the Project for the New American Century which had a whole list of countries, including Syria, to take out, Iraq and Afghanistan and a whole bunch of them, and they've done it all, basically. And, of course, Obama is just following in their footsteps because it doesn't matter who you vote for— there's only one agenda. And that's also what Quigley and others who worked for the guys behind all this said—there's only one agenda. So, once in a while when the public get fed up with the same face you vote in a new face, but they're all members of the Council on Foreign Relations in America and the Royal Institute of International Affairs in Britain and they have the same organization across the whole planet now, in every single country. Now, this is what Rumsfeld says, supposedly, and of course it's a game, even announcing this is a strategy game to get support on board, to also predict what's going to happen (predictive programming) and also to frighten their target. And it says....

Rumsfeld: Israel needn't notify US about strike

(Alan: Now why is a guy who is still involved in politics, obviously, being allowed to shoot his mouth off? You see, this is all strategy, as I say. So, it says...)

Former US defense secretary agrees with PM Netanyahu on lack of efficient sanctions on Iran; says 'Israel's intelligence on Iran is excellent, all Israelis need to do is delay them'

(A: So it says...)

While US Defense Secretary Leon Panetta attempted to convince top Israeli politicians and security officials not to launch a strike on Iran's nuclear facilities during his visit to Israel last week, his predecessor Donald Rumsfeld, seems more prepared for a possible attack.

In an interview with Fox News, the former US Defense Secretary said "the prime minister of Israel, Netanyahu, is probably correct. Sanctions tend not to work very well over a long period of time."

Rumsfeld, who served as secretary of defense during both the Ford and Bush administrations, told Fox that "Israel's intelligence on Iran is excellent." He further denied the claims that an attack on Iran's nuclear facilities would not yield any results.

"I don't think that Israel has to destroy all of Iran's nuclear capability," said Rumsfeld adding that "Iran is a sophisticated country. They must have deeply buried sites."

(A: "They must have," he says...)

And I'm sure the Israelis know precisely what they currently have."

"All the Israelis need to do is delay them," the Republican official said, clarifying that Israel "wouldn't need to destroy Iran's facilities 100 percent, like they were able to do in Iraq or in Syria."

(A: Well, Iraq didn't have nuclear facilities, you know. It doesn't matter though. It says...)

Rumsfeld further criticized the pattern of leaks emerging from White House concerning Iran

(A: So, here's Rumsfeld criticizing the patterns of leaks coming from the U.S. White House, right. So who has allowed him to open his mouth on all this stuff here? He says...)

and said that "If I were in the Israeli government, I don't think I would notify the United States government of any intent to do anything about Iran.

(A: So he's telling Israel, keep it all secret. What about this joint partnership, hands across the sea stuff they've been on about and the treaties they've signed? So he's telling Israel to ignore the U.S. Just take all the information you get from the U.S., and all the money, but don't tell the U.S. what you're up to— this is what he's saying here.)

So my guess is, given the pattern of leaks out of the White House, that any prime minister of Israel would not call the United States and give clear intentions as to what they plan to do."

While in Israel last week, Panetta assured both the prime minister and Defense Minister Ehud Barak that the US would act when it becomes clear that military intervention in Iran is unavoidable.

During his visit, Panetta echoed President Barack Obama's position that currently the international community should focus on curbing Iran's nuclear ambitions through harsh sanctions rather than considering a military strike.

Well, why don't they just go along with the suggestions they've had out of Iran and go back to the International Atomic Commission going in themselves and inspecting it like they've been doing all along and said there's nothing, there's no weapons-grade material here? See, the truth doesn't matter anymore; it's the intention. The intention is to take over every single country across there and have other people, from outside, move in (with names that will blend in, sort of thing) and they'll run the countries just like they do everywhere else— that's what it's all about folks. That's what all this is about. And to terrify, of course, those in Iran as well. So, everything is coordinated, even when he is allowed to run his mouth off and criticize the White House, etcetera, etcetera.

Now, China is our most-favored-nation trading status country, supposedly, to do with this very old system that Britain was bringing in in the 1800's to do with world empire. And remember too, the Royal Institute of International Affairs said that they model the core bodies, those that have already joined this free-trade system, into this world empire. And China came in big time because we funded them to come in; we gave them the factories through the World Trade Organization. We trained their engineers for thirty years in the West (same in Canada even) before they even had factories to go home to; it was all planned a long time ago— that's how the world really works. And then when they signed the WTO our own governments funded big corporations (and small ones) to uproot and move wholesale to China, which they did— and we funded them to do it; our tax money paid for it all, you see. You understand, in this world there's not going to be such a thing as free-trade, it's only "authorized trade only"— and that's the big boys. Anyway, in China, which is awfully corrupt... Mind you, it's no more corrupt, they just haven't got the sort of finesse they have in Western Countries; Britain is very good at corruption because they have tremendous ways to put it off and haw-haw it down to "oh, that's ridiculous" and that's all they have to do. And in the U.S. it's pretty well in the open (corruption) and there's a bit of hand slapping once in a while but not much is done about it. And as the countries go on towards this great system, of course, of world government, you'll see more and more corruption, which is the norm and it will become even more so the norm. And the public themselves will accept it because they themselves are now corrupted through all the indoctrinations that they've had and what they watch for entertainment. But it says...

In China, the rich and powerful can hire body doubles to do their prison time for them.

In May 2009, a wealthy 20-year-old was drag racing through the city streets of Hangzhou, China, when his Mitsubishi struck and killed a pedestrian in a crosswalk. The car was traveling so fast that the victim—a 25-year-old telecom engineer of a modest, rural background—was flung at least 20 yards. Afterward, bystanders and reporters photographed the driver, Hu Bin, as well as his rich friends, who nonchalantly smoked cigarettes and laughed while waiting for the police to arrive at the scene.

These images, soon posted online, provoked a public outcry. Anger over the callous behavior of these wealthy Chinese youths was followed by accusations of a police cover-up. First, the local authorities admitted that they had underestimated the speed Hu's vehicle was traveling by half. (Incredibly, the police had first suggested that Hu was going no more than 43 mph.) Public furor rose again when Hu received a three-year prison sentence, an exceptionally light punishment in a country where drunk drivers guilty of similar accidents can receive the death penalty.

(A: Well, it depends who you are in China and if your daddy is way up in the politburo. Because they're communist still, remember; it's this strange communist/capitalist system.)

But the most stunning allegation was that the man appearing in court and serving the three-year sentence wasn't Hu at all, but a hired body double.

The charge isn't as far-fetched as it may sound. The practice of hiring "body doubles" or "stand-ins" is well-documented by official Chinese media. In 2009, a hospital president who caused a deadly traffic accident hired an employee's father to "confess" and serve as his stand-in. A company chairman is

currently charged with allegedly arranging criminal substitutes for the executives of two other companies. In another case, after hitting and killing a motorcyclist, a man driving without a license hired a substitute for roughly \$8,000. The owner of a demolition company that illegally demolished a home earlier this year hired a destitute man, who made his living scavenging in the rubble of razed homes, and promised him \$31 for each day the “body double” spent in jail. In China, the practice is so common that there is even a term for it: ding zui. Ding means “substitute,” and zui means “crime”; in other words, “substitute criminal.”

The ability to hire so-called substitute criminals is just one way in which China’s extreme upper crust are able to live by their own set of rules.

(A: By the way, the uppercrust can also have as many children as they want; they just pay a little fee or a fine because, you see, they really believe in eugenics and so on over in China. They’re more open about it. And after all, what it means is those who are highly successful become awfully rich and they become important so, obviously, their genes are better than the ones down going through the rubble— like that guy who did the stand-in. It says...)

While Occupy Wall Street grabbed attention for its attacks on the “1 percent,” in China, a much smaller fraction of the country controls an even greater amount of wealth. The top one-tenth of 1 percent in China controls close to half of the country’s riches.

(A: Yeah. You know, they were there long before it became Communist, by the way; there was a whole section laid aside for them, but that’s another story.)

The children and relatives of China’s rulers, many of whom grew up together, form a thicket of mutually beneficial relationships, with many able to enrich themselves financially and, if necessary, gain

protection from criminal allegations.

A police officer in central China agreed to discuss the phenomenon of “replacement convicts” with me so long as I didn’t refer to him by name. “America has the rule of law, but China has the rule of people,” the police officer told me. “If somebody is powerful, there’s a good chance they can make this happen. Spend some money and remain free.” According to the police officer, hired stand-ins are “not common but not rare either.” As examples, the officer listed several high-ranking mafia figures whose underlings serve time in their stead. The mafia cares for the substitute’s family and pays a bonus for the time served.

So, you know, there’s always a way to do it and that’s how they get the driving licenses in Canada by the way; they send them over, guys over before the big boys come in and then when he arrives he’s got a driving license and everything.

Back with more after this...

Hi folks, I’m back Cutting Through the Matrix. And, from China to Australia, there’s a good article about how the councils have gone green, and kind of wild at that and how they’re really hammering people who have even holiday trailer parks and things like that as well; and I’ll put this article up tonight; it says...

We must weed out the councils gone wild green

(A: And it's the same system of course in the U.S., Canada and everywhere else because these characters that run the big wilding projects and the greening projects have grafted themselves on to all councils and they were highly funded to do it; they have all the right connections to do it because this is a must-be situation – must-be across the world, that's what I call them – because it's written in stone and that's how it's going to go and nothing is going to stop it from happening. It gives you a...)

...case study of what is wrong with the O'Farrell government.

Just across the Richmond River from Ballina's Big Prawn, Bernard and Rikki Grinberg run a caravan park on eight pretty hectares behind South Ballina beach.

They have ploughed their retirement savings into restoring an old camping ground into a low-key, affordable, family-friendly eco-resort.

But now, like property owners all over NSW [New South Wales], their livelihood is under threat, as green-dominated councils use a new statewide planning template effectively to "sterilise" land of human influence.

(A: See they don't want you there.)

The Grinberg's Ballina Beach Village has been rezoned from a recreational zone to the environmentally sensitive category of E2, which is the next stage down from a national park, and forbids tourist activity.

(A: So here you have something to bring in the tourists and they just at the stroke of a pen decide to downgrade you and it forbids tourist activity.)

While, technically, they are allowed to keep operating their eco-resort under an “existing uses” clause, the reality is the opposite.

Now, every time they want to change anything, whether it is to use crockery at their kiosk, hire a singer to play in their piano bar, renovate the interior of an old shed to turn it into a yoga studio, even trim a branch off a termite-infested tree that might fall on a tent, they have to submit a development application and prove to Ballina Shire Council they are not “intensifying” the use of their land.

The effect is that their thriving business is becoming unviable.

You should see all the things they were told to do; even bring in a car wash and things like this just to try and bankrupt you. But it's land theft, and they actually call it that themselves, “land theft,” and “green bureaucracy” because this is how they're going to get folk off the land and cram you all into the cities as we all die off up to the year 2050— that's the big number, apparently. And they'll go on with their brave new world then and rewild it all and that will be that— because that is the agenda, folks. And most folk, again, are not interested in who their council members are or how they're even selected; you understand, council members, even in Canada, are selected by certain people, generally at a little charitable organization (that's what they call themselves.) And they join all the boards of philanthropy around them, if you want to get up the ladder, even in your local council or even to get into it. And you'll eventually meet the right guy who takes you out on the golf club, tells you how it really works and he'll suss you out to see if you have all the politically correct ideas in your head; if you don't you won't get into it. So you end up getting all the greenies in because this is an agenda and that's how they actually choose them.

Now, IBM... IBM is heavily involved with this whole world agenda in so many different areas that the public are unaware of. And they have their "smarter cities" idea; you've probably all read about that, I'm sure. And it says they're going after all healthcare to do with it too. It says...

IBM Smarter Cities Software To Play A Key Role In The Creation Of Minnesota's Health Insurance Exchange

(A: So, it says...)

IBM today announced that it will support the design and development of a statewide health insurance exchange in the State of Minnesota. As a subcontractor to MAXIMUS, the IBM Curam solution will serve as the platform for the health insurance exchange.

The IBM Curam solution, part of IBM's Smarter Cities portfolio, was designed to meet the unique requirements of government administered social programs.

(A: So they're going to take over all social programs through all their software and all their engineers.)

With the help of this platform, the State can improve statewide health insurance options and enable citizens to determine eligibility for expanded Medicaid coverage and tax credits for various insurance plans. The solution incorporates new income standards and manages the capture and storage of IRS income data, streamlining the eligibility and verification process for citizens.

(A: Public-Private, Public-Private, you see? They're working with governments and interrelated with the IRS, they're merged with them, in a sense; and this is the new world order— feudal system, Quigley said, and that's what it is: it's a new feudal system where the CEOs of big giant corporations will be your new feudal overlords. Well, it's all here. It's all here. It says...)

In addition to Minnesota's current initiative, IBM's integrated eligibility platform is capable of supporting any or all of the state's health and human services programs in the future.

"This contract is a significant milestone in the design and development of a Minnesota health insurance exchange,"...

Etcetera, etcetera. So, get used to seeing this across the whole of the US and Canada too because they're already involved in it all. I'll put this link up tonight; it's quite lengthy. You can read through it for yourselves, and also, there's a PDF on the company Curam (which they also own) that's involved with it; it's a subsidiary of IBM— Curam software is what they call it. A nice smiling face on the front, a woman with a stethoscope hanging around her neck, so I guess that's a doctor— they never use them nowadays but that's their status symbol— and smiling of course, as they hand over a little sheet of paper to you, which is probably your bill. I'll put this link up tonight as well and it's called "Curam For Health Care Reform," for those who are interested in how things are going, it's a good thing to look into because it will tie-in with ObamaCare too.

And, of course next up after this break will be chemotherapy: how they've suddenly changed their minds about it.

Back with more after this...

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through the Matrix, and talking about chemotherapy. Now, it's always been highly controversial because we know the big Rockefeller companies started this off a long time ago and they were into the chemical industries—all the byproducts of their oil industries. In fact, really, the Rockefellers and the big foundations created the medical health association system for the whole of the U.S. and the training and everything else. And at the end of life, of course, it's awfully lucrative because people spend everything and even mortgage their homes, and their relatives', just to try and keep alive. So, the biggest crooks are always there at the end, and it goes for alternative methods too by the way; the crooks, just that's where they are, where folk are terrified and they're panicked and they're looking for something to help them. So anyway, it's so strange that after all these years of self-evidence by just watching what happens to people going through treatment by chemotherapy and the denials that it harms the patient, that it's good for them and so on, suddenly they just about-face— suddenly. Things don't happen suddenly in medicine unless some big orders come from the top and there's something else going on. Maybe they'll just give us the "happy pills" to get rid of us at the end: here's a little pill, take that and your worries are over— and it will be awfully cheap. Anyway...

Chemotherapy 'can make cancers more resistant to treatment and even encourage them to grow'

(A: And it's from the Mail Online. It says...)

Chemotherapy may affect healthy cells surrounding cancer cells

(A: Well they know that.)

Research suggests that some forms of cancer treatment can make the disease tougher to tackle

Chemotherapy treatment for some cancers may actually encourage tumours to grow, researchers have claimed.

The treatment triggers the healthy body cells around the tumour to produce a protein that helps the disease to resist treatment.

The surprise discovery suggests that some forms of the cancer treatment are doing more harm than good.

(A: And it says that...)

Scientists believe the effect is caused by the impact of chemotherapy drugs on healthy connective tissue cells called fibroblasts.

In lab experiments they found the drugs caused DNA damage which made fibroblasts pump out 30 times more of a protein than normal.

(A: And then it says...)

This protein encouraged prostate tumours to grow and spread into surrounding tissue, as well as to resist chemotherapy.

'Cancer cells inside the body live in a very complex environment or neighbourhood,' said lead scientist Dr Peter Nelson, from the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Centre in Seattle, U.S.

'Where the tumour cell resides and who its neighbours are influence its response and resistance to therapy.'

Blocking the treatment response of fibroblasts could improve the effectiveness of chemotherapy, say the scientists whose findings are reported in the journal Nature Medicine.

The team examined cancer cells from prostate, breast and ovarian cancer patients who had been treated with chemotherapy.

Professor Fran Balkwill, from Cancer Research UK, said that this finding ties in with other research that has shown that 'cancer treatments don't just affect cancer cells, but can also target cells around tumours'.

This effect can sometimes be a positive one, Professor Balkwill said, as is the case when chemotherapy stimulates healthy immune cells to attack tumours nearby.

'But this work confirms that healthy cells surrounding the tumour can also help the tumour to become resistant to treatment. The next step is to find ways to target these resistance mechanisms to help make chemotherapy more effective'

So what they want to do is basically turn off your immune system, which chemotherapy usually does too, plus radiation. So, understand, there's a lot of cancer articles out right now because this is grant time. All these guys live their whole lives long on big, big grants, you see, and this is grant time so they generally recycle stuff that's already known and hoping to get renewal of grants.

Now, another article too. It says...

Govt May Now Collect, Catalog, and Store All Private Information

(A: Nobody cares about this anymore; look at all the cell phones out there, the tweets and all the rest of it.)

Imagine that the U.S. government had the power to scour the reams of public records and collect and collate every bit of personal information about every citizen of this country. Now imagine that any of the various intelligence and security agencies within the government could combine that data with any other information about a person that has been posted to a social media website or compiled by one of the many data aggregating companies that keep tabs on all of us. Finally, imagine that all this data could be passed among these agencies and that the ability of anyone inside or outside the government to challenge this surveillance was all but eliminated.

Sadly, this is not the description of some fictitious dystopian future; this is the factual description of present-day America and it's about to get much worse.

In March Attorney General Eric Holder, in cooperation with National Counterterrorism Center head Matthew Olsen and Director of National Intelligence James Clapper, significantly accelerated this move toward abolishing privacy by approving a new list of guidelines for how

(A: And they've got the list here by the way and the link to it. I'll put these up tonight at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. It says...)

for how long U.S. government agencies tasked with combating international and domestic "terrorism" may retain the data they collect and store. Basically, this information may be saved even if it contains no connection to criminal activity whatsoever.

(A: Well, they're doing it on everybody— everybody. Because you've got to be predictable in their wonderful new utopia.)

According to the new regulations, the National Counterterrorism Center (NCTC) (headquartered at the Liberty Crossing complex in McLean, Virginia) can store and "continually assess" this information "for a period of up to five years." Before the promulgation of these new guidelines, the NCTC was under instructions to destroy "promptly" (typically defined to mean within 180 days) this cache of material gathered from U.S. citizens if there was nothing related to terrorism found in it.

Speaking fondly of the new time restraints, Paul Rosenzweig, a former official at the Department of Homeland Security, was quoted in the Washington Post saying:

Five years is a reasonable time frame. I certainly think 180 days was way too short. That's just not a realistic understanding of how long it takes analysts to search large data sets for relevant information.

As expected, such an extraordinary expansion of the power of the federal government over private information and communications of citizens not suspected of committing a crime has riled up the segment of our Republic concerned with the rapid repeal of our civil liberties.

So, it's just ramping up itself, as you well know, and there's no end to this; it's what's to be expected. When they even gave you the first computer they'd already decided on that, long before they even gave you the internet, in fact. That's how it's supposed to go.

And, the guy who helped set up Apple has come out and he's making a bit of a stink about the lack of all privacy, etcetera, and what happens. First of all there's one to do with how a hacker wiped-out a guy's life, basically, an Apple cloud burst they call it. The cloud is being used by big governments and everybody else now.

Mat Honan was powerless to prevent the attack on his iCloud account but there are steps organisations could be taking to protect their clients' data...

What would you do if your entire digital life started evaporating before your eyes and there was virtually nothing you could do about it?

(A: It says...)

"I really worry about everything going to the cloud ... I think there are going to be a lot of horrible problems in the next five years."

(A: That's what the co-founder, Steve Wozniak, said.)

This is the nightmare scenario that greeted US technology journalist Mat Honan, who had all of the contents of his iPhone, iPad and Macbook Air wiped, and lost control of his Gmail and Twitter accounts, all in the span of just over 15 minutes.

'It's been a shitty night' ... Mat Honan has recounted how his web world fell apart.

And the scariest part is that he had a strong, seven-digit alphanumeric password. Apple has confirmed to Honan that its own tech support staff provided the hacker entry into his online world via a bit of clever social engineering.

(A: So Apple is actually helping the hackers to hack you. So...)

Several others have reported similar stories of Apple handing access to their accounts over to hackers. Security experts say it is “very concerning” that Apple’s staff could be so easily tricked, while even Apple co-founder Steve Wozniak believes the move to cloud computing will create “horrendous” problems in the next five years.

It all snowballed after the hacker gained access to Honan’s account on iCloud, an Apple service that allows users to keep all of their files backed up in the online “cloud”, to trace stolen Apple devices and even to wipe them remotely if they fall into the wrong hands.

Cloud computing is becoming increasingly popular as users warm to the idea accessing all their data from any device. The technology is also attractive to companies, which can store huge amounts of data offsite, with much lower costs and no hardware to maintain.

Once the hacker gained access to Honan’s iCloud account, he or she was able to reset his password, before sending the confirmation email to the trash. Since Honan’s Gmail is linked to his .mac email address, the hacker was also able to reset his Gmail password by sending a password recovery email to his .mac address.

Minutes later, the hacker used iCloud to wipe Honan’s iPhone, iPad and Macbook Air remotely. Since the hacker had access to his email accounts, it was effortless to access Honan’s other online accounts such as Twitter.

In a blog post published at the weekend, Honan said he was playing with his daughter when his phone suddenly went dead and rebooted to the set-up screen.

So, it's becoming more insecure and part of it too is that the big companies, you see, are also selling so much of your data to supposed all different institutions like universities and, again, marketers, etcetera, to do research on you, but of course hackers get in there amongst them and they get all the data given to them, gratis basically, and to your detriment.

Now, Apple's co-founder, as I said, made a grim warning about the Cloud...

Steve Wozniak says the cloud isn't the best place to store our data.

Steve Wozniak, who co-founded Apple with the late Steve Jobs, predicted "horrible problems" in the coming years as cloud-based computing takes hold.

Wozniak, 61, was the star turn at the penultimate performance in Washington of The Agony and the Ecstasy of Steve Jobs, monologist Mike Daisey's controversial two-hour expose of Apple's labor conditions in China.

(A: Anyway, it says...)

In a post-performance dialogue with Daisey and audience members, Wozniak held forth on topics as varied as public education (he once did a stint as a school teacher) and reality TV (having appeared on Dancing with the Stars).

But the engineering wizard behind the progenitor of today's personal computer, the Apple II, was most outspoken on the shift away from hard disks towards uploading data into remote servers, known as cloud computing.

"I really worry about everything going to the cloud," he said. "I think it's going to be horrendous. I think there are going to be a lot of horrible problems in the next five years."

He added: "With the cloud, you don't own anything.

(A: You don't own anything.)

You already signed it away" through the legalistic terms of service with a cloud provider that computer users must agree to.

"I want to feel that I own things," Wozniak said. "A lot of people feel, 'Oh, everything is really on my computer', but I say the more we transfer everything onto the web, onto the cloud, the less we're going to have control over it."

(A: Well that's the whole idea. The supergovernment is going to be in control of everything.)

Prior to Saturday at the Woolly Mammoth theatre in Washington, Daisey and Wozniak had met once before, in California after a performance of *The Agony and the Ecstasy*...

Wozniak was moved to tears, but a year later Daisey came under fire when it emerged that sections of his one-man show dealing with the Foxconn plant in China where iPhones and iPads are assembled had been fabricated.

Anyway, he's telling you straight out that it's the end of privacy and control over anything if you sign your life away; which most folk will do because it's so convenient, isn't it— just so convenient. And that's the way that they actually do it and so on.

Now, everybody's going on and on about this shooter, of course, the latest shooter. And it's interesting to see that he went to a Sikh temple. It's interesting too that he was with psy-ops and that's what he was working with for quite a few years, psychological operations within the United States for the Army, and supposedly he wasn't even stationed abroad. But they turned out a guy who obviously has problems, and who knows, they might have set him up for it too, triggered him, whatever, we just don't know. We might never know the whole story, like all these shootings you get now, you never get told very much at all, and they're already saying it's a racist thing because he belonged to some punk band and he was disgusted at the way the world was going, etcetera, etcetera. Well, I'll put some links up on this tonight. I'm sure you've all been through the same things and read them all already, but I'll put that up tonight and you can basically see what you think yourselves.

As I say, we'll be guessing on this forever because, just like the last shooting, they clamp-down on it, they keep all information quiet from the public as they go through their trials and whatever the official version will be, eventually it will come out in a few years time when no one cares and you can't prove anything or follow anything up anyway, even if you want to investigate. It says...

The gunman in the Sikh temple shooting identified as ex-Army Soldier Wade Michael Page.

(A: Again, the three names, eh; they like that. And it shows you his photographs too and all his tattoos. He had "911," of course, tattooed on one of his arms too apparently, so they say anyway— you never know what they can put on with photoshop. It says...)

A 40 year old Army veteran suspected white supremacist

(A: This is what they're saying here, a white supremacist.)

who gunned down 6 inside a Milwaukee area Sikh temple shot responding officer 8 to 9 times before he was killed by the police. Officials say the gunman who they identified as Wade Michael Page walked into the temple in Wisconsin on Sunday and opened fire with a 9mm semi-automatic handgun as several dozen people prepared for morning services.

Again, it's all weaponry here we're seeing here in the last couple of shootings because, after all, they want this world treaty put forth. You understand, the United Nations, if you go into their website, you have to look at world treaties on small arms. And I put a link up before from the 1960s where it was one of the first ones they put out to do with a world preparing for peace in a totally disarmed world population, basically. And it wanted to take all private firearms away from anyone who is not involved in the military for the country or the police of the country. And they say themselves, at the United Nations, that when they are the world government (if they're not already, that is), they and their armies, the NATO forces etcetera, will have the sole use of force; anybody else using force of any kind (that's not just firearms) is going to be illegal. Only the authorized ones by the United Nations will have the right to use force, and that means too that you can't defend yourself in any situation— that's what it means too folks; so I hope

you understand it. So anyway, as I say, I'll put up the links on this particular character, all that we do know about him, which isn't an awful lot really and we'll see how things turn out from there.

This other article did say that the...

Shooting suspect was US Army psy-ops [psychological operations] specialist: Pentagon

(A: And it says that he had worked on psychological operations...)

...the Pentagon said Monday. Wade Michael Page, who was himself shot dead by police during the incident, was a 40-year-old who had served between April 1992 and October 1998, ending his career at Fort Bragg, North Carolina. He received his basic training in Fort Sill, Oklahoma before being moved to Fort Bliss in Texas. Fort Bragg, where he finished his career, is home to many of the US Army's airborne units and its Special Operations Command.

So, it's quite interesting to see his connections. But as I say though, we won't get any further with it. And this other article here is to do, as I mentioned last week actually, to do with Syrian opposition. And last week I went through one of the people who is always the spokesperson, this Bassma Kodmani, who happens to be related to the Royal Institute of International Affairs, Council on Foreign Relations and every other big group and intelligence agencies; she's one of the main ones who have gone across the Middle East, getting the preparatory work for stirring up students and so on, for rebellion within countries so that we can say "oh, they're clamping down on their own people; we've got to intervene." And she's been to the Bilderberger meetings too. Well, this next one here is to do with the next guy; he's Radwan Ziadeh...

Another often quoted SNC representative is Radwan Ziadeh – director of foreign relations at the Syrian National Council. Ziadeh has an impressive CV: he's a senior fellow at the federally funded Washington thinktank, the US Institute of Peace...

These are the guys who are behind the wars.

Back with more after this...

Hi folks, I'm back. And rather than go through all the CIA boys and Mossad boys and MI-6 boys that are behind all these jihads, etcetera, and their massive armies of specially trained people from the West, I'll go to the callers; there are some callers here. Is Daniel from the UK hanging on there? Are you there Daniel? Hello Daniel.

Daniel: I just wanted to ask you about, you know, Brzezinski came out with that opinion. He said that we'll get our opinions from the media and we won't be able to form our own opinions. You've said that a few times. Did he get that from this bloke called Dobrogaev?

Alan: From who?

Daniel: Dobrogaev, some psychologist. I'm reading a book called "Rape of the Mind", by a chap called A. M. Meerloo. Have you heard of it?

Alan: Yeah. They use that book and a lot of other books to do with from behaviorists and today there are a lot more scientists involved in neuro-linguistics and psycho-linguistics, etcetera, but when Brzezinski said that he said that shortly the people will be unable to come to their own conclusions; their conclusions will be formulated for them; they'll simply follow the media and whatever the media said, that would become their conclusion on any particular topic. And he said that's all they'll be able to talk about, is what we give them to talk about— well, that's already happened. He said that thirty-odd years ago.

Daniel: Well this book is from 1956 and it quotes this chap Dobrogaev who is some Russian psychologist, but it comes out with a paragraph here. Would I be able to read this out and you can tell me what you think?

Alan: Yeah, go ahead...

Daniel: It says: 'Pavlov had already explained that man's relation to the external world, and to his fellow men, is dominated by secondary stimuli, the speech symbols. Man learns to think in words and in the speech figures given him, and these gradually condition his entire outlook on life and on the world. As Dobrogaev says, "Language is the means of man's adaptation to his environment." We could rephrase that statement in this way: man's need for communication with his fellow men interferes with his relation to the outside world, because language and speech itself the verbal tools we use are variable and not objective. Dobrogaev continues: "Speech manifestations represent conditioned reflex functions of the human brain." In a simpler way we may say: he who dictates and formulates the words and phrases we use, he who is master of the press and radio, is master of the mind. In the Pavlovian strategy, terrorizing force can finally be replaced by a new organization of the means of communication. Ready made opinions can be distributed day by day through press, radio, and so on, again and again, till they reach the nerve cell...'

Alan: It's repetition. Repetition is key, and also having all media on board with the same terminology, the same buzzwords, the phrases that they use on the same topics, and that's a form of psychic driving— it

implants and embeds in our minds, like “weapons of mass destruction.” We all remember them all saying that over and over and over. And so that is the key to it, but even Bernays talked about this too; they appeal through language to the subconscious and unconscious parts of people and they motivate all the driving instincts you have inside you. They can turn you off of a topic or turn you on to a topic quite easily, just as they can turn you on to a product to buy or turn you off on a competitor’s product. So, it’s all to do with language and the conclusions because your mind will follow the terminology and it’s written in such a way that your mind is guided to the conclusion at the end of it. So you’re quite right; it’s a very simple technique of course, but now they own all the media and they’re all on board and saying exactly the same handouts that they’re given from the Pentagon or wherever and that’s how it’s done. It’s repetition, standardized news—quite right. Thanks for calling though.

From Hamish and myself, from Ontario Canada, it’s goodnight and may your God, or your Gods, go with you.

October 23, 2025 at 9:46 am

[EDIT](#) | [MOVE](#) | [SPLIT](#) | [TRASH](#) | [SPAM](#) | [UNAPPROVE](#) | [REPLY](#) [#1634](#)



[Alan](#)
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

And remember straight donations are awfully welcome in these so-called austere times because it’s much more austere in Canada, as inflation is a lot higher here than it is in the U.S. and elsewhere. But then, we’re avant-garde, you see, we’re really under the thumb, we’ve never had a constitution really as such in Canada; we were given something by Trudeau, who was a Communist, it’s all admitted now of course, after the fact, but remember too the big organization that he worked for also said they didn’t mind working with Communists, fascists, dictators, whatever, they’d work with them all to bring in this world society and that’s what they’ve used up to the present time. And I’m talking about the Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA) and so on, and the Council on Foreign Relations is the other part of it across the whole world. Every government in the world has a department and a head office that puts their politicians into office and they have put their own head politicians in for Prime Ministers and Presidents for a hundred years. Every single one, and that’s not from me, that’s from their own historian Professor Carroll Quigley, who was their historian at the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR).

You’re living through a planned agenda. It’s very hard for folk to remember that, you’re living through a planned agenda, because you’re deluged with media and trivia and semi-interesting stories every day of the week, you see, and you tend to lose track of the basic agenda as it stands, who’s behind it. They’ve

been behind all the wars for the last hundred years and all the social changes, same people running the show today.

Back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and we're back Cutting Through the Matrix. I've mentioned so many times about how this organization came into being. The RIIA, as they call it, in Britain, came out of the Milner Group that combined with the Cecil Rhodes foundation and with Lord Rothschild too and they started wars in the late 1800's. I'm sure there were organizations before them under different names that did all the other wars prior to that, but they started the Boer War, for instance, and they even sent their own mercenaries over to attack the Boers and then of course they had even had a person from the Times working for them because they owned the Times newspaper, this particular group, who wrote back to London that the Boers had attacked and were wiping out the British settlers; that's how they got the Boer War started. That's all admitted to now, a whole people were accused falsely of starting a war and the false flags they call it today. They got the British army to come in of course, as they always do, that's what armies are for, to look after economic situations, and they took over what they wanted which was the gold and diamond mines and everything else.

And they've done this of course. Even when they were doing that they were working in Germany – today we'd call it a color revolution – undermining society in Germany because they didn't want Germany rising up to be a power that would also trade across the world and be powerful, so they wanted to have a war with Germany and they worked for 12 or 14 years to start the war, World War I with Germany, behind the scenes. That's all in their own records, their own histories told by their own historian and then they built up for World War II by funding and setting up the I.G. Farben foundation, an umbrella group of big business corporations from the West and that's what gave Adolf Hitler his big machine. They also set up the Communist system too, made sure money flowed through them to the Communist system, because without conflict, you understand, you can't get the change that you've planned for. You've got to have at least two sides at all times in a conflict to get the resolution that you want and that's how they do it. Even during World War II this society, that's worldwide as I say, they were traveling around different countries as European countries were liberated except for the ones that given over to the Communists. By the way it was the RIIA that set up the meeting with Winston Churchill and Stalin and Roosevelt at the Yalta

conference, during the war, where they divvied up Europe said we'll give Poland, we'll give you this, we'll give you that country and that was all agreed to before the war's ending.

Immensely powerful organization; as I say they've given you every Prime Minister and President since the late 1800's and they haven't stopped there because during the war and after the war they traveled through Europe and they had already set up plans to basically destroy the nationalist qualities of Japan and Germany and they published their books on it in fact, of how they would do it and technically they'd emasculate the males, they'd also give them no history in Germany prior to being liberated as they want to call it at the end of World War II, similar to Japan too. And they took away the male type culture that they had, until the guys in Japan are utterly screwed up today. They're buying rubber dolls for God's sake because they can't talk to women.

As they were doing all that they also talked about the West and most folk wouldn't think about it at the time, why would we all, the victors, have the same things done on us? Well you see to conquer the world you conquer everybody in the world, the same ones that you use, no different from Japan and Germany. And of course that's where we are today with this; we're going through the last few countries in the Middle East to destroy those cultures, bring central banking systems in because money is one of the keys of maintaining power and control over the public. It's actually easier to use money than armies because when you've conditioned the public that they need it, it stops right there; they can't think of doing without it, even to get their freedom back, so they just go along with whatever like slaves until the end.

This article here I've mentioned before and it's so important because with all the things that are happening today, you understand, the article I'm going to read here, I've mentioned before about Syria and all the organizations that have been funded from this West, this Anglo-American opposition and the guy's right on with the Anglo-American because Quigley also wrote a book called "The Anglo-American Establishment" and this organization that was already fomenting wars before WWII, during WWII, after WWII, right up to the present time. Massive amounts of money are put into training millions of people for uprisings, in every country, if need be.

It says:

This is the story of the Syrian war, but there is another story to be told. A tale less bloody, but nevertheless important. This is a story about the storytellers: the spokespeople,

(A) You know the guys they bring out on television as soon as something starts or before it starts. Suddenly there's experts on Syria, he says.

the "experts on Syria", the "democracy activists"

(A) As they call themselves.

The statement makers. The people who "urge" and "warn" and "call for action".

(A) "We got to do something."

It's a tale about some of the most quoted members of the Syrian opposition and their connection to the Anglo-American opposition creation business. The mainstream news media have, in the main, been remarkably passive when it comes to Syrian sources: billing them simply as "official spokesmen" or "pro-democracy campaigners"

(A) We hear this all the time, we hear all the different titles they've got and organizations, but nobody says: who's funding them? Well it's one head funding them all. It says:

without, for the most part, scrutinizing their statements, their backgrounds or their political connections.

It's important to stress: to investigate the background of a Syrian spokesperson is not to doubt the sincerity of his or her opposition to Assad. But a passionate hatred of the Assad regime is no guarantee of independence. Indeed, a number of key figures in the Syrian opposition movement are long-term exiles who were receiving US government funding to undermine the Assad government long before the Arab spring broke out.

(A) Well they were doing that in all the countries across the Middle East, as they're still doing that of course in Iran. They did it in Europe too, with the Orange Revolution; remember that one? They fly them all over the world, you know thousands and thousands of protestors and they also send them into universities to start spreading the word there and getting it ready for uprisings. You have no idea of the work that goes into all of this, but it's the biggest spy agency on the planet and it's private.

Though it is not yet stated US government policy to oust Assad by force, these spokespeople are vocal advocates of foreign military intervention in Syria and thus natural allies of well-known US neoconservatives who supported Bush's invasion of Iraq and are now pressuring the Obama administration to intervene. As we will see, several of these spokespeople have found support, and in some cases developed long and lucrative relationships with advocates of military intervention on both sides of the Atlantic.

"The sand is running out of the hour glass," said Hillary Clinton on Sunday. So, as the fighting in Syria intensifies, and Russian warships set sail for Tartus, it's high time to take a closer look at those who are

speaking out on behalf of the Syrian people.

(A) Now I've mentioned before the Syrian National Council and I've mentioned too Bassma Kodmani who seems to be at the head of this, she's obviously trained by MI6, probably Mossad, MI6 and CIA, they're all the same today folks. They've been the same since WWII by the way, all of them, interwoven, there's no difference, but of course she's the big one who attends the Bilderberg Conference, member of the Council on Foreign Relations, member of the Royal Institute of International Affairs, all the big organizations that have been at this for a hundred years overthrowing governments and regimes. Then you scroll down, on this link and I'll put it up tonight too, and the guy does a good job of explaining what the CFR is, Council on Foreign Relations.

The CFR is an elite US foreign policy thinktank...

(A) It's more than a think-tank, it puts its members in as your Presidents, that's the only ones you're allowed to elect, you see, they're vetted by the CFR, they put their own guys in, across the world, including the European ones as well. For the whole of the European project and amalgamation. Now it says here, when you scroll down to it, it's really well done:

Radwan Ziadeh

Another often quoted SNC representative is Radwan Ziadeh – director of foreign relations at the Syrian National Council. Ziadeh has an impressive CV: he's a senior fellow at the federally funded Washington think-tank, the US Institute of Peace

(A) It's another front of the same organization.

(the USIP Board of Directors is packed with alumni of the defense department and the national security council; its president is Richard Solomon, former adviser to Kissinger at the NSC).

(A) Everybody is awfully important at the top that manage all these thousands of Rebels you see; and then it says:

Ziadeh is a relentless organiser, a blue-chip Washington insider with links to some of the most powerful establishment thinktanks. Ziadeh's connections extend all the way to London. In 2009 he became a visiting fellow at Chatham House,

(A) That's the headquarters for the Royal Institute of International Affairs. Chatham House, by the way, when WWII broke out was still the private membership of this already private spy club for the British Empire. They were the British Empire by the way and they turned the House into the OSS, the precursor of the CIA and MI6. That was the headquarters; see, they are at the top, they're above the CIA and they're above MI6. They put their lower members down as the heads of MI6 and the CIA. And it says:

in June of last year he featured on the panel at one of their events – “Envisioning Syria's Political Future”

(A) This is the headquarters of the world's premier overthrowing society; they overthrow governments all over the planet, have for a hundred years

– sharing a platform with fellow SNC spokesman Ausama Monajed (more on Monajed below) and SNC member Najib Ghadbian. Ghadbian was identified by the Wall Street Journal as an early intermediary

between the US government and the Syrian opposition in exile: "An initial contact between the White House and NSF [National Salvation Front] was forged by Najib Ghabbian, a University of Arkansas political scientist." This was back in 2005. The watershed year.

(A) They all work for government as well.

These days, Ghabbian is a member of the general secretariat of the SNC, and is on the advisory board of a Washington-based policy body called the Syrian Center for Political and Strategic Studies (SCPSS) – an organization co-founded by Ziadeh. Ziadeh has been making connections like this for years. Back in 2008, Ziadeh took part in a meeting of opposition figures in a Washington government building: a mini-conference called "Syria In-Transition".

(A) This is the age of transition as they call it, Royal Institute of International Affairs, as they transform the whole planet; and I'll carry on with this because it's one of the most important articles that has been published in any major media newspaper for 100 years.

Back with more after this...

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the big organization that runs the world. They've been behind all the revolutions across the planet for a hundred years and the throwing over of various governments through Central America and elsewhere. And their big idea was to bring in this free trade system which they would rule, being bankers at the top you see; that's who started off the Royal Institute of International Affairs, international money lenders and they own governments, they own the governments, they own the central banking system. These guys put forth all through their own law system, since they own the countries, the whole idea of central banks, which they run. It's quite astonishing and they've been doing it for so long and even this article here will be forgotten tomorrow by

most people, who can't follow it actually, most can't follow this in this day and age, it's just not simplistic enough for them. It's too cluttered for their minds. They want simplistic answers; but anyway it says these are the guys who speak out for Syria, that are all backed and they're all funded and trained by this one organization that runs the world and they throw over governments one after another and they move onto the next one and the next one, and the next one. Anyway it says:

Ziadeh has been making connections like this for years. Back in 2008, Ziadeh took part in a meeting of opposition figures in a Washington government building: a mini-conference called "Syria In-Transition". The meeting was co-sponsored by a US-based body called the Democracy Council and a UK-based organization called the Movement for Justice and Development (MJD).

(A) Now these are all private bodies, they'll be NGO groups too, funded by the big foundations which are owned by the big members of the international banking system that own and who are the Royal Institute of International Affairs.

It was a big day for the MJD – their chairman, Anas Al-Abdah, had travelled to Washington from Britain for the event, along with their director of public relations. Here, from the MJD's website, is a description of the day: "The conference saw an exceptional turn out as the allocated hall was packed with guests from the House of Representatives and the Senate, representatives of studies centres, journalists and Syrian expatriates [sic] in the USA.

(A) Understand this is one organization that can bring it in any, they could have used India, for an example. They run India too. And why are all your representatives going to these things? Because they're told to as members of the Council on Foreign Relations.

The day opened with a keynote speech by James Prince, head of the Democracy Council. Ziadeh was on a panel chaired by Joshua Muravchik (the ultra-interventionist author of the 2006 op-ed “Bomb Iran”). The topic of the discussion was “The Emergence of Organized Opposition”. Sitting beside Ziadeh on the panel was the public relations director of the MJD – a man who would later become his fellow SNC spokesperson – Ausama Monajed.

(A) Who is Monajed?

Along with Kodmani and Ziadeh, Ausama (or sometimes Osama) Monajed is one of the most important SNC spokespeople. There are others, of course – the SNC is a big beast and includes the Muslim Brotherhood.

(A) That’s owned by the CIA and the Mossad.

The opposition to Assad is wide-ranging, but these are some of the key voices. There are other official spokespeople with long political careers, like George Sabra of the Syrian Democratic People’s party – Sabra has suffered arrest and lengthy imprisonment in his fight against the “repressive and totalitarian regime in Syria”. And there are other opposition voices outside the SNC, such as the writer Michel Kilo, who speaks eloquently of the violence tearing apart his country: “Syria is being destroyed – street after street, city after city, village after village. What kind of solution is that? In order for a small group of people to remain in power, the whole country is being destroyed.”

(A) The thing is too, who started the whole thing up to get this going? It was the outside forces, the Anglo-American establishment, that started the rebellions and the agitating and well-funded and armed them to get it going to destroy the country. It says:

But there's no doubt that the primary opposition body is the SNC, and Kodmani, Ziadeh and Monajed are often to be found representing it. Monajed frequently crops up as a commentator on TV news channels. Here he is on the BBC,

(A) They give you a link on the BBC where he talks.

speaking from their Washington bureau. Monajed doesn't sugar-coat his message: "We are watching civilians being slaughtered and kids being slaughtered and killed and women being raped on the TV screens every day."

(A) Now they've also showed you recently the fact that the groups that this guy represents, the so-called opposition, the "freedom fighters," are killing, just gunning down in firing squads, even the cops that they're catching, they're gunning them all down. But their message is for the public, to get you behind them, you see, because their bosses, the Royal Institute of International Affairs, want you to be on their side.

Meanwhile, over on Al Jazeera, Monajed talks about "what's really happening, in reality, on the ground" – about "the militiamen of Assad" who "come and rape their women, slaughter their children, and kill their elderly".

(A) Now they gave us the same story of course when Saddam Hussein went into Kuwait remember and the media across the West, the BBC and all the U.S. stations even had that same thing from World War I, 'oh they're taking children in the hospitals and bayonetting and throwing them out the windows'. It was

all lies, because that's what their job is, you see, these spokespeople, is to lie to get you onboard to back your troops when they go in and take care of it.

Monajed turned up, just a few days ago,

(A) These are professional, professional agitators.

as a blogger on Huffington Post UK, where he explained, at length: "Why the World Must Intervene in Syria" – calling for "direct military assistance" and "foreign military aid". So, again, a fair question might be: who is this spokesman calling for military intervention?

Monajed is a member of the SNC, adviser to its president, and according to his SNC biography, "the Founder and Director of Barada Television", a pro-opposition satellite channel based in Vauxhall, south London.

(A) Where else would it be out of, except London.

In 2008, a few months after attending Syria In-Transition conference, Monajed was back in Washington, invited to lunch with George W Bush, along with a handful of other favored dissidents (you can see Monajed in the souvenir photo, third from the right, in the red tie, near Condoleezza Rice – up the other end from Garry Kasparov).

At this time, in 2008, the US state department knew Monajed as “director of public relations for the Movement for Justice and Development (MJD), which leads the struggle for peaceful and democratic change in Syria.”

(A) CIA, eh? Interesting. You understand, nobody is who they appear to be. It's all one organization running everything.

Back with more after this...

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through the Matrix, going through one of the massive, massive arms and they've got lots of arms, in this Royal Institute of International Affairs, and the Council on Foreign Relations, this one massive group that foments uprisings across the Middle East. They have been at this professionally for years and years and years, trained all in the West, often born in the West in fact and they're put into positions in their own countries to agitate. They send students in to agitate to foment things, sometimes for ten years before they'll actually start any revolution at all. It takes about that long to get everything going. Anyway, as I say, where do they get all their funding from? It says the Democracy Council, you see, you understand the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the CFR, they have all these other front groups where they distribute money to various agitators across the planet, including your own countries, to agitate for cultural change. Because they're changing the whole world including all of you, as they use you and your military; but it says the Democracy Council, this is one of them, just one of them:

The Democracy Council (a US-based grant distributor)

(A) It distributes grants, you see.

lists the state department as one of its sources of funding. How it works is this: the Democracy Council serves as a grant-administering intermediary between the state department's "Middle East Partnership Initiative"

(A) All these laws that they've signed in and treaties.

and "local partners" (such as Barada TV). As the Washington Post reports:

"Several US diplomatic cables from the embassy in Damascus reveal that the Syrian exiles received money from a State Department program called the Middle East Partnership Initiative. According to the cables, the State Department funneled money to the exile group via the Democracy Council, a Los Angeles-based nonprofit."

(A) They're all so-called non-profit organizations, just like the Royal Institute of International Affairs is as well, and the CFR, they're non-profit organizations. They run the World and pretend they're philanthropic you see. As they foment and agitate and cause wars all over the place. And then they tell your governments, because they put their own boys in your governments, to send your armies over there and take care of it, once they've fomented the revolutions.

The same report highlights a 2009 cable from the US Embassy in Syria that says that the Democracy Council received \$6.3m from the state department to run a Syria-related programme, the "Civil Society Strengthening Initiative". The cable describes this as "a discrete collaborative effort between the Democracy Council and local partners" aimed at producing, amongst other things, "various broadcast concepts." According to the Washington Post: "Other cables make clear that one of those concepts was Barada TV."

(A) So the U.S. State Department funds the television stations they've set up to give out propaganda against the regimes, you see.

Until a few months ago, the state department's Middle East Partnership Initiative was overseen by Tamara Cofman Wittes (she's now at the Brookings Institution

(A) Another arm of the same group, you see.

– an influential Washington think-tank). Of MEPI, she said that it “created a positive ‘brand’ for US democracy promotion efforts”. While working there she declared: “There are a lot of organizations in Syria and other countries that are seeking changes from their government ...

(A) Well they have made sure of that because you see, they've got all their agitators in those countries.

That's an agenda that we believe in and we're going to support.” And by support, she means bankroll.

(A) But also they train; they have special training places for these guys. Massive, this is way bigger than the CIA, the CIA is a smaller little group down below, and these guys are not responsible to any public on what they're up to. Because they're all private you see. It says:

This is nothing new. Go back a while to early 2006, and you have the state department announcing a new “funding opportunity” called the “Syria Democracy Program”. On offer, grants worth “\$5m in Federal Fiscal Year 2006”. The aim of the grants? “To accelerate the work of reformers in Syria.”

(A) Reformers

These days, the cash is flowing in faster than ever. At the beginning of June 2012, the Syrian Business Forum was launched in Doha by opposition leaders including Wael Merza (SNC secretary general). “This fund has been established to support all components of the revolution in Syria,” said Merza. The size of the fund? Some \$300m.

(A) It’s about 300 million dollars, from your State Department.

It’s by no means clear where the money has come from,

(A) The rest of it all came from the State Department through their intermediary grant-distribution, front philanthropic organizations you see.

although Merza “hinted at strong financial support from Gulf Arab states for the new fund” (Al Jazeera). At the launch, Merza said that about \$150m had already been spent, in part on the Free Syrian Army.

(A) So I'll put this link up tonight, this is only a fraction of this whole article here, but it shows you how incredibly well organized all of these overthrows of governments are. Incredible and you have no idea of the massive bureaucracies at the top that your government has to deal with it and dealing with their other partners; you see the private ones are better, they can go across the world and they're not responsible; your government will say "oh we've got nothing to do with them," or, "we gave them a little help, financial help here and there."

That's how they do it, that's how they take the world over. Same as your own countries have all been taken over through the culture industry and laws, through judicial systems and so on, and you don't even know it's happened to you. Remember, these guys at the top, when they set up their big organization to take the world over, they wanted to take over all the resources of the planet including the human resources and then they'd bring in a scientific society, depopulate all the ones that were post-industrial and, again, save the world, for themselves and their own offspring into the future, while you shouldn't be spending money on your offspring because you're down below, at the bottom, you see, on the eugenics ladder. And you still vote for democracy? You think you've got democracy? As I say, their own historian at the Council of Foreign Relations has said there hasn't been an American President since the late 1800's that was not a member of the Council of Foreign Relations, which is just a branch for the Royal Institute for International Affairs, a private organization made up of international bankers; and you're scared of the CIA and the FBI? These guys own them all. And I'll put this up tonight and those who can follow it, most folk can't follow this stuff anymore; their minds literally honestly can't follow this. They lose interest, they just drift off and daydream because of what's been done to their minds and all the electronics that they use too; they can't follow this kind of stuff. It's a pity because you see the war is worldwide, it's a world war, you're in a world war. It's been going on a long, long time and you don't know it.

Tonight I'll also put up a link for the Australians because they've noticed it, again a branch of the same organization, it's called the Fabian Society. The long road to what they want to get, it's called the Fabian system technique, intergenerational for maybe a hundred years till they get what they want, and Gillard is a member of it. This is a link to Gillard and her old boyfriend of course, who was involved apparently, he was involved in ripping off the Australian Workers Union, big bucks too. Gillard's tried to sweep that under the table and almost tried to ban it from all distribution across the media in Australia, about her past, you see, so I'll put this link up tonight and another one too where you'll hear the interview with an Australian guy, Alan Jones interviews Michael Smith on Gillard and her shady past as well, for those that want to see it.

Now another thing that's coming up too is the redistribution of wealth, and as I've mentioned before these same bankers don't see any country as their own, you understand, they're international, they'll live anywhere and they can get born and raised anywhere, it doesn't matter to them. They're way ahead of this, they have futurist societies always looking down the road into the kind of world that's not just going to happen to be in a hundred years' time, but the one that they're going to create to be in a hundred years' time. That's how you keep control and part of it too was the setting up of the Soviet system, another big experiment, they called it "the great experiment" and now they're going to the redistribution of taxes, of wealth, they call it, from the Communist Manifesto; that's what it's all about now, as we throw taxes, your taxes, as you go under you see. Because, as I say, they don't love you any more than they love anybody else, even if they're born in your own country. And they want to bring in a new tax, a worldwide tax. Now the UN's been talking about this one for years and remember they use massive marketing campaigns, and neuro-linguistics, psycho-linguistics, nice terms, that it's hard for the average Joe who really believes in the reality that's given to them through education and TV, they really believe in it, they have no idea that there is anything weird going on.

And this article is, The Prime Minister is urged to go ahead with the Robin Hood tax plans. What is the Robin Hood tax plans?

Campaigners for a tax on financial transactions have urged David Cameron [Prime Minister of Britain] to follow the lead of president Francois Hollande as he introduced a 0.2% levy on share trading in France.

(A) Now, this is to expand; once something's on the books it expands to every financial transaction, just going into the bank and so on.

The French tax, which has come into effect, is expected to raise 500 million euro (£390 million) next year and is a precursor to a wider European tax, which Britain is not expected to join.

(A) Now they probably will join it because the EU is all one now, all these countries are one.

The tax will be imposed on share purchases involving publicly-traded businesses with a market value over one billion euro (£787 million) and Mr Hollande has indicated that some of the revenue will be used to fight global poverty and HIV/Aids.

(A) Ohhhh, they just love you all eh? You know how long the world's been around and the poor's always been here? because the psychopathic elite, that come up with cons like this, you see, are always in charge.

Advocates of the tax say that it will also help curb the speculation which has been blamed for contributing towards the financial crash of 2008.

(A) Well, who's going to manage all this new cash? I'll put these links up tonight, and it says 'Who is behind it?'

The Robin Hood Tax campaign started as an idea. People loved it.

(A) Now, of course if you're people, then you've got to be social, you must love it too. See the terminology, "People loved it." Nobody heard of it.

We became a movement. And we're still growing. We're committed to reducing poverty and tackling climate change by taxing financial transactions. We believe it's time to rewrite the contract between banks and society. We are charities, green groups, trade unions, celebrities, financiers, religious leaders and politicians. We are world leaders – President Hollande of France, Chancellor Merkel of Germany, among others. We are businesspeople – FSA Chairman Lord Turner, financier George Soros (A-who else?), entrepreneur extraordinaire Warren Buffet. We are economists – Nobel Prize winners Joseph Stiglitz and Paul Krugman, Earth Institute Director Jeffrey Sachs and 1,000 other economists from across the world.

(A) Etc. etc. etc. You see, that's who's behind the "Robin Hood Tax," right?

Well, every tax that's ever been invented, it comes down on the guys at the bottom. You all know that, you all know that, I hope you do know that. If you've lived long at all you must know it, but I'll also put up another link to do with this, it says 'Help make this idea a reality; join the Robin Hood tax supporters,' and it actually says on their own site too, they can make profit basically out of crisis, which is a thing they always say at the Council on Foreign Relations: how can we turn this crisis to our advantage? I'll put that link up as well. So these big bankers, the big bankers want to manage all this cash of a world financial tax and of course it's going to come off your paychecks, it's going to come off everything that you do at the bank, all your cashless transactions, everything. To help the poor and help climate change and the greenies and all the rest of it, and all the big usual suspects at the top are in charge of it all, and the United Nations of course. Quite something. It's always the same con after con after con you know.

Now we're already slaves, I hope you understand that, because Charles Galton Darwin in the 1950's wrote a book called "The Next Million Years" on behalf of the Royal Institute of International Affairs and the Council on Foreign Relations and all the big boys who already owned the world by then. And he was a top eugenicist, he was also a physicist who worked on the Manhattan Project, guys like him who loved to help the world make things like atom bombs and want them used, you know. Anyway, he talked about the world to come and he said there has always been slavery in one form or another and we're in the process now (in the 1950's) of creating a more sophisticated form of slavery; in other words, such a good

form of slavery, you would not know that it had even happened to you, that you were a slave. He also talked about using hormones in your water, your food and various other things, to turn the men into women and he said it would make the women more aggressive; that's all happened folks. He belonged to all these world organizations, again owned by the same guys, Royal Institute of International Affairs, and spoke on their behalf. Because, as I say, they don't love you; they'll use the flags of every country as they go in with your militaries to take over all the resources but they don't love your country, they are internationalists. The world is theirs and they're eugenicists.

Anyway, this is in Britain, and Cameron has passed a law in Britain to monitor everyone's health and everything else. It says:

We'll turn National Health Service patients...

(A) Now all those in the States who are going to go into this National Health Service that Britain is already using, and that's what the Rand Corporation based the U.S. system on, the British system, for those who didn't know that. It says:

We'll turn NHS patients into real-time drugs lab rats

Prime Minister David Cameron said his government is launching a consultation on changing the NHS constitution so that the "default setting" is for patients' data to be used for research unless the patient opts out.

(A) Good luck trying to opt-out

In a speech to the Global Health Policy Summit

(A) Now what's the Global Health Policy Summit? You understand, all these organizations you've never heard of, they're all funding

in London, he said that the UK is going to be the "world leader" in making real-time patient data available for drug development,

(A) For the big Pharma companies, to play with you, bring you down, sterilize you even quicker I guess.

"We're going to do this by harnessing the incredible data collected by our National Health Service," he said. "This will make anonymised data available to scientists and researchers on a scale never seen before."

In the prime minister's view this will help make the UK the best place in the world to carry out cutting edge research.

(A) So there's a whole nation of National Health Service patients that are going to be used for this. Now Scotland's already ahead of it, they're more communistic, socialistic, because they thought they were getting their freedom because they were conned mightily by the same group of the Royal Institute of International Affairs, you see, the same boys run Scotland as well and they've already got this you see. It says:

A simple and far-sighted decision in the 1970's means that every person registered with a general practitioner (GP) in Scotland is allocated a unique identifying number from a centrally maintained register called the Community Health Index (CHI).

(A) And I'll put this up tonight, this link, and when you scroll down to the bottom it tells you that this health index number "is your eCare identifier and will be used across all agencies from health, education, social work and police, to your bus pass and library card and everything in between"; this includes your bank and everything. Total information network, folks, from birth to death. Plus all their data on all the drugs they've given you. The excuse in Scotland is, "we don't know why the health is so bad"; well look again at the Royal Institute of International Affairs' members; look at the books they put out, from H.G. Wells and other ones, about who they wanted to take out, the people that wouldn't fit into their new society: the Scots, the Irish, lots of Africans, other people too, who just have an allergy to tyranny, people like that you see. That's why their health is so bad, and all the injections they've had. So easy to do, isn't it?

Back with more after this break...

Hi folks, I'm back, Cutting Through the Matrix and this hour just flies in, but we'll go to Mike from Texas who's hanging on the line there, are you there Mike?

(Mike) I am, Alan. Hey, first I apologize for not being in touch for some time, I had a little bit of a health issue and a couple things I've been trying to straighten out; you get a little introspective when you get a health issue in this world.

(A) You do.

(Mike) But a comment and a question, I know that I can hear it in your voice sometimes, you get a little frustrated, if I could assume, that you put all this great information out that we can't get anywhere else and you wonder, rightly so, why people don't take some type of action. And we're not imagining these things, I mean like you always say from Wells in fiction to the CFR publications, they tell us what they're going to do to us, and in their minds, their legalistic minds, they say, see, well this justifies our 'survival of the fittest' concept, that we tell you what we're going to do to you and you don't fight back.

(A) That's right

(Mike) Well, in your humble opinion and I know Alan you've never advocated violence, never, you've been an advocate actually for an information war, where you tell the people what's going on and just hope they would just naturally resist, you know, for their own survival.

(A) Remember too the same people are in charge of all the information techniques that you're allowed to have and the problem is, they knew, back in the 1940's, they talked about this coming era, right now, where they'd have pretty well control of everyone's mind via the information they give out by their own media every day, crisis after crisis, up and down, the economy, wars, all the rest of it, so people are completely overloaded with data, but it's not the data that helps you change anything; that's what they make sure of. Fear itself and fear stories don't change anything; it makes people cower down waiting for a hero to come along and do it all for them, that doesn't happen either. So you're dealing with, I mean when I mentioned Syria tonight, that's just one country with thousands of employees all agitating to overthrow it, all paid by you the taxpayer through your state departments and the British departments as well, and that's just one country. Now they're doing the same within your own country; that's what you have to understand. They make sure that all information is managed the same way, and you had Bo Gritz in the past for instance that was a con for the big boys that led a lot of people up the garden path and admitted at the end he was still working for the Pentagon. So he was put out there to lead the Patriot movement. I mean you've got the whole school system indoctrinating the children into a different reality altogether, the whole school system across the country going along the same agenda. You don't get off in life with much of a chance to start with, and that's what we're under, the massiveness of this and that's

why you have to retreat from everything and even the daily crisis that they're always throwing at you, and get into the basic things; who's behind it? Read their books by their own members that they've put out for a hundred years, fascinating, they outline the whole agenda and you've got to start teaching everybody else around you, from the basic, from their own books, because then there's no guesswork involved. And then you have to decide: What kind of life would I want to have? What kind of life should we deserve for ourselves? But they also know the thing too, Dzerzhinsky said this in the Soviet Union, he said, the people, when you starve them, what do they do? Will they rebel if you beat them? Put them in a corner, will they fight you? He says No. Collectively, if they don't know what's really going on, they'll turn round and eat their dead. That's the technique they're trying to do here with massive psychological daily warfare via the mainstream media. And we've got to understand that, switch off, start studying and then start learning. And only then can you put pressure and it won't be easy, they won't give up easy; these guys mean business. They're causing wars across the whole world and that's what they've been at for a long time. Thanks for calling.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario Canada, it's goodnight and may your God or your Gods go with you.

October 23, 2025 at 9:46 am

[EDIT](#) | [MOVE](#) | [SPLIT](#) | [TRASH](#) | [SPAM](#) | [UNAPPROVE](#) | [REPLY](#) [#1635](#)



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

And we are going through the biggest thing that's happened for many, many centuries, this world takeover of all resources mind you by the new feudal system. And remember that Carroll Quigley and others talked about this feudal system since they were the historians of the Council on Foreign Relations, which is an American branch of the Royal Institute for International Affairs in London. And they have branches across the world but they talked about this coming feudal system where corporations would be running the world, and they do. Because all the top guys at the corporations are all members of the same Royal Institute for International Affairs, all of them. All the CEOs across the whole planet are members of this one organization. All your top prime ministers and presidents across the world are members of it too, except the ones that are getting bombed right now.

And they bring in central banking systems because remember it was central bankers, or international bankers, who started up this institute in the first place. And they believed themselves that they were the most superior species on the planet already because the very fact they got so incredibly wealthy. And they had very good educations at the best Ivy League universities. And they decided too to bring in eugenics into the system as well. They would use society until the end of the industrial era and the

consumer society. We're all post industrial, post consumer now according to the same institute. And then they're left basically with the problem of what to do with all the people they don't need anymore. Because the ones at the top you see are very, very pragmatic, very practical. It takes a lot of their own time and think tanks to make sure that you're given the right culture for your particular generation. But that time's to go over, over the next forty or even thirty years, as they bring down the populations, bring in the new utopia, go into world government and they even talk about post world government in their own writings, even for the defense department because they run that too. And they talked about a system where after world government and the dying off of the unwashed masses the elite would go on with the technocrats and its high scientific workers and very advanced scientific city-states. That's where they want to go with all of this. It's all recorded; I mean they've put it all out in books, and they've put it out as I say even through the department of defense.

So we're living through the last part of this as they take on the countries that haven't gone under into their central banking system. And they also want to take out pretty well most of the Muslim world. They've got more countries to go, apart from the Middle East as well obviously. They can either do that financially and collapse them or send their agitators and mercenaries in as they've done across the Middle East too. Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. I'm back, we're Cutting Through the Matrix and talking about this new feudal system that you're already under. You've been under it for a long time in fact. Lots of them popped up and took over during World War II especially and that's when they got your taxpayer's funding to go into chemical industries etcetera, etcetera. And the top CEOs often go in and out of politics all throughout their lives and if they don't do that they simply advise the politicians as to what to do. That means they tell them what to do, because you see as I say they're all members of the Council on Foreign Relations. All of them, the whole lot of them. One organization that labels itself as a private, not-for-profit organization, nonpolitical, runs the world. And they don't play politics, they own politicians. But they don't play politics; they set out the agenda and make sure the politicians follow it because they put their own politicians in.

So that's very, very simple to understand for those who can get their mind round it because the mainstream media won't really go into it in any depth at all. But one corporation basically, one system, one organization is running the whole planet. And it has been since the late 1800's. Then they changed their name to something else of course, the names that we know today. So get used to it because you've been in it all along. And they direct where the world is to go through the sciences they allowed us to have basically at the bottom level. They can never give you high sciences, always low sciences. They're always way ahead; that's how you maintain power and hang on to power.

Here's an article here for instance about the GMO Free-for-All basically as the Biotech Giants are going for whatever they want now. They're getting it all. Remember too all this stuff is heavily laced with their pesticides which they own as well. And they've got a good part of the world sewn up already. They own about 70 percent of the world's food supply. They own it all now. You understand how dangerous that is when a handful of corporations own the food supply of the planet. And probably if you really go into them the same major shareholders will have the controlling interest shares in each one of the companies. So really one group own all of these companies, one group. It says:

"Biotech Giants Are Bankrolling a GMO Free-for-All"

"The so-called "Big Six" agrichemical companies—Monsanto, Syngenta, Dow Agrosiences, BASF, Bayer, and Pioneer (DuPont)—are sitting pretty. Together, they control nearly 70 percent of the global pesticide market, and essentially the entire market for genetically modified seeds. Prices of the crops they focus on—corn, soy, cotton, etc.—"

Alan: Actually they've gone into vegetables and everything. Believe you me pretty well everything out there, especially in Canada, is already modified. It says:

"...are soaring, pushed up by severe drought in key growing regions. Higher crop prices typically translate to increased pesticide sales as farmers have more money to spend on agrichemicals and more incentive to maximize yield. The companies operate globally—and have gained a stronghold in that emerging center of industrial agriculture, Brazil—but the biotech-friendly US is their profit center."

Alan: They've got also, through the Rothschild's company, they've got it sewn up in India as well.

"They've got a big chunk of US agriculture pretty well sewn up—their GMO seeds dominate our corn, soy and cotton crops, which account for more than 53 percent of US farmland, and have won approval for GMO alfalfa (hay), which accounts for another 19 percent. The vast annual US corn crop—which accounts for 40 percent of the globe's corn most years—is a particular bonanza, not just for GMO seeds but also a stunning amount of insecticides, fungicides, and herbicides."

Alan: Which go into the plants by the way and you end up eating them because it's all throughout the cellular structure of the plants. That's why cancer is skyrocketing. It says:

"But two things could mess up the Big 6 here in the US: 1) any delay in the regulatory process for a new generation of seeds engineered for resistance to multiple herbicides; and 2) any major move to require labeling of foods containing GMOs, a requirement already in play in many other countries—including the

European Union, China, Japan, and South Korea—and one for which the US public has expressed overwhelming support. Unsurprisingly, the Big 6 are investing millions of their vast profits into forestalling both of those menaces.”

“Speedy deregulation of the new-generation herbicide-tolerant crops is important for a simple reason: Monsanto’s blockbuster Roundup Ready technology—featuring corn, soy, cotton, sugar beet, and alfalfa (hay) seeds engineered to resist Monsanto’s Roundup herbicide—is failing. Roundup-resistant superweeds are galloping out of control throughout big-farm country. The industry’s only solution to the problem is to roll out seeds resistant to multiple herbicides at once, adding old, toxic ones like 2,4-D and dicamba to the Roundup mix. (Roundup itself, now the most widely used herbicide in the US by a wide margin, has long enjoyed a reputation as mostly harmless chemical, but that status has been quietly crumbling in scientific circles.)”

Alan: Because they tell you it dissipates in a few weeks or so, and literally it lasts for about a year or two years in your soil. And of course it’s highly toxic as well, highly toxic. I’ll put this link up tonight plus another one to do with the money that these big corporations are putting together to fight labeling for the people who consume. They don’t want it labeled at all. In fact they don’t even want you to know that it’s altered in any way whatsoever. And they’re putting big, big money up there, which will go into the hands of politicians who will of course just toss any laws that are pending out the window so they can carry on as usual.

That’s how the world is run. It’s run by the big as I say CEOs, corporations, and so on. That’s a feudal system because you don’t elect corporations. But the corporations mind you can put their own boys in to all of your, like the FDA and so on. They stock it up with them, and Big Pharma does the same. So there’s not much you know that you can do about that, except grow your own stuff if you can and make sure you don’t soak it with pesticides. And try not to get the GMO stuff. Apart from that, they put the terminator seed in as well so the farmers have to go back every year cap in hand to buy the darn stuff and that gives away your own power over what you do when you go to the master. It’s a beautiful feudal system that you live in, it really is. It’s really updated and well done.

Now I’ve got to look at these articles like Nestle again. It says:

“Nestle NAN H.A. 1 Gold baby formula ‘making children sick’”

Alan: Well Nestle was in trouble last year and the year before because they were poisoning folk throughout China by literally adding stuff to milk, it wasn’t milk at all and it was killing people off. And we all think of them because we watch all the ads for all their different products and think oh that’s good

and so on, we laugh and chuckle. But these corporations don't give a darn what they put in your body you understand.

Apart from that, why are mothers now so dependent on corporations churning out the food for babies? Look at the third world countries; do they have that stuff there? Did your grandparents have them? Of course they didn't. But now people can't think past it. "Oh we've got to get the baby formula for the children." It's incredible how folk are so easily conned and trained, it really is. It's disgusting actually, isn't it? It says:

"Robert Paganin fed the new formula to his six-month-old son Harry for four weeks before he discovered it was making his son sick."

"NESTLE is conducting tests..."

Alan: So they do it themselves. They do their own tests and then they'll tell you what they want to tell you.

"...on a new baby formula recipe after receiving complaints from parents that it is making their children extremely sick and agitated. Constant crying, rashes, dark green watery poo, dehydration and vomiting are among the symptoms babies have been experiencing since Nestle's NAN H.A. 1 Gold switched to a "new improved" recipe."

Alan: Which means cheaper to make, you know, that's what that means.

"Sarah Wells from Launceston in Tasmania put her 10-week-old son Oliver on the new formula and said she immediately noticed the bad side effects. "A week after being on the formula, and the second can, Oliver's face broke out in nasty eczema," Ms Wells told newscasters."

"She contacted Nestle, and was told by a customer service representative that babies often have reactions when switching to a new formula, then offered a \$50 gift voucher."

Alan: {Laughs} They really believe you're awfully stupid at the bottom, eh?

And:

"Robert Paganin from Blackburn North in Victoria..."

Alan: Fed it to his child and so on and it made him sick as well.

""Within 48 hours of changing he was fine, he was drinking the bigger bottle and finishing it, whereas on the other one he was refusing to drink," Mr. Paganin said."

Alan: So even the babies have got more sense than the parents.

""I am extremely disappointed and disgusted..."

Alan: Etcetera, etcetera. So anyway the thing is, as I say, they'll put any rubbish in the food. They already have. We know they're loaded up with xenoestrogens, in baby formulas. I hope you all know that. Which literally affect the young male especially. It can make the girls too more aggressive when they get older, but it really affects the young males; it stops their hormone system working properly and they don't develop properly throughout their lives. They take strange fads and things when they get older.

Anyway, I'll put these articles up tonight for those who can think for themselves. As I say, they can train the people to believe in anything, and like it's a normal thing. They put all these ads on television, little smiling babies, yada-yada-ya. And people fall for it all, they forget this is a big corporation that's going to make things as cheaply as possible for themselves and maximize the profit regardless, and get away with whatever they can get away with. That's what all companies and corporations do. So start thinking for yourselves or you're gone basically.

Now people who try to get out the truth in different ways because of all the experience that they've had, watching autism for instance, are now getting really warned by big government to do if they put up any claims against say the measles, mumps, and rubella vaccinations for instance. That's been awfully well documented by different scientists to do with autism. The child is fine before the shots and then they start to regress as soon as the shots have taken effect. It says:

"A website offering parents advice on vaccines has been ordered to remove information about the MMR jab after claiming it could be linked to autism."

Alan: So they've been ordered to remove it, right.

"Babyjabs.co.uk said the three-in-one jab may be causing "up to 10%" of autism in children in the UK. But the Advertising Standards Authority ruled the claim was misleading and must not appear again, after getting a complaint."

Alan: Now the Advertising Standards Authority are the same guys who make sure you get all, they pass all the ads just to show you how great Monsanto's food is, and everybody else's food is, that poisons you and gives you cancer. Everything is corrupt and it's not what you think. There is nothing out there officially that is what you think it is. And I'm not kidding about that, there's nothing. It says:

"The website was also told not to repeat other claims it made about MMR. These included the suggestion that "most experts now agree the large rise (in autism) has been caused partly by increased diagnosis, but also by a real increase in the number of children with autism". Another claim said the vaccine-strain measles virus had been found in the gut and brain of some autistic children,"

Alan: Which is true, it came out not long ago.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. I'm back, Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the Measles, Mumps, and Rubella vaccinations and the controversy that's been going on for years. Because you're fighting Big Pharma that makes the vaccines and you're fighting the medical establishment that gets a lot of kickbacks as well. And of course they don't want to get sued if they have to admit that they've actually caused autism. And they're telling folk now, the authoritarian society that we live in, don't put up any counter information about this, it's all perfectly fine and it works just dandy, etcetera. Anyway it says here:

"Defending the claims, Babyjabs referred to a study from 2002 which concluded it could not be ruled out that there were some children who had an increased risk of autism if they were vaccinated. The website, which promotes single vaccines, also cited the book, The Truth About Vaccines, written by Babyjab medical director Dr Richard Halvorsen, which made similar claims. In the judgment, the ASA noted that the website made clear that the original allegations of a link between the MMR vaccine and autism by Andrew Wakefield was "strongly rejected" by government and the medical establishment. But it said consumers were likely to infer from the website's claims that the vaccine might have played a role in the "increase" of the number of children with autism."

Alan: And that's one article about it. And there's actually two I've got up here, one is in the Mail Online as well. I'll put that link up tonight too for those who want to peruse it. And it really is, everything really is propaganda isn't it? You understand, everything that you hear from mainstream is propaganda. There is not a single truth on anything that comes from any government establishment. It goes through their own propaganda wings of marketing, and they call it PR (Public Relations), to make things sound acceptable to you, so they lie a lot in other words. They're taught how to put a good con across. That's what marketing and public relations means.

And I've mentioned it before and people don't understand this as they're trying to fight a system. A system which has many branches but it's all the one system, one agenda. And they constantly throw us buckets of news everyday so we can go "oh good lord look what they're doing to us today. Oh my God they want us to do that next" and blah, blah, blah. And that's really what it's come down to, even patriotism unfortunately. It's just like little lab rats that see the guys in the white coats every morning and have a quick chatter, "what are they going to do to us today?" It doesn't change anything. And I've watched this for years. Nothing has changed anything or stopped or slowed this. Because most folk even in the patriot movement don't know what they're up against. It's massive psychological warfare, with an absolute agenda, with the same people who are causing wars across the world.

Now across the world they're slaughtering folk. I hope you realize that. We don't think of it that way because it's kind of far away and it's out of our reach. We don't want to think about nasty things but believe you me these same people decided a long time ago they would alter your own cultures until you would accept more and more and more stuff, which in their right minds... that's what Huxley said, in your right mind you would not accept. So they're going to change your right mind to the wrong mind to suit themselves you see. And it's all been done.

Now here's an example of the cultural decline and debasement.

"Former Boris Johnson..."

Alan: That's the mayor of London.

"...aide cleared of possession of 'extreme pornography'"

Alan: Now what's extreme pornography?

So:

"Barrister Simon Walsh, 50, acquitted over images that prosecution argued showed activities likely to cause bodily injury"

Alan: So the barrister, this lawyer.

"...has been acquitted of possessing extreme pornography in a landmark case over the boundaries of what can be described as "extreme"."

Alan: Now what is extreme today? Children have been brought up with pornography on the internet for God's sake, you know, all kinds of it. Hollywood churns it out. They churn out the pornography as well as mainstream movies. Because their job is to destroy society and really play with your minds. Anyway it says:

"The jury was unanimous and took less than 90 minutes to clear Simon Walsh, 50, a former aide to London mayor Boris Johnson, and who served as a magistrate and alderman in the City of London,"

Alan: The City is the big banking place; it's literally got sovereign independence in the country.

"...after a week-long trial."

"The case is believed to be the first to address whether images of..."

Alan: Listen to this. This is mainstream, folks.

"...of anal fisting,"

Alan: See homosexuals like to stick fists and arms up people's orifices, you know, other guys.

"...a sexual practice which is legal, and urethral sounding..."

Alan: They call it. Sticking things up your, the little thing that you play with if you're a tiny little boy you know.

"...are extreme pornography, as defined under the controversial section 63 of the Criminal Justice and Immigration Act 2008. Earlier this year Michael Peacock was acquitted of charges under the Obscene Publications Act 1959 of distributing obscene DVDs, which featured fisting and bondage, discipline, sadism and masochism (BDSM)."

Alan: And in Canada the guy a month or so ago, he was killing his victims and kind of eating them and also using body parts to do things with his own particular anus. That's rather extreme I'd say but that's how it goes when you bring down the culture to the pits you see. Anyway:

"Walsh was charged with possession of six email attachment images, which were not found by police on either his work or home computers, but on a Hotmail server account he set up to receive and send sexual messages. Oxford-educated Walsh was sacked from his position on the London Fire Authority on his arrest in April last year. He was a man of impeccable character who had made an outstanding contribution to society, the court heard, and had previously..."

Alan: I guess he'd gone beyond things that man had done before.

"...and had previously chaired the City of London Corporation police authority..."

Alan: Well of course.

"...and licensing authority. He had been unable to work as a barrister..."

Alan: A lawyer.

"...since his arrest on the charges, which carry a sentence of up to three years in prison. His lawyer, Matthew Buckland, told Kingston crown court that the case raised issues of private personal encounters and "how we view them in an inclusive democracy".

Alan: What democracy? What democracy? I'll talk more on this case because you see this is a trial case to go further with your cultural degradation. Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵 – You're listening to the Republic Broadcasting Network because you can handle the truth – 🎵}

Hi folks. I'm back, we're Cutting Through the Matrix. Talking about this is a trial case that's going to change an awful lot of behavior, again, allow even more strange behavior to go even further. And as I say this particular barrister or lawyer was charged with these particular issues. Anyway it says here:

"Walsh faced five charges under the 2008 act, which stipulates images are extreme if they are "grossly offensive, disgusting or otherwise of an obscene character" and if they "portray, in an explicit and realistic way" any act "which results in, or is likely to result, in serious injury to a person's anus, breasts or genitals".

Alan: I mean it's crazy to even have this case because as every doctor well knows what happens to the weeping anus as they call it and so on after all this fisting because it destroys the sphincter muscle, and things like that. Anyway, but this is not the point, the point is to get this passed and make it okay. And to go further you see and they can teach more of this in schools to the youngsters and so on.

"The prosecution claimed three images, found in attachments in Walsh's sent box, showed urethral sounding,"

Alan: They call it.

"...where a medical instrument is inserted into the tip of the penis to stretch the urethra and stimulate the prostate, acts that were likely to cause injury to a person's genitals. Two images showed anal fisting, which was likely to cause injury to a person's anus, it argued. The defence argued there was no evidence of any serious injury in any of those images, and that the activities were low risk."

Alan: Well who looked after it? I mean did anybody examine them? No.

"Walsh had taken the urethral sounding images himself at a New Year's Eve party..."

Alan: Imagine sticking these things up your willy you know.

"...and sent them only to other participants at the party. A sixth charge, of possessing an indecent image of an underage boy, related to an attachment sent to Walsh which showed a sexually aroused male with a ligature. Walsh told the court he had no recollection of ever opening the attachment..."

Alan: Well he was told to say that, right.

"The defence claimed the image was not of a child but an adult. It was also not possible to prove Walsh had opened the attachment, the court heard."

"Walsh, whose Hotmail user name was "Cityfister" with the password "scat","

Alan: Which is poop by the way.

"...and who was a registered user of a social networking website called NastyKinkyPigs.com, admitted to police he had an interest in BDSM. He had practiced fisting but disputed the claim that the images were extreme pornography."

Alan: So the whole point is this is not extreme pornography. This is the whole point of the case here, as they push the envelope to the next level. And that's what it's really, really all about.

This other article is called:

"Extreme porn and 50 Shades of Grey"

Alan: Because you see, and it actually has the term in it that this stuff is now normalized in society in the culture. Well it probably is now, I bet you it is. The school children are brought up with it. They get taught that in school. And they're taught too that there may be something wrong with you if you haven't tried it. And they can even see therapists if they're confused, you know. And of course as I say the porn industry just keeps churning out more and more and more of this stuff. Because you see to be totally ruled in every way at all you have to be utterly degraded. And it destroys your culture, it destroys the bonds that hold you all together as a culture, and the culture can't stand. You're easily managed then because you're totally screwed up. {Laughs} So this article here says:

"An openly gay barrister, magistrate and alderman for the City of London..."

Alan: Again the big financial capital, the sovereign City of London.

"...has today been acquitted of possessing five images..."

Alan: Etcetera, etcetera. And they go into it too that, "What is kinky today? What is abnormal today?" in this article. And they talk about these things are all normalized now you see. So I'll put this other link up too so you can see both of them for those who have got the stomach for it. But it really is just to push the envelope as I say into more bizarre and bizarre and bizarre activity. And as I say you're easily managed when everyone's into strange stuff. Plus the straight folk too are getting all this stuff and it will end up as well. The promotion by Aldous Huxley's brother, Julian Huxley, when he was the head of UNESCO, he said they'd push all this stuff, forty or fifty years ago he said this. And he said they must destroy marriage all together. And you do it by bringing them down to the most basic level by all of this stuff here you see. And that's why it is the big agenda. And that's why the guy got off with it all. Never mind his status in society.

Now this gets on to eugenics again because the boys at the top as I've said are really big into eugenics. And they put out books fifty, even sixty years ago, maybe even earlier, about the time would come when they ran their scientific society that they would make sure that everyone who wanted to get married, or even just have offspring, they would have to have gene tests to test both parties. To see if they were compatible and they'd produce a better type, an approved type anyway, a governmental approved type of child. So:

"Scientist Predicts Eugenic Society in 5 Years: Gene Tests to Determine Lover's Compatibility"

"We're heading into a new era of eugenics, and in the future, instead of choosing to settle with partners we love, we may be choosing them based on the compatibility of our genes, a leading scientist has warned."

"Professor Armand Leroi, of Imperial College London, predicts that the ever declining cost of DNA testing means that we may be heading toward a society that is based on genetic superiority."

"It seems we may be heading into a new era of eugenics, where society will embrace genetic superiority and people will pick their partners based on genes. The 1997 sci-fi film Gattaca..."

Alan: I've mentioned that over the years.

"... is about how a genetically inferior man,"

Alan: Which is normal, a normal guy.

"...living in a future society driven by liberal eugenics where babies are designed to have the best traits of their parents, takes on the identity of another genetically superior man in order to pursue his lifelong dream of space travel. It seems we may be heading into this new era."

"Leroi told the Euroscience Open Forum..."

Alan: That's a big scientific gathering every year there.

"...2012, in Dublin, that he expects that in five to 10 years, it will become standard practice for young people to pay to access their entire genetic code. Naturally, the future generation's desire to have a healthy baby will then lead them to request access to view the genetic blueprint of any prospective

long-term partner. He told researchers attending the major science conference in Dublin that with the information, future couples could then use IVF to weed out offspring with incurable disease."

Alan: Like abortion in other words.

"However, he added that it is unlikely that people will have the "luxury" of using the technology to design babies by intellect or eye color, but will instead focus on stopping genetic diseases."

Alan: They will use that as the excuse of course. They already are using that as an excuse to abort.

"Speaking in a session titled "I human: are new scientific discoveries challenging our identity as a species", Leroi said the cost of genetic sequencing has been falling so quickly that "it is going to become very, very accessible, very soon". As an example, he said that the cost of genetically sequencing a person has fallen from \$1 billion more than a decade ago to about \$4,000 today."

Alan: And then they go on to talk about probably the health service too, the National Health Service will bring it in as well. Well government wants it to be brought in because remember the big boys who designed this system a long, long time ago, the eugenicists said that eventually the government would make sure that the kind of children and offspring, for an obedient society, the government would be involved in that mightily. And they certainly, certainly will be if they're not already in fact.

They're already dumbing down the children big time with injections and so on as far as I can see with all the studies that have been done. And they drug ones who are a bit brighter to make sure that they're out of the picture as well; they won't cause governments any problems. The rest of them are pretty happy playing with their electric gadgets. And so they've achieved quite a lot actually as they said they would. And of course they would because there's no opposition to it. Most folk don't even know what's going on. Anyway it says:

""The search for an essence is a 2,000-year-old myth. What we are left with is a sense of capacity and the role of genes in the way they give us these things," he added. "I am certain genome sequencing will be available on the NHS within our lifetimes. It is going to be very, very accessible very, very soon.""

Alan: And then it goes on with some other comments and so on, from different people. Of course they have the Christian one that jumps in.

"...the Christian Medical Fellowship, said that society must "recognize and resist the eugenic mind set."
"Our society's increasing obsession with celebrity status, physical perfection and high intelligence fuels the view that the lives of people with disabilities or genetic diseases are somehow less worth living,""

Alan: And of course that's a truism as well because in this society if you're nonproductive, remember the United Nations said a good global citizen is a producer and consumer. That says it all right there. If you're nonproductive they don't really want you around. And this gives just added fuel and weight to it as they go into eugenics big style. But that is the system for "Brave New World". And as I say the boys at the top want to produce obedient, happy and obedient, people at the bottom. And that will come too.

Now I mentioned last night and last weekend I mentioned a good article written about the Royal Institute for International Affairs, the Council on Foreign Relations and how literally they are behind all these wars. They have been for a long, long time in the Middle East and elsewhere. If you go into the older books you'll find they helped set up Hitler for Germany through the financing, their own system. They owned the central banks back then and the private banks so they could finance Hitler, and they created I.G. Farben. And they also set up the communist system as well because they've done big experiments in the past to make sure, to find out what's the best system to have in the end run you see. And that's where we are today, in the end run.

I've mentioned too that all the spokespeople for the wars that are shown on television, media and so on, all belong to the same organization, the Royal Institute for International Affairs, the Council on Foreign Relations. And this article here is written by one of their Senior Fellows for the Middle Eastern Studies for the Council on Foreign Relations. He says:

"Al-Qaeda's Specter in Syria"

"The Syrian rebels would be immeasurably weaker today without al-Qaeda in their ranks. By and large, Free Syrian Army (FSA) battalions are tired, divided, chaotic, and ineffective. Feeling abandoned by the West,"

Alan: Which they're not.

"...rebel forces are increasingly demoralized as they square off with the Assad regime's superior weaponry and professional army. Al-Qaeda fighters, however, may help improve morale."

Alan: Remember this is Al-Qaeda that was supposed to be blowing up the world, took the towers down and all that stuff according to Rumsfeld at the time, which is nonsense.

“The influx of jihadis brings discipline, religious fervor, battle experience from Iraq, funding from Sunni sympathizers in the Gulf, and most importantly, deadly results. In short, the FSA needs al-Qaeda now.”

Alan: So they're all behind it, at the Council on Foreign Relations, the guys that give you all of your media. Because all mainstream media, the top reporters, all belong to it. The editors belong to the Council on Foreign Relations. The guys who own it are the Royal Institute for International Affairs. They own all your media. They give you your reality. That's why they can turn the bad guys into good guys overnight, and so well too. Most folk suddenly just change without thinking. That's how it's done, very simple.

Now, going back to Bernays. Bernays again came from a society. He belonged to a society that was already into finding out how people's minds work on mass, to handle the masses. Bernays didn't come out with the stuff himself, or his uncle Sigmund Freud, but anyway that's another story all together. But we've been understood for thousands of years by a certain society that's dealt with all countries and sold them pretty well everything under the sun, still is in fact.

Anyway, what Bernays came up with was, for his clients, Big Pharma, other organizations, he'd open up an office somewhere, any little storefront would do. Anywhere would do, even someone in their home could do it, and give it a fancy title like 'Scientists for So-and-so,' and they'd be called specialists. Then he'd hire scientists just to have their name on their letterhead and one secretary would be employed. That's all you need for staff and she would just answer any queries that came in. And of course the public think oh well if the top scientists are all for this, etcetera, it must be okay.

Well this is what happened too, to do with mammography for breast cancer. It was pushed like crazy by the big boys themselves. You understand the big boys, DuPont and the whole bit are involved in x-rays, you know that? They make the x-ray film because it's a byproduct of the explosives industry for warfare which they're into. It's nitrocellulose they use for making those x-ray sheets that you see. And a lot of other big corporations are into it too, so they profit mightily from massive campaigns on x-rays, that give you cancer actually. And I've read the article last week about how now they're admitting well yeah it could be giving you cancer too and apart from that it doesn't detect anything.

But:

“BMJ [British Medical Journal] OpEd Says Komen Ads False”

Alan: Komen is an organization set up just like Bernays said they'd do, that would be a charitable organization with experts in it that would promote it, you see, multi-million dollar industry. So it says:

"The world's largest breast cancer charity..."

Alan: You see, that gives you all your information about it.

"...used misleading statistics and deceptive statements about mammography to promote breast cancer awareness and screening, authors of an opinion piece asserted. In promotional material for the 2011 Breast Cancer Awareness Month, Susan G. Komen for the Cure..."

Alan: That's what it's called, Komen for the Cure.

"...suggested large differences in breast cancer survival among women who undergo screening mammography and those who do not. Specifically, the advertisement stated a 5-year survival of 98% when breast cancer is caught early and 23% when it is not. In doing so, the organization ignored "a growing and increasingly accepted body of evidence showing that although screening may reduce a woman's chance of dying from breast cancer by a small amount, it also causes major harms.""

Alan: Well goodness me all the early guys who dealt with x-rays for instance all died off of cancers. Like they've just found out that it causes cancers, eh? Hmmm.

"This benefit of mammography looks so big that it is hard to imagine why any one would forgo screening. She'd have to be crazy," Steven Woloshin, MD, and Lisa M. Schwartz, MD, of the Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center in White River Junction, Vt. and the Dartmouth Institute for Health Policy and Clinical Practice in Lebanon, N.H., wrote in an article published online in BMJ. "But it's the advertisement that is crazy," they added. In response to the BMJ article, Susan G. Komen for the Cure issued a statement from Chandini Portteus, vice president of research, evaluation, and scientific programs."

Alan: Naturally, so she's still pushing it. But the fact is, the British Medical Journal is not some little comic. It's one of the top journals there is in medicine. So at least they're coming out with some stuff after many years of conning the public of all of its massive benefits. You find a lot of women too who've had chest problems before, lung problems when they were young and got lots of x-rays, end up getting breast cancer. And so they're going to use x-rays to find out if you've got cancer.

Now, Canada, every country, the U.S. and every country with a central banking system that are all owned by the same boys, has been dishing cash out to the International Monetary Fund to supposedly bail out Europe because "we're all in it together" they say at the Royal Institute for International Affairs. You know, we're all in it together, we've got to save ourselves by throwing money in the black hole. It's not going

nowhere, it's going into pockets obviously, it's not disappearing. But they can't stop the ship from sinking so they need more and more cash.

The whole intention, as Carroll Quigley said for the Council on Foreign Relations, is to create a massive centralized bank for every quadrant of blocs that trade with each other. And they'll all be under the Bank for International Settlements in Switzerland. That was the plan he wrote about back in the 60's. That's what they're bringing out of this very crisis.

Remember what they always say in that organization, "We can use this crisis to our advantage." That's how they do everything. They create the crisis and then they use it to their advantage. Back with more after this break.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt, we're back Cutting Through the Matrix. And as I say Canada is refusing at the moment to give any more cash out to the European so-called debt crisis. Now we'll take Werner who's out in New Brunswick, Canada, who's hanging on the line here and I'll see if I can hear him. Are you there Werner?

Werner: Yeah, good evening Alan. Earlier in the program you mentioned about in agriculture there with GM modified and the detrimental effect it has for anything or anyone who consumes it. And then the pesticide residues in the soil. I have been following this up and documenting this now for nearly forty years and they create basically a muddled picture where they booby-trap the soil with different chemicals and only the insiders know what is all being done. And when I approached it, it's now for the last thirty years, through the department of agriculture, I was getting the runaround and was being mocked about it, but I have now so much documentation and as I say when I came here to Canada I was familiar with the effects of different agriculture chemicals, herbicides, and so on. And it made me just furious the way the so-called experts in the Department of Agriculture have been bamboozled. You know the old-fashioned farmers, if their farm was sustainable, they would farm organically, you know.

Alan: That's right.

Werner: But something that I stuck my nose on lately, I was looking at telephone numbers of different institutions and government offices. And I just looked at the main switchboard number for the New Brunswick Department of Agriculture, you know the minister's office and the main switchboard number, the telephone number is, 853-2666. And how easier to further their agenda about manipulating people, even chemically, as through food, through water, and through the air we breathe in. You know and the


effect of what has been introduced and what has been inhaled or eaten, they are known to basically, only to the insider. And here it comes into the Hegelian dialectic you know, set out all kinds of booby traps. And the ordinary people cannot figure it out. But the insiders, they know how to deal with it. And I now for nearly thirty years have tried to, went after the truth, had samples tested by other laboratories than the Department of Agriculture. But I might have been successful the first time but when I went the second time I found out there is a whole network and they inform each other and even when you go to private labs most of the time you don't get any results. They screw it up and they charge you with a big bill. And basically they want to send you on wild goose chases and exhaust you financially this way too.

Alan: Yes, and also too, the key is too that the big boys themselves do not eat the stuff. Even the Monsanto workers will not eat the stuff that they grow themselves. And neither will the politicians, they have access to organically grown food. And when you get up into politics you get your card that allows you to get a great supply of organic stuff. They do not eat what we eat. And even Tony Blair said that himself when he made it mandatory that they eat it in Britain for the public, but the politicians in their own cafeteria would not have the GMO food put there. So they know darn well what they're doing to us Werner, but thanks for calling, and you're right on with it.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight, and may your God or your Gods go w

October 23, 2025 at 9:47 am

[EDIT](#) | [MOVE](#) | [SPLIT](#) | [TRASH](#) | [SPAM](#) | [UNAPPROVE](#) | [REPLY](#) [#1636](#)



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

You're living through a plan; a plan, of course, where billions of people across the world are manipulated along the way through the generations in preparation for the unification of a world system, and first they go into multiculturalism which really is no culture at all and then they dissolve that gradually and bring in a new world culture of obedience— and that's the whole key to this system: obedience to the world system; and you're actually going through big stages of it right now. The new world order doesn't plan to bring in a nice happy world order; it's really to do with a planned society and eugenics – big time eugenics – and obedient little workers for them for the next, well, (who knows?) many thousands of years. And I'm not kidding about that, thousands of years, because Charles Galton Darwin in the 1950's talked about it in his book *The Next Million Years*, in fact; he said it was on behalf of his own class, his own elite group. He talked about altering society to suit themselves and to bring in an ordered peasant group, basically, at the bottom who would never complain, be very happy on very little— and that's what they're into today in fact. Now academia is onboard because academia, especially the higher academia that in Britain you call the granite stone ones, and it's the Ivy League ones in America; that's your managerial class of course that takes over on behalf of those who already own the world and so they're the ones that get the higher education into all of this, in eugenics and organization and domination, etcetera. The

other ones of course don't get this, the red-brick universities they call them in Britain; they don't get the same kind of stuff taught to them. So, academia is pretty well all onboard with this, all hoping to survive and make a good paycheck for themselves as they help to alter the mindset of the populations which they dominate over, basically. And as I say, you're all part of it. Exciting time, really, because you'll never be bored if you are conscious at all; those who are unconscious and in T.V. land, well, they won't notice—they'll adapt.

Back with more after this....

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the big system and how exciting it is, in a sense, because if you're aware of what's happening, the reasons why things are happening, you're not fooled by the mainstream media, which of course is owned by, really, one organization across the world that's got Reuters and the Council on Foreign Relations/Royal Institute of International Affairs— it's all the same bunch really that run all of your media and they never give you the straight truth on anything. Their job is to manage us all through the big changes and they do a good job of it, mind you; look how well they've done so far— look around you. But remember too that this system that's coming in is a feudal system, and that's very, very important because Quigley and others talked about it who worked for the Council on Foreign Relations and they were the historians, in fact, and they talked about this new feudal system. It started with public/private; that's how we first heard the terms, public/private enterprises, as your tax money pays for big structures to go up, etcetera, for private companies to be handed it for peanuts, like gifts almost, to run themselves; so you pay for the maintenance and they take all the profit. But also too they've even privatized intelligence services and everything else (have for a long time by the way, an awful long time). I mean, your country itself is a private corporation. It's really astonishing the reality as opposed to the fiction that you're brought up to presume; and that's what they do in school: you presume things. You're led to presume things and then you never think outside of the box once you're in it.

What the big boys have always done is control monopolies and create monopolies; once they have monopolies in place.... And the monopolies, remember, they said at the beginning when they formed the Cecil Rhodes Foundation along with Rothschild and they became the Royal Institute for International Affairs, they said they'd take over the world and take over all of its natural resources – now that includes

people too; you are a natural resource; but all of the gold, diamonds, water, food, minerals, everything basically, is under private corporations, even though they'll often use your tax money because the biggest welfare recipients are these private corporations. But they're going over it and of course 5 big agribusinesses run the world's food supply today, and probably it's the same shareholders, the majority shareholders, who are in each one of them. So, it's really one company as far as I'm concerned and they're ensuring that all of the peasantry get to eat the GM food. And of course the GM stuff and corn is all fed to the cattle and all the other animals too, so it's all through their system as well; so one way or another you get it. And they themselves at the top have made, you know... there's been big articles about how they won't eat it themselves; they have their own special supplies of real food, the normal stuff. But it goes right down to your grocery stores. And Australia has got that too where only two companies, basically, Coles and Woolworths, basically, are taking over the whole food supply in grocery stores for the country. I'll put this one up tonight for those who want to look through it...

A new report by Master Grocers Australia says supermarket giants Coles and Woolworths are deliberately killing competition.

(Alan: Well that's what they've always done, you see, these boys. You know, competition is a sin, according to Rockefeller and others. It says...)

Master Grocers Australia, which represents smaller operators, says Coles and Woolworths are saturating the market and opening oversized supermarkets to lock out competitors.

And they do that; we've seen that with Wal-Marts and all the rest of them too when they come into an area and they cut their prices until everybody goes to them and the small hardware stores and everything else just fall apart and out of business and then they jack up their prices after it, once the competition is eliminated. So, this is the sort of stuff that's going on. And you must understand it's a very dangerous thing when agribusinesses, big agribusinesses and big chain stores probably related to the agribusinesses, are taking over your food supply; I mean, they've got you by the short and curlies. It's a dangerous situation, believe you me. And also tonight I'll put up...

The Takedown of Australia

It's about what's happening there, why it's happening, and the means they're using to push Australia down the path into total, well, private ownership by the big ones at the top— not for the ones who already thought they owned their homes, etcetera, because everything is a con through legalism. I'll put that up too.

Now last weekend too I mentioned how the...

EXCLUSIVE—U.S. banks told to make plans for preventing collapse

(A: And Reuters talked about it. The Chicago Tribune talked about it too. And it says that...)

U.S. regulators directed five of the country's biggest banks, including Bank of America Corp (BAC.N) and Goldman Sachs Group Inc (GS.N), to develop plans for staving off collapse if they faced serious problems, emphasizing that the banks could not count on government help.

The two-year-old program, which has been largely secret until now, is in addition to the "living wills" the banks crafted to help regulators dismantle them if they actually do fail. It shows how hard regulators are working to ensure that banks have plans for worst-case scenarios and can act rationally in times of distress.

Well, they do act rationally; what they do is they funnel all the cash into overseas accounts while they crash or before they crash like they did the last time because they knew what they were bringing on. There's nothing more crooked than the banking system— it's always been that way; the people who run them have got this in their blood or something and it's always really been that way: it's a con from top to bottom.

Now, this one article here too is about coal. Because under the EPA and all, there's a greening agenda, etcetera, and the carbon emissions, they're trying to put all the coal mines out of business, which is going to cause catastrophe, really, down the road. This article here is a good one on a good massive mine in the States. And it says...

About one train per hour. That's the target loading rate for the massive silos, conveyors, and hoppers at the North Antelope Rochelle Mine in Wyoming, the most productive coal mine in the world. And on a cool, nearly windless day in late March, Scott Durgin, a regional vice president for Peabody Energy, was happy. Standing in the mine's dispatch office,

(A: And they go through how much they put out every day; it's just astronomical—astronomical. And it says there's enough coal there to last about 50 years at least, probably longer. And they actually put out three tons of coal per second...)

The scale and productivity of the mine are difficult to imagine. It produces about three tons of coal per second. But despite its staggering output, the North Antelope Rochelle Mine—along with the other 1,300 coal mines operating in the U.S.—is being threatened by the Obama administration.

(A: Well, it doesn't matter what administration is in, folks; it's the same agenda—you've got to start learning that. The same agenda goes on, the clowns in front are simply changed— and the clowns don't run the country; the ones behind them do.)

On March 27, just two days before my visit to the mine, the Environmental Protection Agency announced a proposal that would, if enacted, outlaw the construction of new coal-fired power plants in the United States. The EPA's motives are clear: it believes that these plants, by emitting carbon dioxide in profusion, contribute to global warming.

There's no denying that coal has earned its reputation as a relatively dirty fuel. But those concerned about CO₂ emissions and climate change should realize that the administration's attack on coal is little more than a token gesture. The rest of the world will continue to burn coal, and lots of it.

(A: China will and India; they've got carte blanche, as much as they want, under the United Nations agreement and the World Trade Organization.)

Reducing the domestic use of coal may force Americans to pay higher prices for electricity,

(A: Well it certainly will...)

but it will have nearly no effect on global emissions.

So, it's amazing. The mine's coal seam, the main coal seam, is 80 feet thick it says here. And of course you've got a lot of generators working on that stuff and your energy prices are going to go through the roof eventually because that's part of the austerity program, so that you'll have no spending money left at the end of the month; it will all go in bills and so on— that's what austerity is all about. That's why they designed this program.

And also, in Germany – and Germany is only one country in this whole European Soviet Union amalgam that they call the E.U. – it says that...

Leading politicians from across Germany's political spectrum called this weekend for a referendum on whether to transfer more powers

(A: More powers, right?)

to Europe, as a survey suggested most Germans would reject any move toward debt-sharing among states.

The head of Germany's main opposition SPD party, Sigmar Gabriel, warned Sunday that the country's parliament is currently working "in a permanent state of emergency under constitutional law" as it seeks to overcome the euro zone's debt crisis.

Well, every country in the world is pouring money into the so-called "debt crisis." There's been no accounting to where it goes; it's another big banking scam. But remember what the Council on Foreign

Relations says: “we always use a crisis to our advantage.” Well, you see, they wanted (and this was the old thing under the Council on Foreign Relations plan/the Royal Institute for International Affairs plan, to bring in the global society) all the central banks, which they would construct, private banks that they would own – remember, the Royal Institute for International Affairs/CFR are private themselves – they’d bring it in across the world under an umbrella of the Bank for International Settlements, and the IMF, of course, would be the guys who would do the bookkeeping for every single country once they have them all amalgamated. They’ve still to do it with the Americas and they’re doing that through terrorism: we’ve got to keep binding ourselves together to save ourselves, etcetera, etcetera; and the Far East Rim too, as it goes under China. So, it’s all working out the way it’s supposed to go, actually, and the crisis is essential to bring this new system in and of course what happens to the money doesn’t matter— they’ll never tell us where it all went, because money is a con game: it’s all based on fraud to begin with, this debt stuff and so on. I mean, when you borrow you get literally nothing except a check, you see; there’s nothing backing it up: there’s nothing moving across the country that’s tangible— it’s all electronic blips so it’s all a con game anyway. But they’ve got to train the public to believe in it, you see. And the public do. And nothing will change until these guys – these cronies – are out of the system and a new system is brought in— it won’t change: it can’t change with the same people in it all the time... then their sons take over.

And this is an odd article here. It says...

Infrastructure protection

Obama considering executive order for infrastructure protection

President Barack Obama is exploring whether to issue an executive order to protect the U.S. critical computer infrastructure from cyber attacks;

(A: Now I thought they'd already done a whole bunch of them already. And they have. Most of the things that they really do they never tell you, you understand.)

White House sources say an executive order is being considered after a 2 August procedural vote in the Senate that all but doomed a cybersecurity bill endorsed by Obama as well as current and former national security officials from both Republican and Democratic administrations

Well, you see, they already have private organizations doing the cyber security and cyber attacks and we'll talk about that later in the broadcast.

Back after this break...

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through the Matrix. Talking about this possibility of a new executive order for infrastructure protection as though they had none at all. So, something else is going on behind it of course. And as I say, they've got so many private groups now all staffed by ex-CIA guys, NSA, that do all the work too and cyber attacks even as well. So, there's something else going on there as it gives more and more power to the Executive Branch.

In Iran too, of course, they had the earthquake. What a coincidence because this is old stuff to do with HAARP technologies; I mean old stuff. You go into the United Nations agreements which they've updated every other year since the 1970's and they said that it could cause earthquakes, tsunamis, blah-blah-blah, a whole bunch of things it can cause; meaning they'd already tested it out and they can do that. So, Iran gets hit by an earthquake and it kills at least 180 people over the weekend there.

A 6.2-magnitude earthquake has levelled villages and damaged homes in north-western Iran, killing at least 180 people and injuring more than 1,350 others, state TV reported

The quake hit the towns of Ahar, Haris and Varzaqan in East Azerbaijan province, the TV report said. At least six villages were totally levelled, and 60 others sustained damage ranging from 50% to 80%, it said.

The epicentre of the quake was a region between Ahar and Haris, about 300 miles north-west of the capital, Tehran, according to Khalil Saei, local Crisis Committee chief...

So, about 40 years ago Brzezinski said this kind of technology would be used. And it really is far more. It can even alter weather, it can create earthquakes, as I say, tsunamis, and this is all stuff signed into the agreements on warfare techniques to do with weather warfare at the United Nations since the 70's and they said it's worse than the Atom Bomb because the chaos it can rip across a whole continent, if they want to, is phenomenal—if they want to. But they can also localize it as well and they can also concentrate a whole bunch of the HAARP projects together and bounce it off the ionosphere and focus it like a magnifying glass would focus it down into a pin-prick on the world and give you earthquakes as well. So, we'll never know because the beauty of it is they never have to admit to it— that's the beauty of it all. Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars, you know; that's what it is. And of course they're really out for Iran and they have been for years and years and years.

Now, the Olympic games, this bread and circuses, is nothing new; I mean, the ancient Romans did it, especially during times of crisis. When things aren't going too well and you've got a bit of inflation and high prices they always bring out bread and circuses, but the cost of everything today, these bread and circuses, is phenomenal and the public pay for all of it. And they pay and pay forever. It says...

Olympics 'will have paid for itself by 2021'

(A: Well, I would say that even that's a "maybe," if they're lucky.)

Leading economists have said that ultimately the London Olympics will start making the city money – although not until 2021.

The Games came in at a revised budget of £10 billion, although the Centre for Economics and Business Research also said that people who stayed at home or took holidays during the Olympics cost the economy £1bn – taking the total cost of the Games to £11bn.

The Stratford area of East London was transformed by the Games and CEBR said that if politicians properly exploited the regeneration of the area then they could start generating an extra £1.8bn-a-year into the economy.

Well, that won't happen. Look where else they've put these things up and they start falling apart in no time at all and that's what will happen here too but you're left with the debt— you're left with the debt. It taught a lot of lessons to the public though, that you're now in a new world order and you've got massive security because of terrorism is everywhere you see and it always will be from now on until eternity, apparently. As we go through massive changes you've got to have something to get martial-law type situations in. So, you're all getting trained that nowhere is safe. Nowhere is safe, you're not safe and you need all this Big Brother stuff to keep you safe, you see.

Also, I noticed too, Japan's economy is really down, big time, and they're really suffering financially; but also it says...

'Severe abnormalities' found in Fukushima butterflies

(A: It's out today and it says that...)

Exposure to radioactive material released into the environment has caused mutations in butterflies found in Japan, a study suggests.

Scientists found an increase in leg, antennae and wing shape mutations among butterflies collected following the 2011 Fukushima accident.

The link between the mutations and the radioactive material was shown by laboratory experiments, they report.

The work has been published in the journal Scientific Reports.

(A: That's the name of the journal: Scientific Reports.)

Two months after the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plant accident in March 2011, a team of Japanese researchers collected 144 adult pale grass blue (*Gizemoria*) butterflies from 10 locations in Japan, including the Fukushima area.

When the accident occurred, the adult butterflies would have been overwintering as larvae.

By comparing mutations found on the butterflies collected from the different sites, the team found that areas with greater amounts of radiation in the environment were home to butterflies with much smaller wings and irregularly developed eyes.

"It has been believed that insects are very resistant to radiation,"

(A: That's what they used to always believe...)

"In that sense, our results were unexpected..."

(A: Because they had mutated.)

Prof Otaki's team then bred these butterflies within labs 1,750km (1,090 miles) away from the accident, where artificial radiation could hardly be detected.

It was by breeding these butterflies that they began noticing a suite of abnormalities that hadn't been seen in the previous generation – that collected from Fukushima – such as malformed antennae, which the insects use to explore their environment and seek out mates

Six months later, they again collected adults from the 10 sites and found that butterflies from the Fukushima area showed a mutation rate more than double that of those found sooner after the accident.

So, it's actually increasing.

Back with more after this...

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through the Matrix. And also, for those who are not aware of it, it says...

NNSA/FBI conduct 100th WMD counterterror exercise

(A: In the U.S. It says...)

...the University of Cincinnati (UC) on Aug. 9 was the 100th such exercise conducted by the NNSA's Offices of Counterterrorism Policy and Cooperation and Global Threat Reduction and the FBI.

The exercise, said NNSA, is part of NNSA's Silent Thunder

(A: They love these names, Silent Thunder...)

table-top series,

(A: It's like some sort of game or something, video game.)

aimed at giving federal, state and local officials, first responders and law enforcement critical, hands-on experience in responding to a terrorist attack involving radiological materials.

(A: Amazing, you had none of this during the Cold War when we were supposed to all get blown up.)

NNSA began the Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) Counterterrorism Exercise Program in 1999 and it has grown to include both domestic Department of Energy facilities and private sector locations such as hospitals and universities, said NNSA. The exercises carried out primarily in the U.S., have also included foreign participants.

During the UC exercise, said NNSA, an official from the International Atomic Energy Agency observed for the first time for the Silent Thunder series...

So they had an observer there from the Atomic Energy Agency.

So, it's just amazing what's really going on and how everything is combined now, all intelligence— private intelligence too, and foreign participants as well— different armies etcetera, all helping out and yada-yada-yah because we're all one world— we're global now, you see; and you have to train the people now simply to obey-obey-obey— that's what fear is supposed to do, you see. You obey authorities in situations where if you didn't have some sort of crisis you shouldn't be obeying them at all; you see, you give up all your rights by just acquiescing to their demands and going along with things.

And, also, it says here...

U.S. government is secretly spying on EVERYONE using civilian security cameras, say Wikileaks

(A: Now, Wikileaks is really under attacks right now by an agency within the United States, it seems to be, and it says that...)

Anyone who takes a photograph at high-risk locations is logged as a suspected terrorist on a vast network of secret spy cameras linked to the U.S. Government, according to leaked emails.

(A: If you ever saw “Enemy of the State,” the movie Enemy of the State done in the 90s, they had all that shown in there where the NSA could use all their own official cameras and all the private ones through stores, alleyways, and subways and so on and put them all together and follow anybody anywhere, so—they’ve actually been doing this all along. And it says...)

People pointing cameras in New York are regarded as suspicious and the facial recognition images of them from the civilian CCTV are fed into a data centre run by U.S. firm Abraxas.

(A: Now, more on Abraxas later because this is a big story on Abraxas and Stratfor; Stratfor is the agency they combined with recently. These are private—very big private; they’re actually arms of the NSA, but they’re private and they’re huge, all staffed by NSA and ex-CIA members, etcetera. That’s how you get things done in the real world: you don’t go through something that has to be responsible to the public or public complaints. These guys are private.)

The system then connects with hundreds of other cameras in a bid to pinpoint potential terrorist activity, it is claimed.

Details of the system emerged from emails released by whistle-blowing website Wikileaks. The issue has caused outrage among privacy campaigners amid fears that it could be abused.

(A: Well of course it will be abused; it’s probably been abused since they started it.)

It has disturbing echoes of the film, The Bourne Identity starring Matt Damon, in which CIA officials use a network of spy cameras to track around the world someone they thought they had assassinated.

(A: Well, as I say, they already had it long before that with Enemy of the State.)

According to the email released by Wikileaks, pictures of people's faces are encrypted and sent to a fortified data centre at a secret location.

The TrapWire system

(A: That's what they call it and we're all under it, by the way, everywhere, have been for a while.)

is run by Abraxas and is linked to civilian CCTV cameras.

The firm owned by the Cubic corporation is apparently staffed by large numbers of former CIA employees.

(A: And as I say Stratfor is a partner with them.)

The cameras and its Trapwire system are one of its methods it uses to do this.

TrapWire is used by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security in a bid to deter terrorist attacks – or catch those responsible once an incident has happened.

According to the company's own documents from 2007, TrapWire is 'a unique, predictive software system designed to detect patterns of per-attack surveillance.'

(A: I guess they mean "pre-attack surveillance.")

This includes 'photographing, measuring and signaling'.

So, we're well under this whole system, and I'll put this link up tonight; they're using it in London, Canada and even in Australia— it's worldwide, this organization. And really, it's unaccountable to the public because, you see, they're private; this is how you get around it all—private. You've got to understand too that the Royal Institute for International Affairs, apart from being a private nonpolitical organization that runs the world and all politics and they put in your prime ministers and presidents and they staff all your high bureaucratic positions too across the world in every country (they're also private), but they're also an intelligence agency – the first big one – because even their headquarters at Chatham House in London, England, was turned into the combined British-American forces during World War II, the OSS. So why did they do that? They did that because, you see, all their agents already were the spy system for the elite of Britain, already— still are. I've noticed too, even the Stratfor documents... because often they'll put articles out there... just like the Council on Foreign Relations... In fact, their goals for the world, when you look at them, are identical to the Council on Foreign Relations; completely all merged together, all these private organizations, running us, you see, and telling the government what to do. Quite something; it really is quite something.

And, also, it says...

epic.org Electronic Privacy Information Center

White House Pulls Down TSA Petition

At approximately 11:30 am EDT, the White House removed a petition about the TSA airport screening procedures from the White House "We the People" website. About 22,500 of the 25,000 signatures necessary for a response from the Administration were obtained when the White House unexpectedly cut short the time period for the petition. The site also went down for "maintenance"

(A: They said...)

following an article in Wired that sought support for the campaign.

So that's what they do; they give you "you can fill this in and we'll have a look at it," you know, and then once they see that there's going to be too many signatures on it and you'll have to look into it they simply pull it— and that's your system for you.

And tonight too I want to mention Stratfor...

Stratfor emails reveal secret, widespread TrapWire surveillance system

(A: These are guys who are in with Abraxas as well. It says...)

Every few seconds, data picked up at surveillance points in major cities and landmarks across the United States

(A: It's everywhere, as I say...)

are recorded digitally on the spot, then encrypted and instantaneously delivered to a fortified central database center at an undisclosed location to be aggregated with other intelligence. It's part of a program called TrapWire and it's the brainchild of the Abraxas, a Northern Virginia company staffed with elite from America's intelligence community.

(A: That's why it's in Northern Virginia.)

The employee roster at Abraxas reads like a who's who of agents once with the Pentagon, CIA and other government entities according to their public LinkedIn profiles, and the corporation's ties are assumed to go deeper than even documented.

The details on Abraxas and, to an even greater extent TrapWire, are scarce, however, and not without reason. For a program touted as a tool to thwart terrorism and monitor activity meant to be under wraps, its understandable that Abraxas would want the program's public presence to be relatively limited. But thanks to last year's hack of the Strategic Forecasting intelligence agency, or Stratfor, all of that is quickly changing.

Hacktivists aligned with the loose-knit Anonymous collective took credit for hacking Stratfor on Christmas Eve, 2011, in turn collecting what they claimed to be more than five million emails from within the company. WikiLeaks began releasing those emails as the Global Intelligence Files (GIF) earlier this year and, of those, several discussing the implementing of TrapWire in public spaces across the country were circulated on the Web this week after security researcher Justin Ferguson brought attention to the matter. At the same time, however, WikiLeaks was relentlessly assaulted by a barrage of distributed denial-of-service (DDoS) attacks, crippling the whistleblower site and its mirrors, significantly cutting short the number of people who would otherwise have unfettered access to the emails.

On Wednesday, an administrator for the WikiLeaks Twitter account wrote that the site suspected that the motivation for the attacks could be that particularly sensitive Stratfor emails were about to be exposed. A hacker group called AntiLeaks soon after took credit for the assaults on WikiLeaks

(A: Well, AntiLeaks is probably just a name they dreamed-up at Stratfor and Abraxas to do cyberattacks, obviously.)

and mirrors of their content, equating the offensive as a protest against editor Julian Assange, "the head of a new breed of terrorist."

It says. I'll put that up tonight too. And also I'll put up tonight though some things to do, some PDFs to do with this organization. They've got the Partnering Agreement; quite an interesting agreement between Abraxas and also Stratfor. And this is the actual agreement they came to for their deal, the official one that is. I'll put that up tonight for you to look at it and it gives you the roles and responsibilities to each other as they're working together; it's quite interesting. I'll also put up another one and it's to do with "Safe Harbor Privacy Policy, TrapWire," a PDF. It says...

This Policy outlines our general policy and practices regarding personal information entered into our United States based systems by European Economic Area ("EEA") subscribing customers, and personal information entered into our EEA based systems which may be accessed from the United States.

And again, it's quite interesting when you go through the legalism of the actual documents themselves, how they even define what personal information is; it's all legalisms, right. Sensitive personal information is on it too and so on and so on. Anyway, I'll put that up tonight as well and also their white paper. It says...

TrapWire General Description

Again, the official PDF, I don't know if it's still up there yet or not but I've got a link to the site too; you can download it tonight for those who want to do it. It's quite interesting.

Now, there's a guy hanging on the line here; I think it's Mike from Pennsylvania. Are you there Mike?

Mike: Yeah, yeah, I'm here.

Alan: Go ahead.

Mike: Okay. I just want to tell the listeners that even if it's 5, 10 dollars, just donate to this show, anything you can, just to keep it going because I help support it too. About 9/11, 2011, this new demonic date... I was out in Las Vegas (I live in Pennsylvania), so I was out there at the time, it was right after their big séance around that time and massive helicopters, all over the city, all day, all night, literally; when I get home a few days later massive drones, everywhere, literally day and night. I mean, literally hundreds, sometimes even a thousand a day; because I've got really sensitive ears. I go back to Vegas, a few times since then, and helicopters day and night. This is the new America. Now, in Pennsylvania, I'm in the last urban county about an hour and a half from Philadelphia. Now I'm thinking of going way up north there, north-central Pennsylvania; but they're going to be there someday too. Fort Indian Town Gap there's going to be over a hundred drone sites, I mean well over, and they're probably lying about that; they lie about everything, the government. They're going to be up there someday. I have relatives that live one county north; I was up there a few times, I don't hear nothing, they said they don't hear anything. But it's coming.

Alan: Oh, it's coming, yeah. They've already admitted...

Mike: Where do you go? Do you go to Maine? Do you go to Montana? I noticed that they might be... I don't see them on the map. Do you go to certain parts of Canada or do you take political asylum in a country that has some weapons, like Russia, or something? I don't know. What do you do?

Alan: Well, you're quite right because they've said it, this is the future— this is the future for the U.S. forever. And it's going to be a big part of the economy, is even making drones in North Carolina; they said they're going to turn the state into one of the biggest drone creators for big business. And other articles have come out. Every police force across the U.S. wants drones, their own little drone stations, so you're quite right: They're going to be absolutely everywhere. Not just the big ones that you're seeing but the smaller

ones too, right down to insect-size things, so, I mean, this is the world they're telling you they're going to bring in and you're supposed to just accept it dumbly and go along with it.

Mike: Your brain can't work. I'm sort of just a tiny bit creative but, I mean, my brain can't... I have to carry headphones when I go outside, even in the house because of these bastards. And, I mean, you know, what do you do at a certain point? I mean, they're going to mow people... I mean, I think they're going to kill, not just by firing on houses, I think this infrared is blasting through people's houses already.

Alan: Well, it is. Actually they've been doing that...

Mike: I think the drones themselves are going to kill people because if you google searches on animals about real high, low, frequency noises and high decibels, it literally shortens their lives.

Alan: It does...

Mike: And they're doing this day and night....

Alan: In the 70's they brought out a movie called Blue Thunder and that was one of the preparatory movies to get us all used to the idea of big, futuristic helicopters going over, watching us through our roofs, through our walls, through everything; and we're here— it's actually here; they've been doing it for years. But now with the drones, of course, they've got a lot more in the sky. And you're quite right, they do have infrared capabilities. They do bathe your home in microwave as they look through you, and so on.

Mike: Yeah, they don't need the TSA to come to your home.

Alan: No, they don't...

Mike: I mean, this is just so demonic what has happened to this country. I just can't believe that you can't even get... I mean, I go outside and I do "Sam Kinison" rants; I yell and scream at the airplanes when they... but the neighbors, they don't care!

Alan: They don't, no. They'll adapt into it. There's so many movies out now and so many domestic dramas about terrorism on, they're already adapting by fiction into the reality, so they do it quite... it's all working together, you see...

Mike: I mean, where do I go from... Do you think north-central Pennsylvania? Maine? Montana? Where? Leave the country?

Alan: Leave the country; lots of folk already have. They've left the country and they've gone elsewhere because they know that they're the most surveyed country, apart from Britain, is the U.S..

Mike. And then you hear Italy is getting drones. I mean, they're all going to have drones. I think maybe the countries with the guns you might be able to have a little hope there; I know the new world order hates Slavic people. I'm half Slavic and half Italian, but...

Alan: It's going to be quieter in Russia than it is here.

Mike: Yeah, yeah, I understand.

Alan: And so, that is the future and every article from all academia will affirm that, that is our future, get used to it— that's what they're telling us. That's what the CFR is telling us, get used to it, in an article I read last week about drones.

Mike: I literally have to sleep in a bunker down in the basement, literally. This is how awful.... I wanted you to comment too about the theory that the U.S. is going to roll over Russia and China, or are they just actors?

Alan: Yeah, okay, I'll do that when I come back.

Back after this break...

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through the Matrix. And, just to answer the caller's question about Syria and to do with China and maybe even Russia, agitating about what's happening; they come out and say the right things, certainly, but remember, China, for instance, is still a totalitarian system— very much so. And so there are politics involved. But remember too that China also holds the debt, pretty well a good part of the debt—most of the debt for the U.S., so there's something else going on. And we've got to remember

too that the big boys who created the Royal Institute for International Affairs set up the whole agenda for Free Trade across the world and special partners and all the rest of it; China was to be one of them down the road. In fact, we built China up out of nothing. So it's not an enemy at all; it's completely interwoven with what we do. Now they're still pushing for more territory in their own China Sea region, of course, but I don't think they'll do anything if the U.S. goes into Syria. Russia too, it's very doubtful, even from a financial aspect, in the present situation, if they'll actually do anything either. If they keep complaining they can get various concessions from the U.S. to get things that they want, if they keep quiet and that might be the agreement — if they keep quiet and allow it to happen it's because the U.S. has given them what they've wanted and that's really how these kind of geopolitics and so on works. So, we'll have to wait and see. But we're in a world order regardless. And, we've got to remember too that the Soviet system didn't just collapse by itself; it went out to merge with the West because we, now, are using the same planks of the communist manifesto— and actually, we've fulfilled them all, right down to the destruction of marriage and all the rest of it. It's all been fulfilled in the West. So, that was the idea. Lenin, he said that the whole dictatorship would last for about one generation or 70 years and then he said we'd blend in with the West: Not quite capitalist, not quite communist— it's now what they call "liberal" or "socialist" but it's really totalitarian! That's what it is. So I don't expect any real opposition at all from the big boys whatsoever, even though the U.S. is putting missile sites around countries that surround modern-day Russia just as an added safeguard, but I don't expect anything much will happen there for a while.

And getting back to WikiLeaks, it says...

WikiLeaks says website was target of cyber attack

(A: And this is from Reuters, and it says that...)

WikiLeaks said its website had been the target of a cyber attack late on Tuesday as it proceeded with the release of thousands of previously unpublished U.S. diplomatic cables, some still classified.

"WikiLeaks.org is presently under attack,"

It says. It's getting 4 gigs per second actually banged at their Australian site and I think less actually elsewhere, but it described the problem as a cyber attack. So it is a cyber attack, probably a cyber attack and it's not a bunch of children in the U.S. that's ticked off at them for letting a lot of the cats out of the bag on security within the U.S.; it's obviously one of the big agencies itself. So they're actually retaliating now, as they set them up to do; you're actually seeing cyber warfare. And cyber warfare has been going on for a long time, mainly against small characters as they fry your computers and things like that or you can't get access, like half the time I can't pull up sites during the day when somebody's playing with me. But this is the big type of cyber attack where you can't get in there because they're hitting it with so many computers all at once and that's how they're doing it; that's the world we're living in. Because you see, you're not supposed to know that private intelligence agencies are running pretty well the world on behalf of their masters —the Royal Institute of International Affairs and CFR— that planned this 100-year plan of globalism, and you're going through part of it today, the latter part, not the end though, but the latter part because there's another stage after this.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario Canada, it's goodnight and may your God, or your Gods, go with you.

October 23, 2025 at 9:47 am

[EDIT](#) | [MOVE](#) | [SPLIT](#) | [TRASH](#) | [SPAM](#) | [UNAPPROVE](#) | [REPLY](#) [#1637](#)



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

Also, straight donations are really awfully welcome in this day and age, of hyper-inflation. Because that's really what we've got. It's a steady marked inflation to bring you into austerity. The whole world is going under it because that's the plan for the future. And I'll kind of touch on that tonight too, in one of the articles I've got here. But you understand, we are guided and directed from birth to death along a pathway, predetermined by big, big powers, written out in very intensive data. In other words, it's not just guesses by the big boys, themselves: How should we do this? It's all worked out by their think tanks. And they have think tanks working; hundreds and hundreds across the planet, working on every facet of society and culture and behavior and everything else to do with humanity. So we're guided and nudged

and pushed along the path that serves our masters very well. Because they've already planned the future for a post-democratic society. They've already said that at the Club of Rome. It's an authoritarian society, it's post-consumerism. You have to cut back on everything, paying big energy bills and all your extra cash will go on paying your basics. That's what it's all about: just to stay alive as they move you all off into even more crowded old cities. For the masses, that is. It sounds rather nasty, but that's what they have all planned out. And, as I say, I'll touch on that tonight, anyway. Because they're putting out their data all the time, mainly through universities, because that's where they recruit their own world managers from, the next generation. And they give them more data on that, on the upcoming scary scenarios of the future and how they must get us all, like a big, big herd, into these overcrowded cities.

And again too, as I say, make use of the website because I go through the histories of the organizations, at least from the late 1800's as they broke out openly and started publishing their books on how the world should really be run and how there really were real classes, mental, intellectual classes of society from the top to the bottom. And that most of them should be ruled by those at the top. That's what they really believe. Still do. They have academia on board. They're very snobbish in that area, and elitist. And they're into eugenics big time. It isn't just the electronic field that's transforming fast, it's us as well as they bio-engineer the people. Back with more after this break.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt. We're back. Cutting Through the Matrix. And it's astonishing the world we do live in. Most folk take it for granted. They really do. And all the changes they take for granted as well. The majority of the public always really have done that. And studies have been done, over the last hundred and hundred-and-fifty years on that very topic. Even H.G. Wells mentioned it too, when he was on a big ocean liner going across the Atlantic. And he said, how many people are actually in wonder at the speed we're going, in his day, for this big liner that was going pretty fast for that time. He says, how many people are actually thinking about how fast we've come and who are astonished by the achievements and in awe? And true enough, most of the folk on board were just having a good old time, partying and all the rest of it. It probably never entered their head that not long before it, they'd be on a sailing boat or a wooden ship taking months to go across. So that's how we adapt. We adapt to all the changes. We're the best-informed slaves that's ever existed in history actually. We have so much information about our slavery. And even that itself can actually work in the best interest of those who control us, the fact that we know a lot of it. We kind of consent to it, you see. And it's all contractual, as well. Although the big boys

at the top make it impossible eventually for you to not, for instance, use the Internet, etc. That's their idea with the cashless society getting really pushed big time.

And even government wants, and telling you, from Canada, for instance, they want you to buy a little flash drive down the road that will connect you with them so they can talk directly to you, the individual. Exactly what, again, going back to H.G. Wells, what he talked about, about a hundred years ago. And you have no one else to stand up around you as a group so therefore you're not part of democracy. See, you need a big group to be heard, to be part of democracy. They talked about that a hundred years ago too. And so if you're just little old you, and you have no one to stand up for you, even family, anymore, then the government's got you where they want you, you know, shivering and terrified, like Winston in George Orwell's book, 1984. And the big boys at the top, even though they're broke one minute, they're full of money the next. Here's an article here, for instance, like,

"JP Morgan Spends \$500 Million per Data Center"

Alan: And it says, they're building

"a data center, according to CEO Jamie Dimon."

"That figure places the firm's facilities among the most expensive in the country, on a par with investments by Google and Microsoft in their largest data centers."

Alan: It's astonishing isn't it? They're all broke one minute and getting bailed out. The next minute they're up there buying over the world. And we're supposed to accept this as just normalcy. Most folk do, mind you. They really do. And again, even when people think that the last big meeting to do with global warming was a failure, down at Rio there, nonsense, nothing's further from the truth, they never give up because they're backed by the big boys themselves. The big NGOs, that you're always hearing crying crisis-crisis-crisis, they're backed by the foundations that run the world. Private corporations run the world, not governments. Your governments are there to do what they're told by the corporations above them. And I've gone through and so have the big boys themselves who worked with the corporations,

they've written their books, like Quigley and other people, that it's a feudal system you're living in. And they even put in your prime ministers and presidents and have for a hundred years... a hundred years. And you think you're voting them in. But this article here... to show you that they're not giving up because why should they when it's the big boys that need you all to believe that if you don't get crowded into cities and become austere and stop breeding then it's all over for everyone. In reality it's for the utopia of the elite as we all die off, you see. Then their offspring can go down through the eons with using slaves as workers. Bio-engineered slaves, of course. Better than us. They won't eat much. They won't need entertainment and parties and so on. It says,

“Study Predicts Imminent Irreversible Planetary Collapse”

Alan: Oooo {spookily}. It's always imminent and a crisis. Remember, a few years back, Prince Charles, who also belongs to these groups, of course, said the same thing: if we don't change everything in four months, it's all over. Anyway, this article says, and again it's for university people, because that's where they recruit from to terrify the next generation. It says,

“The authors recommend governments undertake five actions immediately if we are to have any hope of delaying or minimizing a planetary-state-shift.”

Alan: This is the new term for it. It's a “state-shift,” a “planetary-state-shift.” Oooo, sounds scary, eh?

“Arne Mooers, an SFU biodiversity professor and a co-author of this study, summarizes them as follows. ‘Society globally has to collectively...’

Alan: I like globally and collective. The collective is a type of socialism.

“... decide that we need to drastically lower our population very quickly.”

Alan: That's exactly what Rockefeller said at the Lucky Gene Club a couple of years ago. We've got to go into drastic and fast depopulation now, he said. It says,

"More of us need to move to optimal areas at higher density..."

Alan: That's the crowded cities, the old cities, not the new ones that are lying vacant around the world for the big elite to move into.

"...and let parts of the planet recover."

Alan: That's what it's about: 'recovery,' folks. It says,

"Folks like us have to be forced to be materially poorer..."

Alan: Ok, that's what it is.

"Folks like us have to be forced to be materially poorer..."

Alan: Of course the author doesn't see herself like the rest of you. But also,

"We also need to invest a lot more in creating technologies to produce and distribute food without eating up more land and wild species. It's a very tall order."

Alan: So they never give up from their mantra that they've been prattling on about for a hundred-odd years, because it's all of you folk that they want to get rid of, you see. Stop procreating and having children. You know they don't mind you procreating, in fact they want that because then there's no family unit. You won't bond with anybody but don't have children. That's their whole point in this thing. And the article I read the other day too, where they talk about, that eventually the government will step in and say who can breed with whom. They'll genetically match you up and all that kind of stuff. So, very old stuff but always rehashed, rehashed because the agenda never changes. Never changes. I'll put this up tonight and the full text as well, the whole article so you can go through it, for those who want to. And another article too, was from, it says,

"The Global Intelligence Files"

Alan: It says,

"On Monday February 27th, 2012, WikiLeaks began publishing The Global Intelligence Files, over five million e-mails from the Texas headquartered "global intelligence" company Stratfor. The e-mails date between July 2004 and late December 2011. They reveal the inner workings of a company that fronts as an intelligence publisher, but provides confidential intelligence services to large corporations, such as Bhopal's Dow Chemical Co., Lockheed Martin, Northrop Grumman, Raytheon and government agencies, including the US Department of Homeland Security, the US Marines and the US Defence Intelligence Agency. The emails show Stratfor's web of informers, pay-off structure, payment laundering techniques and psychological methods."

Alan: And one of the articles is about the Libya Operation. It's like a kind of hindsight thing. But Libya of course was predetermined years ago, long before they attacked it, as all of them are. And of course they divvied up the oil fields before they even went in there. It was already divvied up. And we had the same thing with Iraq. It is now admitted that the big oil company boys went to see Tony Blair long before they went into Iraq and they decided who was getting what oil fields. It's just plunder, you know. But, again too, the whole idea is to get all of these guys out of the area, so they can then use it for themselves and what's interesting too, they talked about, at the Council on Foreign Relations, Trilateral Commission, you had Kissinger talking about leaving them in states where they would basically fight each other, internally, all the different factions and groups and different types of Muslims and so on. Keep them all fighting forever and they'll become completely dysfunctional forever. And then all they have to do is to pay a

gang to guard the big oil corporations that can go and plunder for peanuts, for pennies. So, I'll put this one up tonight too, on the Libya Operation, to show you how it really was all about.

Also, Bernanke at the 32nd General Conference of the International Association for Research in Income and Wealth gave a talk. I'll put that up tonight too. And he goes on about all our measurements. But what's interesting about Bernanke, he's now parroting the same term to do with austerity and Gross Domestic Happiness: If the peasants are happy... we can make them happy by psychology, basically, repetition, psychology and so on and they won't mind so much about being poor. That's in the speech too if you go through it, for those who can handle reading for ten minutes. I'll put that up tonight, as well.

And we know with the bankers' scandal how they were swapping mortgages and jacking the prices up and reselling them until they couldn't even find who the original owners of houses happened to be. And they were also faking, the people who were coming forward, their income, so they could get houses they could never afford. And this was happening across the whole world, because we are global, you see. And in Australia, it says,

"Broker Blows the Whistle on Sub-Prime Scandal. West Australia mortgage broker who got rich by fudging figures has blown the whistle on banks that had conspired in Australia's own sub-prime mortgage scandal. In 2007, Kate Thompson was West Australia's mortgage broker of the year. Now she is facing fraud charges. It is alleged Mortgage Miracles, in Canning Vale in Western Australia, obtained investment loans for customers by using falsely inflated earnings and assets. Ms Thompson admits that is exactly what she did. 'I would get upfront commission, I would get a trailing commission. I was probably earning about \$5 million a year. It was great. It was wonderful. But it was all a lie,' she said. But she was not alone. 'Hook me up to a lie detector test and hook them up. I'll lay my evidence on the table. They will fail a lie detector test miserably. They are corrupt. They are protecting each other,' Ms Thompson said. Along with similar claims before a parliamentary inquiry in Canberra last week, her evidence has the potential to rock the finance industry."

Alan: Well they'll cover it up, because they're awfully good at covering things up. It says,

"Through a series of emails from banks to brokers how to get their deals across the line, make the deal fit. They targeted older people, people on carers allowance, age pensions,' Denise Brailey, from the Banking and Finance Consumers Support Association, told the inquiry.

"I would get upfront commission, I would get a trailing commission. I was probably earning 5 million..."

Alan: Etc. etc.

"The evidence suggests that banks and other lenders tacitly encouraged mortgage brokers en masse to make up fictitious stories about customers so they could get loans and to falsify their income."

Alan: Well that's what money and banking's always been about, folks. It's all a fraud. Back with more after this break.

{Break 🎵}

Hi, folks. I'm back, Cutting Through the Matrix. And it's true you know, most folk float through life and adapt all the time to everything. They have no suspicion on anything. Why should they? Their parents never told them to be suspicious about government and that you can't trust them and show them old history books to show how many times government has sunk their own peoples and how corrupt government has always been. So they take everything for granted as they're born, everyone in their own group does, their own age group, and they're taught in school; that further sorts it in their mind. It fixes it in their mind that government's sort of there above them and it's all OK, and just be happy and have fun. So most folk just naturally do that. And meanwhile you have cloning conferences going on, gene therapy, human behavior alteration through genetics, and eugenics of course. In 2005, they had a big meeting with non-governmental organizations, you know these big private armies that go out and protest things and demand things from governments on behalf of the big bankers who have the foundations that fund them, you know, billions and billions of dollars every year. And they had a big meeting with the NGOs (non-governmental organizations) and UNESCO for the United Nations. And it was called 'Joint Program Commission "Science and Ethics,"' to do with just that: "cloning, gene therapy, human behavior and eugenics in six lectures." And I'll put that up tonight too, a link for it. And you can go through it from eugenics today to where they're going to take it, with the history of gene therapy and future of medicine and bioethics, as well, but they also have behavioral ethics. Very interesting. Genetics and human behavior, because they want to alter you all, eventually through your genes. You're all modified right now through the rubbish you eat, actually, and also the injections they give you as well. So, I'll put that up for those who are interested in what's actually happening to them and some people will remember it. Most won't. Also, Canada's prime minister, Stephen Harper, and German chancellor, Angela Merkel, are

meeting together, a two-day visit, to do with getting Canada back into lending money, or putting money in, the taxpayers' money, into the bailing out of Europe. It says,

"Harper will be looking for Merkel, the leader of the European Union's largest economy, to lend her support to a free trade agreement between Canada and the EU as negotiations enter the critical last stages over the next few months."

Alan: Remember too, that's the whole deal, a world under what they call free trade, but they must be particular types of democratic institutions. Meaning it's a con-game for the public to believe in, because that's what they all are. All democracies always are. Winston Churchill talked about this, actually during World War I. He actually named the ones that were causing all the problems with this new idea, he says, this new idea called democracy. But it works awfully well. Folk think they actually have rights and stuff. This article here talks about the free-trade, because that's the whole thing: a world of free trade, which means corporate, big corporations only and all the rest of you can't get in; even if you have a medium-sized business, you won't get into the free trade at all. But your countries also have to have this particular type of democracy that belongs to trading blocs, you see. And not any old democracy. We've seen democracies overthrown for years by the U.S. and Britain across the world because they'll say well they're left wing or whatever, or socialist; they just throw them over. You have to be friendly towards the plans of Britain and the U.S. It's that kind of democracy; meaning, the financial interests. Anyway it says,

"For her part, the German chancellor will ask Harper to reconsider his steadfast refusal to sending Canadian dollars across the Atlantic to help Europe contend with its ongoing economic crisis."

Alan: You know the crisis that's getting billions and billions as they throw money around from country to country and borrow-borrow-borrow from the World Bank, which suits the bankers awfully well and they can't tell you where it's all going. But it says,

"A German Embassy official said Merkel's two-day visit was prompted by a personal invitation from Harper after numerous meetings..."

Alan: Etc. etc. Now that's as sharp as the Canadian press get on things. You want to yawn, you know, because they'll never tell you what they're really going to be prattling on about. And also, "Renewed Violence in Iraq". Now as I mentioned before, this is from the Council on Foreign Relations, this organization, that on behalf of the big foundations, runs the world and all the world's press. They also write all the books for universities and schools to make sure you get the proper fictitious past and histories and all the rest of it. And it's a massive group. Even Churchill talked about them too, when he was out of the loop. But it says,

"Iraq remains a fragile state deeply traumatized and riven by thirty years of war, sanctions, occupation, and civil strife."

Alan: Well it didn't happen when the last leader was in there. He kept all these different factions from fighting each other, didn't he? Isn't that just the fact of it all? And it says here that now it's got all these factions fighting each other etc... because they're all getting funded by the West to keep fighting. And that's what Kissinger said: if we can keep them all fighting forever, they're of no threat to anybody, they can be easily dominated, and we can get all the oil and stuff out of the country. So they're funding different factions: Kurdish minorities etc., Sunni dominated ones and yada yada ya. It says,

"The most serious risks to Iraq's internal instability come from the overlapping and interacting effects of renewed ethnic or sectarian conflict..."

Alan: A la Kissinger.

"... on the one hand, and an irreversible breakdown of the current constitutional order, on the other."

Alan: Well I thought they had it all worked out and they put in their own little puppet government and it was all working just, well, dandy, wasn't it? Well, all these countries now will be in chaos forever. That's the plan.

"Chinese companies are pulling out of US stock markets."

Alan: Mind you too, they're into the BRIC countries now. They've gone into Brazil and Latin American countries, Philippines and other countries too, take them on board, and this is part of the reason they're pulling out of the U.S. stock markets. It says they

"... leave US stock markets amid complaints about price, accounting scrutiny."

Alan: And they're looking elsewhere for, you know... this communist government needs better, you know, places to invest their cash. Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵 – You're listening to the Republic Broadcasting Network because you can handle the truth!}

Hi, folks. I'm back, Cutting Through the Matrix. And really, you can't do a satire anymore these days because things are so bizarre. They really are so bizarre, because we're living in such massive corruption and whatever answers we're given from the top down for the public are just a joke. Let's be honest about it. They're all a joke. And big things are afoot. Very big things are afoot, of course. And we're not supposed to know. Again, it's like children. You talk to children, go out and play, you tell them, when the adults are having a serious conversation. Go and play. And that's what they're telling us to do at the bottom level.

Another one I'll put up tonight too, a PDF, is from the government: "Memorandum of Understanding between The Department of Defense and The Department of the Interior on Renewable Energy and a Renewable Energy Partnership Plan." And so it's a plan to do with renewable energy generation from public lands and the outer continental shelf and a lot more stuff in between as well. I'll put that up tonight too.

And Tim Yeo in Britain has had a lot of great press as they push the guy up there. See it's just like stars. They build you up to make you a kind of star. I read articles a while back on him too and his background as well, which is rather interesting. Anyway, Tim Yeo in Britain is a member of parliament, is the head of the climate change and all this kind of stuff. He's 'God of the Air' in other words, as we go back to the old gods of the Greeks. Anyway, it says,

"Climate committee chairman Tim Yeo under pressure over industry links"

Alan: And so it says,

"Conservative MP Tim Yeo is facing a backlash from his colleagues on the Energy and Climate Change Select Committee over his paid roles within the renewable energy sector, it was reported."

Alan: So he's getting umpteen paychecks as he's a member of parliament in charge of, you know, renewable energy. But it says that he's facing a backlash from it. It says he,

" ... received more than £139,450 from power firms,..."

Alan: Because he's giving all these contracts out to renewable energy so they're paying him back, you know, under the table.

"... according to the MPs' register of interests."

Alan: And it says,

"The former environment minister has promoted low-carbon energy sources, even claiming it may be necessary "to bribe" communities to accept wind farms."

Alan: You know, this is what runs the world today, you see. "Bribe communities to accept wind farms." Well, what he means by that, you don't bribe the community, you bribe the heads, you know, the chamber of commerce and the guys who help run your city and all the rest of it, and your council. They get bribes and then they move out because the noise is so terrible from these wind farms. Anyway, it says,

"Mr Yeo, who is also president of the Renewable Energy Association, received..."

Alan: all this cash, a hundred forty thousand pounds

"... from power firms."

Alan: That will be just for one year. And it says,

"We are seeking advice on how this can be done as select committee chairmen are now elected. But there is obviously a potential conflict of interest here," a source told the newspaper."

Alan: Well isn't there ever. But he actually said, this Mr. Yeo said,

"Not only have my interests been correctly registered at all times but... they were listed in full on the ballot paper circulated to all MPs [members of parliament] when I was elected chair of the committee in June 2010. Furthermore, I've held the views I've expressed regularly on renewable energy consistently and strongly since 1993, as many publicly available documents over the last 19 years show."

Alan: In other words, he doesn't answer to the fact that he's taking all these bribes at all. That's what politicians do, eh? They always say something but they never answer your question. If he'd shared some of the cash and split it around, you know, he wouldn't have been in the mess he's in, with other politicians, you see. Now CFR (Council on Foreign Relations), again, the one that all top writers belong to across the world because they give you your reality you see. It says,

"Why the Iran Oil Sanctions Are Biting
by Michael Levi"

Alan: And who has no conflict of interest in anything at all. I'll put this link up tonight, too and you can read that as well. Now, Steve Wozniak, who co-founded Apple computers with Steve Jobs, he's come out again and talked more about the different things that are happening with the internet control and he's really unhappy about it. He says,

"Steve Wozniak knew personal computers would change the world someday, even during his start at Apple, a company he co-founded with Steve Jobs in the early 70s. Decades later, though, he acknowledges that the journey has not been without obstacles."

Alan: He says,

"The Internet, when it first came, it was a breath of fresh air. It was so free. Nobody owned the Internet space. Countries didn't own it; they didn't control it. It was worldwide. It was people to people. It was like we little people of the world all of a sudden had this incredible resource and we didn't have to go through other people selling it to us and delivering it to us,'... The Silicon Valley legend says that the openness of the Internet 'has changed a lot' since then, in part of an array of laws introduced since home computers became more and more commonplace. And while he doesn't dismiss that efforts from regulations have been at time in good intention, he says, 'These were new laws that were just going to totally try to put up roadblocks to services that had other very good purposes in our life.'"

Alan: And he goes on and on about what they hoped and dreamed for and what it's actually become. And they actually hoped too they'd have a global governance through the Internet, too. They're all global this and global that, it depends what wing they belong to: left wing or right wing, or you know, whatever, the feet of the bird, the head of the bird, you know they're all birds eventually. But they always want global things to dominate people's lives. And of course, I don't think any group would allow that to happen; even this group here, if he was in charge of it. Eventually they'd come in and start censoring it. That's what power's all about, you see.

Now folk put an awful lot of faith in written bits of paper that they won't back up or do anything about. They won't stand up for it themselves. Like constitutions and things. But they're always prattling on about

them. And of course that paper is worth nothing unless folk do back it up. I mean back it up, you know. But in an Irish court, it says,

“Irish Court Rules Against Mother’s Right To Refuse Vaccination”

Alan: Interesting little article. It says,

“A young mother, Clare O’Sullivan from Waterford, Eire, who has refused consent for her young five year old son to be vaccinated was before the high court on the 18th of June 2012 in Dublin. She was there to seek an injunction on an order from her local district court taken by the father of her child. Ms O’Sullivan, who was main organiser of the Awaken Ireland event in Waterford earlier this month, travelled in the early hours of the morning to Dublin from Waterford in an effort to seek an injunction on the order made by the district court. By the afternoon, in a private sitting, Clare invoked Article 41.1.1 of the Irish Constitution in the original direct literal translation:”

Alan: Now what it says, is

“The State acknowledges that the family is the basic primary group-unit of/for society according to nature, and that it is a moral institution which has inalienable invincible rights which are more ancient and higher than any human statute.”

Alan: Very well put. And people would say that’s awfully clear, but not when lawyers get a hold of it. It says,

“However, the judge refused to accept the invocation of the article 41.1.1 of constitution and refused an injunction on the previous order.”

Alan: So, see, you know, nobody's going to stand up for this. I mean, if he can just dismiss that, he can dismiss anything, can't he? And they do! They do dismiss everything. So paper's worthless. Power is all that matters. And if no one is willing to get behind this woman, and especially to do with the family unit, then it's all over isn't it? It says,

"... the judge refused to accept the invocation of the article 41.1.1 of constitution and refused an injunction on the previous order. This is clearly not only a violation of Ms O'Sullivan's rights as a mother, but also a violation of the Irish Constitution itself. Ms O'Sullivan stated: 'these vaccinations are NOT mandatory in the Republic of Ireland. All parents are given a consent form to sign in a consent or 'I do not consent section'. I chose not to consent, I feel that I am acting in the best interests of my child and my decision is to protect him. I have every right to make this decision as the primary carer, natural mother, sole custodian and legal guardian of my son.' Alarmingly this is the second time in a week that the members of the BAR (British Accredited Registry)..."

Alan: That's of lawyers.

"... have refused to recognise the Constitution of Ireland. Last Friday Ben Gilroy invoked Article 40 Habeas Corpus in the High Courts in Dublin, which was passed between seven high court judges and completely ignored."

Alan: So, so much for a piece of paper, eh? So much for it. And of course if the folk themselves... see, one generation can get this stuff in but the next generation gets spoiled and take everything for granted and then they won't stand up and fight for their rights, fight for anything at all.

Now, Jerry Sandusky {laughs}. I had to laugh when all that broke out because I mean the whole town, everybody knew what they were up to. Thousands of folk knew what they were up to that lived in the darned area. Of course they were all shocked when they found out. Oh, they were all shocked, like they didn't know a darned thing. Utter nonsense.

"Jerry Sandusky and major Penn State donor 'abused two boys aboard a private plane', claims new witness. FBI is investigating whether Sandusky 'shared boys with a wealthy backer of the football program'"

Alan: They had a ring going.

"Postal Service is also looking into allegations Sandusky sent 'seductive letters' through the mail as part of child porn ring. New witness challenges notation that Sandusky worked alone in abusing young boys."

Alan: Of course there were more, and higher up people too, to really keep it all safe, keep them out of the limelight, you see. So it says, is he a ring master?

"A man has come forward alleging he saw Jerry Sandusky and a prominent Penn State University donor sexually abusing two boys together aboard a private plane, it was claimed on Monday. The FBI is reportedly taking the accusations 'seriously,' and has opened an investigation into a pedophile sex ring that could spread beyond the former Penn State football coach. Until now, authorities have believed that Sandusky was a lone abuser. The newest allegations threaten to bring out more horrifying revelations about sexual abuse surrounding the once-prestigious college athletics program. RadarOnline.com reports that the witness, who has business ties to the Penn State booster, told postal inspectors and the FBI that he was aboard the private plane when the alleged abuse occurred. He said he remained silent about what he saw until now and does not know whether the boys are new victims or if they have already been identified as having been abused by the former defensive coordinator. 'If the Feds can prove what this witness is saying then a sickening situation just got worse,' an anonymous source told Radar."

Alan: So, this could go on and on and on forever, of course, because I'm sure that they'll do their best to stop it tearing wide, let you all have a big peek inside. But this stuff's going on all over the place, too. And big money talks, and it always does talk, or actually big money can stop all the talking as well. Depends how important the people are involved. And Britain, of course, Britain that's broke. It's always broke. It just paid about \$11 billion for the Olympics there. And it'll take another 25 years to try and pay off the debt for that. But that's OK. The people themselves will pay off the debt because they'll be really down in austerity. But they're also getting all these sky drones now too. Britain's going to go crazy over sky drones.

"Spy-in-the-sky drones to patrol Britain's shores for terrorists, smugglers and illegal immigrants. European Commission to spend £260 million on 'Eurosur' project, which includes the Mediterranean

coast being patrolled by surveillance drones.”

Alan: And the

“... defence firms are testing sophisticated ‘sense and avoid’ systems on unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) over the Irish Sea. Unmanned spy drones could patrol Britain’s shores looking for illegal immigrants and smugglers...”

Alan: It says,

“The European Commission aims to spend £260 million on its ‘Eurosir’ project, which includes a plan for surveillance drones to patrol the Mediterranean coast.”

Alan: Well, they’ll have special ones there because on the Mediterranean coast too, a lot of the bigwigs go there and of course, they’ll have no-fly sections for them.

“At the same time, several schemes are under way in Britain, aiming to develop civilian roles for aircraft based on the killer drones hunting Al Qaeda terrorists in Afghanistan and Pakistan.”

Alan: Big business, isn’t it, war industries? 25 years ago they had articles out at the time, they saw this time coming. Once they’re finished with all their wars abroad, they said, what are they going to do? All these big industrial corporations that deal with war. And they said they’ll have to get something going internally across the world, internally, and they’ll go into high-tech surveillance etc. Well here it all is. See you’re living a... I keep saying you’re living a script and you are. It’s all pre-written. They don’t say things and leave it at that. They get their think tanks working on it right away and they start putting it into effect.

"If the high-tech measures against terrorists, illegal immigrants and smugglers in the Mediterranean are successful, there would be pressure on the UK to follow suit. Surveillance planes with military-grade cameras would be more effective at monitoring the coastline than satellites or standard planes."

Alan: It'll also be to stop little guys getting off in boats, trying to get out of the country to somewhere more habitable and safe across the world somewhere.

"British defence firms are testing sophisticated 'sense and avoid' systems on unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs)..."

Alan: So, everything's so sophisticated. Then a month later it's obsolete; they got to get new ones. This is fantastic. It's better than the Cold War, where every other month they said we need new missiles, the Russians are ahead of us. And sure enough the House of Lords in Britain, who all had big massive shares in the companies that made the missiles and the anti-missiles, they'd go ahead with this new, you know, whatever they called it, with the fancy killer names, etc. It was a great business, the Cold War. So is this though.

"Syria's seventeen-month-old political and humanitarian crisis has continued to deteriorate over the last several weeks."

Alan: Council on Foreign Relations this is from, folks.

"As clashes escalate between rebels and Syrian armed forces in the country's large cities, Kofi Annan, chief architect of the failed UN-Arab League peace plan, resigned his post in frustration in early August. Meanwhile, the Assad regime suffered another high-profile setback with the defection of its prime minister, Riad Hijab. An influx of foreign jihadists into Syria..."

Alan: That's the Al Qaeda guys {laughs}.

“has helped bolster rebel fighting, but is raising grave concerns about the country’s future stability.”

Alan: So they’ve got a working group going with Turkey, of all places. Turkey’s a great ally now, you see. And they’ll have to pay off Turkey big time because Israel’s got bases in Turkey as well now and so does the U.S. They’ve got big ties with Turkey. It says,

“...a working group with Turkey that will support the opposition in Syria, provide humanitarian aid, and develop contingency planning. Reports indicate that the U.S. intelligence agencies have been authorized to provide rebels with “non-lethal” assistance...”

Alan: Well they can get around that any way they want.

“but the full scope of this support remains unclear. To date, more than 17,000 people are estimated to have been killed in the Syrian conflict, and 150,000 refugees have fled into neighboring countries. This CFR Issue Guide provides expert analysis and essential background on the Syrian unrest...”

Alan: Because it’s part of the big world intelligence network. It’s one of the biggest ones actually. And they also supply all your politicians at the top. And all your media boys as well. Big powerful organization. Now, I think about a year or two ago, I read an article too about the big foundations, you know the charitable philanthropic groups that run the world and all the NGOs and how they’re buying up vast tracts of Africa because there’s great farming land, you know, in Africa. And of course, they already had foreseen, because they helped to plan it all, this new system where they bring in putting all the world’s food on the trade market and into the futures market and a whole bunch of different markets that they put bets on, on good crops, bad crops. If they have a bad crop somewhere and they’ve got a friend in the HAARP agencies who’s going to really drown you out or give you a drought, then you’ve got a winner right away because you can bet on bad crops and the price of that particular item, corn, whatever is, goes way up, you see. And this is how the world is run, folks. Hi-tech runs the world.

And actually I’ll put tonight up an article from the 70’s from the United Nations; the treaty to do with weather warfare. This is old stuff. They’ve been using it for years. Anyway it says,

"The British imperialists snapping up swathes of Africa to cash in on the world's food shortage and forcing out small farmers"

Alan: They've got it on the derivatives market, as well. Your food, now, is up on that big casino, you see. It says,

"It is an odd retirement hobby. Britain's top soldier, the former commander of British Land Forces and the man who capped his military career by presiding over the funeral of the Queen Mother, has been planting crops in the African bush. Not personally, you understand. But Sir Charles Redmond Watt has been mixing with Chinese billionaires, Saudi sheiks, Wall Street whizzkids and a motley array of British adventurers who agree with the financial guru George Soros that 'farmland is one of the best investments of our time'."

Alan: Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Hi, folks. I'm Alan Watt. We're Cutting Through the Matrix and talking about the farmland takeover by the City financiers, that's the City of London. They say that they're the new imperialists, the City of London. Well they've always been the same imperialists, haven't they? And they're returning to colonies that they walked away from half a century ago. Give them time, you see, they get it all back for peanuts.

"Upon leaving the Army, Sir Charles, who once commanded 125,000 military personnel, became chairman of a shell company, Las Vegas-registered Kryptic Entertainment, which later changed its name to Farm Lands of Guinea. The company, set up by British sheep farmer Mark Keegan, controls 250,000 acres of bush in the West African state of Guinea, bought on what it describes as 'extremely generous' terms from the government there. It will plant 8,000 acres of maize and soya this year. Fellow board member Nigel Woodhouse, a trustee of Labour peer Lord Melchett's Soil Association, told me one village handed over its land for 'the equivalent of...'"

Alan: Remember the old glass beads? That's how they got I think Manhattan, wasn't it?

“the equivalent of £3’.”

Alan: How's that? These swines, these swines in the City of London, are grabbing all these Africans' lands and they're going to sell you big expensive stuff down the road, believe you me, for you to eat, because they're in charge of the world's food supply. Three pounds they got, from a whole village they cleared off, for the land.

“It doesn't sound much for a company that says it is 'unlocking the riches of an African agricultural treasure trove', and which is on such good terms with local leaders that it has also secured exclusive rights to market a further 3.7 million acres of Guinea – an area roughly the size of Yorkshire. His job done, Sir Charles resigned from the outfit last December. He declined to comment on his African sojourn, but who can doubt that his prestige helped clinch deals in the former French colony? Many of the big beasts of British business have joined the global land rush. Sir Richard Branson, who famously bought two of the Virgin Islands in the Caribbean, has now bagged 25,000 acres of prime South African safari country. Jim Slater, a notorious asset-stripper from the Seventies, is growing genetically modified maize on Brazilian prairie once owned by the father of racing driver Ayrton Senna. City 'superwoman' Nicola Horlick is investing the pension funds of Hampshire and Merseyside councils in Brazilian farmland. Meanwhile, feted bond trader Guy Hands bought cattle stations three times bigger than Wales from the estate of the legendary TV mogul Kerry Packer. And Joe Lewis, the owner of Tottenham Hotspur, has invested a chunk of the fortune he made betting against sterling two decades ago to buy a slab of scenic Patagonia.”

Alan: And it goes through a whole bunch of them, which are just buying up Africa for pennies really. Because, you see, food's going to be awfully expensive. It already is, now that it's on the global market. You used to have laws, you see, to do with exports and imports, but not with free-trade anymore, you see. Because now even if you're starving at home, they can take all the food that's produced at home and sell it abroad; after all, it's got nothing to do with you. It's private corporations, right? And you can starve to death at home or pay the big bucks. It's all coming folks. Because, you see, you've never had nations. You've never had democracy or rights. And you've never had politicians that were genuine and for the people. It's never happened. It just hasn't been there. The Council on Foreign Relations, in its history, has told you: they've given you every prime minister and president for a hundred years now. Across the world. How is that? Except the ones they're killing off right now and grabbing all their land.

That's the real world you're living in. Most folk don't mind. It's party time at the weekend, when they go out at the weekend and there's lots of entertainment out there. We're the only people who'll go down the tubes just with entertainment coming out our ears. And fiction. It's nothing but fiction and comedies on television. Brains are scrambled, eh? And yet there's never been so much information as there is now.

From Hamish and myself, from Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight and may your God or your Gods go with you.

{Closing Music-🎵}

Author

Posts

Viewing 15 posts - 136 through 150 (of 238 total)

← 1 2 3 ... 9 10 11 ... 14 15 16 →

Reply To: Battle for Parental Guardianship

Your account has the ability to post unrestricted HTML content.

Visual

Code



Tags:

☐ Notify me of follow-up replies via email

Submit